

# TRANSPORTATION POOLED FUND PROGRAM

## QUARTERLY PROGRESS REPORT – Q2/2025

### Lead Agency: Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT)

Transportation Pooled Fund Program Project		Quarterly Report Period
<b>TPF-5(500) LTPP Forensic Investigations - Stage 2</b>  <a href="https://www.pooledfund.org/Details/Study/729">https://www.pooledfund.org/Details/Study/729</a>		<input type="checkbox"/> Quarter 1: Jan-Mar <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Quarter 2: Apr-Jun</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Quarter 3: Jul-Sep <input type="checkbox"/> Quarter 4: Oct-Dec
Lead Agency PM	Lead Agency PM Phone	Lead Agency Email
Mustafa Mohamedali	360-704-6307	<a href="mailto:MohameM@wsdot.wa.gov">MohameM@wsdot.wa.gov</a>
Lead Agency Technical Lead	Lead Agency Tech Lead Phone	Lead Agency Email
Karen Carlie	360- 709-5479	<a href="mailto:karen.carlie@wsdot.wa.gov">karen.carlie@wsdot.wa.gov</a>
Principal Investigator (PI)	PI Phone	PI Email
Gonzalo Rada PhD, PE (WSP) Kevin Senn (NCE)	512-496-4465 775-329-4955	<a href="mailto:gonzalo.rada@wsp.com">gonzalo.rada@wsp.com</a> <a href="mailto:ksenn@ncenet.com">ksenn@ncenet.com</a>
Lead Agency Project ID	Other Project ID (e.g. contract #)	Program Start Date
Y12819	WSP 6420230016	Sep 2, 2022
Contract Start Date (Original)	Contract End Date (Original)	Revised Contract End Date
Aug 17, 2023	Mar 31, 2026	--

### Program Schedule Status

On original schedule    On revised schedule    Ahead of schedule    Behind schedule

### Overall Program Statistics

Commitments to date \$	Obligations to date \$	% Obligated to date	Contracted to date \$	Expended to date \$	Expended this quarter \$
440,000	420,000	95.45%	340,000	180,353	39,441

Note: \$13,000 of remaining contracted budget is set aside for travel.

### Project Description

The objective of this pooled fund study is to create a mechanism to allow for rapidly completing forensic evaluations of LTPP sections before going out of service. Test sections that are no longer active, but which have remained unchanged (i.e., no maintenance or rehabilitation has been applied), may also be considered for forensic evaluation. Possible reasons for carrying out forensic evaluations include:

- Determining reasons for poor pavement performance/premature failures
- Understanding exceptional pavement performance and/or longevity
- Validating pavement performance prediction

(predicted vs actual) • Collecting data to support development and/or calibration of pavement performance prediction models • Closing out or conducting final investigations of experimental test sections. Ultimately, the primary reason for carrying out the evaluations will be to determine if the data contained in the LTPP database adequately explains the performance of the test sections and why they performed as they did. If the existing information is insufficient, then identifying and collecting additional information to inform the performance will be strongly considered.

#### **Progress this quarter (includes meetings, work plan status, contract status, significant progress, etc.)**

The work performed in each of the tasks specified by the project's scope of work (SOW) is summarized below. The attached table (Table 1) provides further information on the Task 2 and Task 3 activities, while the attached figure (Figure 1) shows the location of the LTPP test sections selected to date for forensic investigation. *Progress on the project tasks has been significant over the current reporting period.*

Task 1. Project Management: • Worked with WSDOT to execute contract modification obligating additional project funds • Participated in virtual meeting with Texas DOT on June 18, 2025; provided update of TPF-5(500) activities to date and discussed forensic evaluation results for LTPP SPS-08 test section in Texas • Scheduled for August 18, 2025 and began preparations for virtual meeting with Kansas DOT; will provide update of TPF-5(500) activities to date and discussed forensic evaluation results for LTPP SPS-02 test section in Kansas • Began coordination of virtual meetings with the Arizona, California, Kansas, Mississippi, and North Carolina DOTs • Prepared and submitted April, May and June 2025 invoices • Prepared and submitted quarterly progress report for the January 1 to March 31, 2024 period • Continued to perform subcontractor management activities • Continued to coordinate project activities with FHWA LTPP Team and its Data Collection Services Contractor (DCSC) • Conducted other required project management activities.

Task 2. Test Section Nominations: • Continued to work on identifying LTPP test sections for possible forensic evaluation – to date, 54 LTPP test sections at 15 locations in 13 states have been identified • *New test sections identified during the reporting period in question include six Oklahoma SPS-10, four Florida SPS-10, two Colorado SPS-08 and two Colorado SPS-02 test sections* • Continued to work with the FHWA LTPP Team and its DCSC to identify additional candidate test sections.

Task 3a. Desktop Studies: • Completed forensic desktop evaluations for 5 LTPP test sections in Texas and North Carolina – draft technical memoranda were prepared and submitted to WSDOT for review and approval and they were revised based on WSDOT input, and pursued virtual meetings with the respective agencies and FHWA LTPP Team • Commenced or continued work on forensic desktop evaluations for 14 LTPP test sections in Oklahoma, Florida and Colorado with decent progress made on each – completion of these evaluations is anticipated in the third reporting period of 2025.

Task 3b. Follow-Up Forensic Investigations: • Completed planning and coordination for virtual meetings with Texas DOT on June 18, 2025 and Kansas DOT on August 18, 2025 -- follow-up actions to the forensic evaluation were discussed with TxDOT • *Continued working on planning and coordination of virtual meetings with the Arizona, California, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana and North Carolina DOTs, as well as with WSDOT and the FHWA LTPP Team to review draft desktop forensic evaluation memoranda* • As appropriate, follow-up forensic investigation plans are being formulated based on outcomes from the referenced meetings.

Task 4. Final Report: While no work was anticipated during the reporting period in question, the project team began to address key issues so that work on the report can commence earlier in the life of the project, thus allowing ample time for its completion.

## **Anticipated work next quarter**

The anticipated work to be performed in each of the tasks specified by the project's SOW is summarized below.

Task 1. Project Management: • Prepare and submit monthly invoices • Prepare and submit quarterly progress report for the April 1 to June 30, 2025 period • Continue to perform subcontractor management activities • Continue to coordinate project activities with FHWA LTPP Team and its DCSC • Conduct other required project management activities.

Task 2. Test Section Nominations: Continue to work on identifying LTPP test sections for possible forensic evaluation • Continue to prepare and submit test section nomination forms for WSDOT approval for conduct of forensic evaluations.

Task 3a. Desktop Studies: Complete desktop evaluations for 10 LTPP SPS-10 test sections in Oklahoma and Florida and 4 LTPP SPS-02 and SPS-08 test sections • Begin working on desktop evaluations for other test section nominations approved by WSDOT.

Task 3b. Follow-Up Forensic Investigations: Continue preparations for and participate in meetings with Arizona, Kansas, Missouri, Montana, North Carolina and Texas, as well as with the FHWA LTPP Team to review draft desktop forensic evaluation memoranda • As appropriate, formulate follow-up forensic investigation plans based on outcomes from referenced meetings.

Task 4. Final Report: Make final decisions on report contents and begin working on draft of report with particular emphasis on desktop study write-ups completed to date.

## **Significant results**

Technical work on the project commenced in earnest in December 2023, and important results and findings are being realized. For example, based on the forensic evaluation desktop study for the WA test sections, an issue requiring correction of the LTPP InfoPave tool was identified. More specifically, the plots of rutting versus time for the three test sections in question showed incorrect trends, even though data associated with these plots were determined to be correct. The project team prepared and submitted LTPP Data Analysis and Operations Feedback Report (DAOFR) to the FHWA LTPP Team for corrective action; correction has been incorporated in the August 2024 LTPP InfoPave release. Since then, other data (distress, traffic, etc.) issues have been identified during other forensic evaluations in the LTPP database and the project team is working with the FHWA LTPP team to address these issues. Another important outcome of the forensic investigations to date is the confirmation that all test sections investigated to date, without exception, have adequate data to explain their performance. Moreover, recommendations are being made to further collect data elements that would further enhance the available data – e.g., within test section thickness measurements, close-out performance testing, laboratory testing, etc. We also learned, based on meetings with WSDOT, planned rehabilitation on the Washington SPS-10 project has been postponed, providing additional time to assess test section performance. Likewise, there were several positive outcomes from the June 18, 2025 Texas DOT discussion regarding potential future work and a commitment to perform sampling, as needed.

**Circumstance affecting project or budget.** (Please describe any challenges encountered or anticipated that might affect the completion of the project within the time, scope and fiscal constraints set forth in the agreement, along with recommended solutions to those problems)

There are no technical challenges to report at present (and none are anticipated), which may affect completion of the project.

### Potential Implementation

The primary outcome of the test section forensic evaluations is memoranda documenting the major findings, conclusions, and recommendations, both for each investigation and for the overall project. As with the Stage 1 effort (TPF-5(332)), numerous important findings have been made as a direct result of the forensic evaluations, which will directly affect, and therefore improve, the LTPP database and will advance knowledge in the pavement community – please see earlier Significant Results section. Many more findings are anticipated over the remainder of the project.

**Table 1. Summary of Task 2 and Task 3 Activities to Date.**

Study #	# of Sites	# of Sections	State(s)	LTPP ID	Experiment Type <sup>1</sup>	Pavement Type <sup>2</sup>	Purpose of Investigation	Nomination Submittal	Initial Memo Submittal	Revised Memo Submittal
1	1	1	PA	42-1597	GPS-6S	AC	Excellent Performance; Other	12/6/2023	Cancelled	Cancelled
2	1	6	AZ	04-0213	SPS-2	JPCP	Performance Comparison	12/6/2023	2/26/2024	
				04-0214						
				04-0215						
				04-0217						
				04-0262						
				04-0268						
3	2	2	PA	42-1597	GPS-6S	AC	Performance Comparison	1/22/2024	2/13/2024	
			WA	53-1007						
4	1	1	MT	30-7075	GPS-6S	AC	Excellent Performance; Other	2/4/2024	7/23/2024	
5	1	3	TX	48-AA01	SPS-10	AC	Performance Comparison	2/4/2024	5/21/2024	
				48-AA02						
				48-AA03						
6	1	4	KS	20-0202	SPS-2	JPCP	Performance Comparison; Other	2/15/2024	7/11/2024	
				20-0203						
				20-0206						
				20-0210						
7	1	3	WA	53-AA01	SPS-10	AC	Performance Comparison; Other	3/5/2024	4/14/2024	
				53-AA02						
				53-AA03						
8	1	10	MO	29-AA01	SPS-10	AC	Performance Comparison; Other	4/24/2024	10/29/2024	
				29-AA02						
				29-AA03						
				29-AA61						
				29-AA62						
				29-AA63						
				29-AA64						
				29-AA65						
9	1	2	MS	28-0805	SPS-08	AC	Performance Comparison; Other	7/23/2024	2/18/2025	
				28-0806						
10	1	4	CA	06-0201	SPS-02	JPCP	Performance Comparison; Other	7/24/2024		
				06-0203						
				06-0811	SPS-08					
				06-0812						
11	1	2	TX	48-0801	SPS-08	AC	Performance Comparison; Other	11/11/2024	5/15/2025	5/20/2025
12	1	3	NC	48-0802	SPS-08	AC	Performance Comparison; Other	11/11/2024	5/23/2025	6/9/2025
13	1	6	OK	40-AA01	SPS-10	AC	Performance Comparison			
				40-AA02						
				40-AA03						
				40-AA61						
				40-AA62						
				40-AA63						
14	1	4	FL	12-AA01	SPS-10	AC	Performance Comparison			
				12-AA02						
				12-AA03	SPS-08					
				12-AA61						
15	1	4	CO	08-0213	SPS-02	JPCP	Performance Comparison			
				08-0215						
				08-0811	SPS-08					
				08-0812						

<sup>1</sup> Experiment Type

GPS-6S = AC Overlay of Milled PCC Pavement Using Conventional or Modified Asphalt Experiment

SPS-2 = Strategic Study of Structural Factors for Rigid Pavements Experiment

SPS-08 = Study of Environmental Effects in the Absence of Heavy Loads

SPS-10 = Warm Mix Asphalt Overlay of Asphalt Pavement Study



**Figure 1. Geographical Location of TPF-5(500) LTPP Test Sections.**