TRANSPORTATION POOLED FUND PROGRAM QUARTERLY PROGRESS REPORT

Lead Agency (FHWA or State DOT): _	IOWA D	OT	
INSTRUCTIONS: Project Managers and/or research project invest quarter during which the projects are active. Present task that is defined in the proposal; a perothe current status, including accomplishments aduring this period.	lease provide a centage compl	a project schedule statu etion of each task; a co	s of the research activities tied to ncise discussion (2 or 3 sentences) of
Transportation Pooled Fund Program Project # TPF-5(367)		Transportation Pooled Fund Program - Report Period: Quarter 1 (January 1 – March 31, 2017) Quarter 2 (April 1 – June 30, 2017) xQuarter 3 (July 1 – September 30, 2017) Quarter 4 (October 4 – December 31, 2017)	
Project Title:	ated Concrete	Pridgo Pails	
Dynamic Evaluation and Design of Prefabric Project Manager :	Phone:	E-ma	·
Ahmad Abu-Hawash	239-1393		abu-hawash@dot.iowa.gov
Brian Worrel	239-1471 brian.worrel@dot.iowa.gov		
Project Investigator:	Phone:	E-ma	il:
Sri Sritharan	294-5238 sri@iastate.edu		
Lead Agency Project ID:	Other Project ID (i.e., contract #): Addendum 617		Project Start Date: 6/15/17
Original Project End Date:	Project End Date:		Number of Extensions:
9/30/18			Pooled fund project – yearly budgets
X On schedule	e	Ahead of schedule	☐ Behind schedule
Overall Project Statistics:			
Total Project Budget	Total Cost to Date for Project		Total Percentage of Work Completed
\$75,000		\$8,508	-
Quarterly Project Statistics:			
Total Project Expenses	Total Am	ount of Funds	Percentage of Work Completed
This Quarter	Expende	d This Quarter	This Quarter
\$8,508			10%

Project Description: Iowa State University researchers have developed precast concrete barriers that can be rapidly implemented. This initial research was funded by the Accelerated Bridge Construction-University Transportation Center (ABC-UTC) housed at Florida International University, who leads the ABC-UTC university consortium. The research project considered two different barriers to deck connection details that were designed and tested under quasi static loads to understand the load distribution and evaluate the connection performance. The first connection utilizing inclined reinforcing bars promotes durability and reparability but its initial cost is higher than the second alternative. The second connection that utilizes U-shaped reinforcing bars for connecting the precast barriers to the bridge deck is durable and cost effective, but replacement cost will be higher than the first alternative.

The scope of work outlined below in task form builds upon the results of the ABC-UTC research project noted above (to be noted for this proposed Pool Fund Plan as Phase I). It is noteworthy that there have been prior presentations/discussions with the AASHTO Subcommittee on Bridges and Structures (SCOBS T-04) and with the Transportation Research Board Subcommittee on ABC (the parent committee is AFF00) regarding the proposed work, and both groups support the need for the work and have endorsed the general scope of work outlined below.

- Task 1: Review of ABC-UTC Project (Phase I) and Finalize Details for Two Precast Barrier Concepts for Dynamic Evaluation and Development of Design Methodology
- Task 2: Conduct Numerical Modeling and LS-DYNA Simulation using Phase-I data
- Task 3: Perform Impact Load Investigation on Two Prototype Designs
- Task 4: Refine of Designs based on outcomes of from Task 3
- Task 5a: Perform Full-Scale Crash Tests on a Concrete Barrier-Deck Subassembly for Loads Corresponding to TL-4 and TL-5
- Task 6: Calibrate Numerical Models
- Task 7: Complete Parametric Study and Design Optimization
- Task 8: Development Design, Construction and Implementation Guidelines
- Task 9: Conduct Life-Cycle Performance and Cost Analysis

Progress this Quarter (includes meetings, work plan status, contract status, significant progress, etc.):

We held the first in-person meeting in Ames, Iowa on September 19, 2017. The notes will be forthcoming from this meeting. The PI, Sri Sritharan and his research team, will develop a proposal for this project, and send out to the entire team for comments.

Anticipated work next quarter:

Send out the notes from the meeting and work on writing a proposal to be reviewed by the team.

Significant Results:

It was very advantageous to have this in-person meeting to have a consensus to move forward on this Pooled Fund.