

Proposed National Pooled Fund Project

MONITORING AND MODELING OF PAVEMENT RESPONSE AND PERFORMANCE

For:

Monique R. Evans, P.E
Administrator
Office of Research and Development
Ohio Department of Transportation
1980 West Broad Street
Columbus, OH 43223

Principal Investigator: Shad M. Sargand, Ph.D., Russ Professor and Associate Director

J. Ludwig Figueroa, Ph.D., Professor

Ohio Research Institute for Transportation and the Environment
114 Stocker Center
Ohio University
Athens, OH 45701
phone: 740-593-1467
email: sargand@ohio.edu

Representative of Ohio University who will sign contract:

Shane L. Gilkey
Director of Budget, Planning, and Research and Sponsored Programs
104 RTEC
Ohio University
Athens, OH 45701
phone: (740)593-9813
email: gilkeys@ohiou.edu

Submitted April, 2005
Resubmitted November, 2005
March, 2006

Table of Contents

1. General	1
2. Research Needs Statement	2
3. Study Objectives	4
4. Background and Review	8
The WAY-30 and I-86 Projects	8
Existing Test Pavements	9
Validation Example	10
Construction Practices Affecting Pavement Performance	11
Effects of Weather Related Factors on Pavement Performance	12
AC Temperature Prediction Models	13
Monthly and Seasonal Asphalt Concrete Resilient Modulus Variation	15
Laboratory and Field Databases	16
The 2002 Design Guide Review	16
The 2002 Design Guide	17
2002 Design Guide Software	19
2002 Guide Training and Implementation	20
Pavement Material Properties Required by the M-E Procedures	20
Rigid Pavements	20
Flexible Pavements	23
The South African Mechanistic-Empirical Design Method (SAMDM)	27
5. Anticipated Benefits	29
6. Anticipated Results and Deliverables	31
Summary of Reports	32
7. Recommended Implementation Plan	33
8. Work Plan	34
Group A Tasks	34
Task A1. Data Collection, Field Sampling and Pavement Surveys	34
Task A2 Reconstruction of Strain Histories	36
Task A3. Forensic Investigations	37
Task A4. Laboratory Testing	37
Task A5. Data Summary and Environmental Data Analysis Annual Reports	38
Task A6. Data Summary and Environmental Data Analysis Relating to Distress	38
Task A7. Climatic Modeling	38
Task A8. Instrumentation and monitoring of replacement SPS-2 test sections on SHRP test road on US 23 in Delaware County	38
Subtask A8a Instrumentation and data collection	39
Subtask A8b Laboratory Testing of Materials	39
Subtask A8b: Environmental monitoring	39
Subtask A8c: Controlled vehicle and nondestructive tests	39
Subtask A8d: Laboratory testing of materials	40
Subtask A8e: Data analysis and processing	40
Group B Tasks	42

Task B1.	Monitoring of NY-17 (I-86) Test Pavements	42
Task B2.	Construction Practices Review	42
Task B3.	Data Collection, Field Sampling and Pavement Surveys	43
Task B4.	Reconstruction of Strain Histories	44
Task B5.	Forensic Investigations	44
Task B6.	Laboratory Testing	45
Task B7.	Data Summary and Environmental Data Analysis Annual Reports	46
Task B8.	Data Summary and Environmental Data Analysis Relating to Distress	46
Group C Tasks (both locations)		46
Task C1.	Construction, Testing and Monitoring of Perpetual Pavement Sections at the Accelerated Pavement Testing Load Facility	47
Task C2.	Database Update, Data Summary and Environmental Data Analysis	47
Task C3.	Verification and Calibration of Mechanistic/Empirical Design Procedures	51
	Subtask C3a Literature Review	51
	Subtask C3b Review of NCHRP 1-37A Report and Identification of Data Needed for M/E Design Procedures	51
	Subtask C3c Develop Implementation Plan	51
	Subtask C3d Develop Input Data Guidelines	52
	Subtask C3e Validation of Design Procedure	52
	Subtask C3f Proposed calibration procedure	53
	Subtask C3g Final Report on M/E procedures Verification and Validation	54
Task C4	Verification of Models to Predict AC Temperature and Expected Stiffness and Review of the Enhanced Integrated Climatic Model (EICM)	55
Task C5	National Conference on Perpetual Pavement	56
9. Itemized Budget		57
10. References		58
11. Appendix		59
	Review of Existing Test Pavements	59
	The Ohio SHRP Test Road	59
	US 50 in Athens County	62
	US 33 (former SR 124) in Meigs County	63
	US 33 in Logan County	64
	US 33 in Athens County (Nelsonville)	65
	I-77 in Stark County	65
	Input and Output Data Summaries. 2002 Guide Software	67
	Price Quotes for Equipment	77
	Resumes	85
	PI – Shad M. Sargand	86
	Co-PI – J. Ludwig Figueroa	104

Neil D. Thomas Professor – Gayle F. Mitchell	114
Associate Professor – Teruhisa Masada	137
Research Engineer – Issam Khoury	143
Research Engineer – William Edwards	145
Communications Coordinator – Nancy Covington	146

MONITORING AND MODELING OF PAVEMENT RESPONSE AND PERFORMANCE

1. GENERAL

Transportation agencies are continuously striving to provide highway pavements which carry increased traffic loading for longer periods of time, and at minimal cost and inconvenience to motorists. In the near future, these agencies will be asked to implement guidelines currently being developed under NCHRP Project 1-37A for designing, evaluating and managing these pavements. The NCHRP Project 1-37A guidelines use mechanistic-empirical (ME) procedures to predict pavement response and performance from design parameters of the pavement, physical properties of materials used in the pavement, estimated traffic loading, and climatic conditions typical of the pavement site. Unfortunately, response and performance data are not sufficiently available to properly calibrate the 1-37A guidelines in most states. Since data obtained in this study will be used for validating and calibrating the 1-37A guidelines in Ohio and New York State, these data can also be used directly or modified slightly by states with similar conditions. Calibration procedures used in this study can be replicated in other states with different conditions.

The Ohio Department of Transportation (ODOT) is currently constructing a perpetual asphalt concrete (AC) pavement and a long life Portland cement concrete (PCC) pavement on a new section of US 30 bypassing the city of Wooster. These pavements are being promoted by industry as providing 50 years of service without requiring major rehabilitation. A contract has been awarded to the Ohio Research Institute for Transportation and the Environment (ORITE) at Ohio University (OU) to install sensors in both pavements during construction to monitor temperature and moisture conditions and to measure dynamic strain, deflection and pressure responses in the completed pavement structures using Falling Weight Deflectometer (FWD) and controlled vehicle testing. A second contract was awarded to ORITE to determine the physical properties of materials incorporated into both pavements.

The New York State Department of Transportation (NYSDOT) is employing a number of techniques to rehabilitate a section of PCC pavements on NYS 17 which, after construction, will be identified as I 86. These rehabilitated sections also will be instrumented by ORITE to monitor environmental conditions and to measure dynamic strain, deflection, and pressure during Non-Destructive Testing (NDT) and controlled vehicle testing. Another section of new PCC pavement on I 490 was instrumented earlier by ORITE. Dynamic response and performance observed on the new extended life AC and PCC pavements in Ohio, on the pavements in New York State, and on other instrumented pavements in Ohio, including the Ohio SHRP Test Road, will provide a basis for validation and calibration of the NCHRP 1-37A guidelines in these areas. Other states can adopt the same calibrations, adjust the calibrations for similar conditions in their area, or use the same procedures to develop their own calibrations.

Experience gained during construction of the extended life pavements in Ohio and on the pavements in New York State will be invaluable to other states considering similar ventures. By having access to all design, material and construction records, and to personnel involved in these projects, states participating in this study can avoid problems encountered during these initial trials.

2. RESEARCH NEEDS STATEMENT

Mechanistic-empirical (ME) based pavement design procedures are being used by some DOTs to determine the adequacy of layer thicknesses in new and existing AC and PCC pavements and to verify pavement designs with expected material properties, traffic loading, and climatic conditions. Similarly, the influences of weather related factors and construction practices on pavement response and performance have not been sufficiently examined. Harsh weather conditions and/or improper construction techniques may lead to the development of premature functional and structural types of distress that may ultimately affect pavement serviceability. Thus, the need exists to review and verify ME design methods, along with accompanying climatic models, and to document construction processes for perpetual AC pavements, long-lasting PCC pavements, as well as for several types of reconstruction applied to existing rigid pavement. This includes an investigation of the influence of the mechanical properties of individual material layers on pavement response and performance.

Guidelines have been developed under NCHRP Project 1-37A for designing and analyzing AC and PCC pavement structures. All states will be urged to implement these guidelines which rely largely upon ME techniques for calculating response and performance using projected load, material, and environmental conditions. As with most analytical procedures, actual measured results will likely disagree to some extent with theoretical predictions because of inherent localized variations in material properties, construction techniques, climatic conditions, traffic loading, etc. Consequently, state DOTs need to validate the 1-37A guidelines for their areas and make necessary adjustments to the guidelines for accurate predictions of response and performance. States containing diverse materials, different levels of construction expertise or more than one climatic zone may need to perform multiple calibrations. These calibrations entail the comparison of measured and calculated strains, deflections, and pressures for response, and the comparison of measured and calculated distress. Once these data become available, appropriate adjustments can be made to the 1-37A guidelines.

The Ohio Department of Transportation (ODOT) is currently constructing perpetual AC and long life PCC pavements on a new section of US 30 ("WAY 30") bypassing the city of Wooster. Both pavements will contain extensive instrumentation to continuously monitor pavement temperature and subsurface moisture, and to measure strain, deflection and pressure response during controlled vehicle and FWD testing. A weigh-in-motion (WIM) system to monitor traffic loading and a weather station to monitor climatic conditions will also be installed at the site. These data will permit the comparison of measured and predicted responses, the reconstruction of the strain history of the test sections, and the calculation of the amount of fatigue or rutting distress that would be expected to have accumulated at any given time. A comprehensive parallel plan has been established to determine the mechanical properties of materials and other input parameters applicable to both pavements for the eventual application and verification of ME pavement design procedures. ODOT has awarded research contracts to ORITE to instrument both pavements and to determine the physical properties of materials used to construct the pavements, but no contracts are currently in place to monitor these pavements after construction.

Within the past few years, several configurations of perpetual pavements have been introduced and built not only in the U.S. but other countries in Europe and also in

the country of South Africa. However, because of the very recent introduction of perpetual pavements no specific performance data is available that would indicate the most advantageous configuration under a given set of climatic and traffic conditions. A comparative study of several perpetual pavement configurations under controlled conditions would help to select and recommend the best performing alternative. Such a comparative study could be conducted in an indoor testing facility where the variables affecting the performance of the pavements can be controlled.

The New York State Department of Transportation (NYSDOT) is planning to reconstruct an existing section of PCC pavements on NYS 17 (to become I 86) with the following techniques: AC over rubblized PCC, PCC over cracked and sealed PCC, and conventional unbonded PCC over PCC. The NYSDOT awarded a research contract to ORITE to instrument the reconstructed PCC sections similar to the WAY 30 pavements, with variations being tailored to the specific types of pavements. A comprehensive laboratory testing program will also be necessary to determine the mechanical properties of materials included in the New York State test sections, and monitoring will be required after construction. Two additional test sections will be instrumented at the NYSDOT test site as part of this project.

NYSDOT, in cooperation with ORITE, also instrumented two adjacent PCC slabs along the westbound driving lane of I 490, 10 miles southeast of Rochester. These slabs were instrumented with linear variable differential transformers (LVDTs), vibrating wire strain gages and thermocouples to monitor deflection, strain, and temperature during curing and at subsequent times thereafter. A wealth of information regarding load response and the effect of environmental factors on the performance of PCC pavements will be obtained if these slabs continue to be monitored in the future.

Laboratory and field data collected in these and other projects will be organized into user-friendly databases, so that basic data and findings can be easily applied to other projects. Access to these databases will be provided to participating agencies for validation and calibration of ME procedures in their areas.

In addition to the project described above on US 30, ODOT has funded the instrumentation of several test pavements located throughout the state of Ohio for over ten years. These experimental test sections were designed to provide information on how specific parameters affect the response and performance of several types of pavement in Ohio. In a few instances, control sections were constructed to determine the influence of environmental factors on pavement performance alone. These test pavements have been monitored for some time to: verify design procedures, verify climatic models, select suitable paving materials, determine the effects of drainage and base type on pavement performance, determine the performance of high performance concrete containing ground granulated blast furnace slag, and assess the influence of construction practices on the development of pavement distress, etc. Some findings, such as the use of stiff bases under AC pavement and the use of less stiff bases under PCC pavements have already been implemented in Ohio. The locations of these instrumented pavements include: the Ohio SHRP Test Road on US 23 in Delaware County, US 50 in Athens County, US 33 in Meigs County, US 33 in Logan County, US 33 in Nelsonville, and I-77 in Stark County.

To consider the effects of climate on the design of new pavements, reconstructed pavements and overlays, the Enhanced Integrated Climatic Model (EICM) will be included as a part of the AASHTO Pavement Design Guide being introduced under project NCHRP 1-37A. The EICM is capable of predicting temperature gradients in the

pavement and moisture distributions within the subgrade utilizing locally available climatic information. Climatic and environmental data have been collected continuously at the US 23 site since 1996, and more recently on US 50 in Athens County and US 33 in Meigs County. These data will be used to validate and calibrate the EICM model for these sites.

Periodic distress as specified in Section 8 (Data Collection Detail Table) surveys and NDT testing can be used to monitor the performance of all experimental pavement sections over time. These data are essential for the calibration of ME pavement design procedures. When test pavement sections have reached the end of their serviceability, forensic investigations will disclose the primary cause(s) of failure and suggest proper remedial measures that can be adopted on future projects to extend pavement life. While some of this instrumentation has exceeded its normal longevity and ceased to function, the majority of sensors are still generating high quality data that can continue to be added to existing databases. Non-performing sensors could either be discontinued or replaced, depending upon the importance of the measurement.

Thus, the need exists on these previously instrumented pavements discussed above to:

- Continue monitoring seasonal and climatic parameters. The reliability of any environmental (seasonal) predictions obtained with theoretical models will be improved as data becomes available for longer periods of time.
- Conduct periodic distress and Non-Destructive Testing (NDT) surveys to document test section performance over time.
- Conduct forensic investigations in test pavement sections that have reached an unacceptable level of serviceability to document the causes of distress and to identify deficiencies which led to premature failure.

The Ohio and New York State DOTs plan to combine their resources to fund the activities discussed in this proposal. It was decided, however, to propose this study as a national pooled fund project in order to afford other DOTs the opportunity to participate by contributing some nominal amount of funding. This arrangement will be beneficial to the advancement of the project, and furthermore with their technical contributions the results will be more applicable to the entire pavement community. Participating states in the Midwest will benefit by, perhaps, being able to adapt findings for calibrating the NCHRP 1-37A guidelines directly to their area or adapting the findings with some minor modifications. States outside the Midwest will benefit by being involved in the process and using similar procedures to develop their own calibrations.

3. STUDY OBJECTIVES

The concepts of perpetual AC and long-lasting PCC pavements are relatively new to the pavement community. These newer pavements require the use of innovative ME design procedures, advanced climatic models, updated specifications, test methods providing detailed material properties, and construction techniques that have not been entirely incorporated into standard practice. Standard practice for rehabilitating distressed highway pavements generally involves the application of AC overlays. When AC overlays are placed on distressed PCC pavements, slab movements cause stress concentrations to develop at joints and cracks, which often results in premature cracks reflecting through to the surface at these locations. By breaking the PCC slabs into smaller pieces prior to overlay, stresses are distributed over a wider area. Instrumentation installed in these

pavement sections will provide data regarding measured response under known environmental and loading conditions.

Thus, the four primary objectives of the proposed research are to: (1) monitor the new perpetual AC and long-lasting PCC pavements being constructed in Ohio, the rehabilitated PCC pavements in New York State, and other existing instrumented pavements in both states, (2) verify ME design procedures for all pavements in the study by comparing theoretical calculations with measured response and performance, (3) calibrate ME procedures presented in the NCHRP 1-37A AASHTO Pavement Guide for Ohio and New York State using data collected in this and other previous studies, and (4) document all research findings in a final report. Within each of these primary objectives are other secondary objectives which must be completed to achieve the primary goal. Accordingly, the following objectives are set forth for this project:

(1) Monitor the new perpetual AC and long-lasting PCC pavements in Ohio, the rehabilitated PCC pavements in New York State, and other existing instrumented pavements in both states. Within this objective are the following secondary objectives:

- A. Monitor construction of the US 30 and I 86 pavements to observe construction practices and environmental conditions which may affect pavement response and performance. Identify specific deficiencies which should be corrected on future projects.
- B. Determine the physical properties of materials incorporated into the rehabilitated PCC pavements on I 86 in New York State. Organize these data and material data from the US 30 project into a Microsoft Access database for validation and calibration of NCHRP 1-37A guidelines.
- C. Periodically collect response and performance data on the study pavements in Ohio and New York State for the duration of this project, as the availability of functional sensors permits. In addition to US 30 in Ohio and I 86 in New York State, locations will include: I 490 in New York State; the Ohio US 23 SHRP Test Road in Delaware County, Ohio; US 50 in Athens County, Ohio; US 33 in Meigs County, Ohio; US 33 in Logan County, Ohio; US 33 in the city of Nelsonville, Ohio; and I 77 in Stark County, Ohio. Specific data collected will include:
 - i. Climatic data obtained at on-site weather stations located on the US 30, US 50, I 86 test sections, and at the Ohio SHRP Test Road (US 23).
 - ii. Temperature and moisture conditions monitored in all pavement structures with sensors similar to those installed on Long Term Pavement Performance (LTPP) projects.
 - iii. Traffic loading obtained with weigh-in-motion scales mounted in the US 30, I 86 and US 23 SHRP pavements.
 - iv. Condition surveys collected at all sites according to LTPP protocol and profile measurements to determine rutting on AC pavements and curling/warping on PCC pavements. The profiles will be performed with a dipstick and/or a rolling wheel profilometer developed and constructed at ORITE. These data will be

- analyzed to note trends with environmental factors, and to determine possible links to the development of pavement distress.
- v. Pavement stiffness measured with the Falling Weight Deflectometer (FWD) and skid resistance measured with available test equipment. These tests will be performed on all projects by state DOTs responsible for the individual projects. The FWD data will be used to identify weakened zones in the pavement structures, to document the potential areas of distress, and to backcalculate stiffness properties of the pavement layers.
 - vi. Strain, deflection and pressure responses measured on the US 30 and I 86 projects using the FWD and a matrix of truck loads, truck speeds, pavement temperatures, and subgrade moisture.
- D. Conduct a maximum of three forensic investigations on pavement sections exhibiting severe distress to determine the specific causes of the distress. Each investigation will include in-situ tests and laboratory testing of cores and samples collected at the site. These investigations will follow procedures established by LTPP with additional guidelines developed by ORITE during previous forensic investigations in Ohio. State DOTs will furnish all equipment and personnel required to perform NDT and to dig trenches and repair them after the forensic investigations are complete. ORITE will conduct all field measurements and perform all laboratory tests necessary to identify the cause(s) of distress.
- E. Enter all data collected by ORITE and by the Ohio and New York State DOTs into a Microsoft Access database. Develop a web page with supporting files to allow the display and downloading of climatic and environmental data to be posted on a web site residing or linked to one of ODOT's computer servers. Provide assistance to parties interested in accessing and using the environmental and structural databases created by ORITE.

(2) Verify ME design procedures for all pavements in the study by comparing theoretical calculations with measured response and performance.

- A. Review and determine the accuracy of available pavement analysis and design procedures, including the new mechanistic-empirical procedures introduced in the 2002 Guide through project NCHRP 1-37A and peripheral models, such as VESYS, using response and performance data collected during this project and during earlier monitoring efforts in Ohio.
- B. Determine how environmental factors such as temperature and moisture affect PCC slab curling and warping, AC layer stiffness, subgrade stiffness, and overall pavement response and performance.
- C. Determine the accuracy of existing models, including the LTPP Model, for estimating temperature in asphalt concrete pavements. These models will be calibrated if no suitable agreement is found.
- D. Determine input parameters and determine the accuracy of the Enhanced Integrated Climatic Model (EICM) to be released with the 2002 Guide for

predicting temperature and moisture profiles in rigid and flexible pavements. Evaluate specific inputs to the EICM, develop input guidelines for Ohio and New York, and compare calculated temperature and moisture profiles with actual measurements obtained at test sites in Ohio and New York State.

(3) Calibrate ME procedures presented in the NCHRP 1-37A AASHTO Pavement Guide for Ohio and New York State using data collected during this study and previously in Ohio. Develop calibration factors for the distress models in the NCHRP 1-37A software so calculated response and performance on projects in this study agree more closely with actual measured response and performance.

- A. Review Level 1, 2, and 3 hierarchies in the 2002 Guide and perform a sensitivity analysis of input parameters to determine the relative effect of each parameter in each hierarchy. A decisive effort will be made to use the results of sensitivity analyses being conducted on other projects, i.e. the Kansas pooled fund study TPF-5(079), FHWA, NCHRP, and AASHTO.
- B. By comparing calculated and measured response and performance on the study pavements, recommend calibration factors for the distress models in the 1-37A software that would improve the accuracy of designs in Ohio and New York State. Pavement analysis codes for both flexible and rigid pavements adopted by the 2002 Guide will be used in this part of the study
- C. Determine information required to perform Level 1, 2, and 3 analyses and develop guidelines for selecting input values. Recommend appropriate values based on the results of Part B.
- D. Considering the estimated accuracy of Level 1, 2, and 3 designs, and the effort required to obtain input data for each design, evaluate the relative effectiveness of each design level and recommend levels appropriate for different functional classes of pavement.

(4) Controlled Testing of Perpetual Pavement Systems to Determine their Relative Performance and to Recommend the Most Promising Layer Configurations (Materials and Thicknesses).

- A. Select no less than three perpetual pavement configurations to be tested under carefully controlled conditions. These will include an asphalt concrete surface layer over a very stiff base, a buildup based on the South African method of perpetual pavement design, and a pavement consisting of a thick asphalt concrete layer on a thick granular base.
- B. Build the proposed test sections at the Accelerated Pavement Load Facility at the Ohio University Campus in Lancaster, Ohio. Each section will be instrumented with strain gages, pressure cells, LVDTs, and accelerometers to monitor pavement response to applied loads. Prior to construction of pavement layers, the subgrade will be tested to primarily determine its stiffness and other properties needed for the complete characterization of materials. As new layers are added

characterization tests will be conducted in the finished surface of each layer. Tests will be of both destructive and non-destructive nature

- C. Collect pavement response data from embedded gages to obtain their response time series and conduct other primarily non-destructive tests to monitor material property changes after scheduled number of load repetitions have been applied to the pavement sections
- D. Analyze pavement performance data and validate available pavement analysis methods. Once testing is completed, a comparative study will help determine what pavement configuration is more advantageous under the tested conditions, from which future design recommendations can be developed. Similarly, pavement response and material characterization data obtained during the controlled indoor testing will be used in the additional verification of pavement analysis models. If suitable analysis methods are found, they can be used in the development of mechanistic-based design charts, which may be used by practitioners in future designs.

(5) Document all findings of the research.

- A. Prepare annual interim reports documenting the construction of test sections and reviewing trends in environmental data, sensor status, and performance of the test sections.
- B. Prepare final reports documenting all work performed on this study and all important findings.
- C. Any major findings with immediate application will be reported in an appropriate format as the project progresses.

The availability of instrumented pavement sections to be constructed on WAY 30 in Ohio and on NYS 17 (I-86) in New York State, along with existing test sections at the Ohio SHRP Test Road, US 50 in Athens County, US 33 in Meigs County, US 33 in Logan County, US 33 in the city of Nelsonville, and I 77 in Stark County in Ohio, and I 490 in New York State offer a unique opportunity to meet the objectives of this proposed national pooled fund research project. While these projects were constructed to obtain specific data for ODOT and NYSDOT, they can continue to be monitored, and the data adapted to the broader goal of calibrating the NCHRP 1-37A 2002 Guide.

4. BACKGROUND AND REVIEW

The WAY 30 and I 86 Projects

As part of the construction of the Wooster by-pass on WAY 30 (US 30) in northeast Ohio, and the reconstruction of NY 17 (to become I 86) in western New York State, the Ohio and New York State Departments of Transportation, in cooperation with the asphalt and Portland cement concrete pavement industries, are proposing to construct innovative pavement sections designed for extended serviceability. These sections include

perpetual asphalt concrete and long-lasting economical concrete pavements in Ohio, and AC and PCC overlays of an existing PCC pavement in New York State. Construction of the Ohio and New York State pavements will start in 2004 and 2005, respectively. The installation of a weather station and suitable pavement instrumentation to gather both climatic and load response data at the WAY 30 site has already been funded by ODOT through the project "Instrumentation of the WAY 30 Test Pavements" previously awarded to Ohio University. In a second project entitled "Determination of Mechanical Properties of Materials Used in the WAY 30 Test Pavements," Ohio University will determine stiffness and other properties of materials used in the WAY 30 test pavement for subsequent studies and validations.

The WAY 30 project will contain extensive instrumentation to continuously monitor climatic conditions with an on-site weather station, pavement temperature with a thermistor array and subsurface moisture with Time Domain Reflectometry (TDR) probes, and to measure pavement response during FWD and controlled vehicle testing. Traffic will be continuously monitored with weigh-in-motion (WIM) systems installed in the AC and PCC pavements. These data will permit the reconstruction of the strain history of the test sections and the calculation of the amount of fatigue or rutting damage that would be expected to have accumulated at any given time. A parallel and comprehensive plan has been established to determine the mechanical properties of materials and other input applicable parameters for the eventual verification and calibration of ME pavement design procedures.

The WAY 30 and I 86 projects will include novel pavement designs not previously constructed in the states of Ohio and New York State. These designs are receiving increased interest and acceptance by other highway departments. Thus, it is logical to examine their viability and performance in the rather harsh climatic conditions prevalent in northeastern Ohio and western New York State.

Existing Test Pavements

A detailed review of previously instrumented test pavements to be monitored during this research for database enhancement and design validations and calibrations is included in the Appendix. These are: the Ohio SHRP Test Road (US 23) in Delaware County, US 50 in Athens County, US 33 in Meigs County, US 33 in Logan County, US 33 in the city of Nelsonville, and I 77 in Stark County.

These projects were intended to identify more durable pavement sections, to examine construction methods and specifications, to clearly identify the influence of weather related factors on pavements and, ultimately, to validate or modify existing pavement design procedures. The highlight of this effort was the construction and monitoring of the Ohio SHRP Test Road on US 23 north of Delaware. This project is providing extremely useful information on pavement performance by identifying:

- Effects of various design parameters on pavement performance,
- Effects of drainage and moisture propagation beneath the pavement surface,
- Variations in the mechanical properties of pavement materials under changing environmental factors, and
- Effects of traffic loading on the response and performance of various pavement sections.

Carefully controlled load tests using non-destructive testing techniques and moving trucks on the Ohio SHRP Test Road also generated valuable data for researchers and designers alike, thus facilitating the validation of analysis codes that could be used as the basis of mechanistic-empirical pavement design procedures. Additional pavement sections have been monitored throughout the state with the primary aim of elucidating the influences of environment and load on pavement performance.

Validation Example

Finite element and elastic layer theory computer codes model pavement response as a “statically” applied load. These codes have been used as the basis of back calculation procedures to infer pavement layer moduli from FWD measurements. While peak FWD deflections have traditionally been used for back calculation, recent improvements in the FWD now permit recording of the load pulse and time histories of the geophones. A more realistic and rigorous analysis of pavement structures could be achieved by considering the time-varying nature of FWD loading, since it more closely resembles loads applied by moving vehicles.

Over the past two years, dynamic finite element analyses of some asphalt concrete pavement sections constructed on the Ohio SHRP Test Road have been conducted using material properties determined in the laboratory, and response deflections, strains and pressures measured with sensors embedded in the pavement. Analyses were conducted using the finite element computer code PLAXIS, which includes a dynamics module specifically developed to analyze problems in soil and rock as well as in pavement materials. Time integration in PLAXIS is achieved through an implicit Newmark Scheme. The analysis of a pavement section during FWD measurements can be appropriately conducted using an axisymmetric finite element grid with the axis of symmetry coinciding with the center of the FWD loading plate, fixed nodes at the base, and nodes capable of displacing vertically on both sides of the grid.

Instrumentation installed at the Ohio SHRP Test Road includes structural response sensors, such as LVDTs, strain gages, and pressure cells arranged similar to those planned for the WAY 30 and NY 17 test sections. Strain responses were calculated at key locations to evaluate PLAXIS as a pavement analysis tool. Selected plots of stress-strain time history response in LTPP Section 390104 are shown in Figures 1 and 2. The vertical stress time histories shown in Figure 1 were generated at one position corresponding to the top of the subgrade soil, just below the base layer and directly under the center of loading. Strain time history responses were recorded at nine positions along the axis of symmetry and along the bottom of the asphalt layer. Each curve in the strain time history plot along the axis of symmetry corresponds to a depth below the AC surface, as shown in Figure 2, where positive strain indicates tension and negative strain indicates compression.

These examples show some of the methodology available for validating analysis procedures from field sensors or for inferring input material properties for calculating pavement response. Pavement responses measured with LVDTs, pressure cells and strain gages, and recorded in real time with a MEGADAC data acquisition system can be compared to responses calculated with codes like PLAXIS.




FIGURE 1 Vertical subgrade stress time history under center of loading Section 390104 (FWD tests: 9/14/99 and 9/26/00)

Once suitable analysis procedures are verified, they can be combined with transfer functions, traffic requirements, material properties and seasonal effects to set up a mechanistic-based pavement design procedure.




FIGURE 2 AC radial strain time history along axis of symmetry Section 390104. (FWD test: 9/14/99)

Construction Practices Affecting Pavement Performance

Pavement performance can certainly be affected by climatic conditions and construction techniques. While pavements cannot be placed under certain harsh conditions known to adversely affect performance, other conditions or combinations of conditions, not thought to have a severe impact on performance, may be permitted in situations where the contractor is under pressure to complete a project. A few of these marginal situations include:

- Placing PCC pavements during the warmest times of the day, and on hot and dry summer days. The inherent shrinkage of PCC under these conditions can initiate micro cracks that continue to grow during service. Excessive warping and curling also may result under unfavorable curing conditions, resulting in reduced slab support.
- Cold “jointing” in AC pavements. When a new AC layer is placed adjacent to an existing cold lift, the new AC may not properly bond to the cold asphaltic material, thereby creating a “longitudinal” joint between the sections. These joints may “ravel” as moisture infiltrates the joint and temperature changes cause the joints to widen.
- Treatment of existing PCC sections scheduled for rehabilitation. This process has a major impact on performance, whether it be rubblizing, cracking and seating with stress relief courses being placed between the existing PCC and the overlay, or leaving the slabs intact and overlaying with AC.

Effects of Weather-Related Factors on Pavement Performance

The effects of temperature on the rheological properties of AC have been well documented for several decades. It has also been recognized that asphaltic mixes should have a narrow temperature-stiffness range to prevent low temperature cracking and high temperature deformation or rutting. At times, asphaltic mixtures may need to be modified for conditions prevalent at a specific site.

A recent study of precipitation and moisture measurements conducted by Case Western Reserve University at the Ohio SHRP Test Road, (Figueroa, 2004) indicated that a lag existed between increased precipitation in the spring months and an increased degree of saturation in the subgrade. Subgrade moisture is consistently higher during mid-summer than at any other time of the year. This weakening of the subgrade, coupled with a lower AC stiffness prevalent in the summer months, results in a pavement structure with diminished load-carrying capacity. Thus, environmental factors play a fundamental role in designing pavements for an expected service life.

Studies have also documented measurable losses of PCC slab support caused by moisture and temperature differentials between the top and the bottom of the slab during curing and during daily curling and warping of the slabs. This condition can lead to higher slab stresses than those generated by traffic loads alone. Slabs continuously experience the compound effects of temperature, moisture and traffic loading. Under certain combinations of circumstances, slabs may experience significant tensile stresses at the top leading to the propagation of cracks from the top down, contrary to the traditional belief that PCC slabs crack at the bottom and propagate upward.

No less important in rigid pavement design is the selection of base type. Unfortunately, what is thought to be an advantageous choice to diminish the effects of loads by providing a very stiff base translates into accentuated temperature and moisture effects on PCC slabs. When PCC slabs are placed on a very stiff base, warping and curling results in a “cantilever or simply supported beam” effect with reduced slab support.

Thus, it is of extreme importance to properly document how weather related parameters affect PCC slab curling and warping, AC pavement stiffness, and subgrade stiffness and how these factors affect pavement response and performance at particular locations.

AC Temperature Prediction Models

A number of models have been developed to predict AC pavement temperature from measured climatic conditions. Examples of these are the LTPP model and equations recently developed by Figueroa (2001) relating average asphalt concrete pavement temperature to air temperature from data obtained at eight monitoring stations located throughout Ohio. These station sites were selected to show how latitude affects asphalt concrete temperature for a given air temperature, even within a single state.

Figure 3 shows average AC temperature as a function of air temperature for one of the monitored stations. Statistical regression analyses were conducted on the combined daytime and nighttime values to develop equations relating average AC temperature and air temperature. Such equations are useful for inferring average AC modulus from air temperature readings. A polynomial relationship yielded a best fit for the field data.




Figure 3 Air Temperature vs. Average AC Temperature (Wood 8 Co Station)

$$P = C1 + C2(A) + C3(A^2) \tag{1}$$

Where

- C1, C2, and C3 = Regression constants.
- P = Average AC temperature (° C).
- A = Air temperature (° C).

An examination of the regression equation coefficients obtained from data at individual stations indicated that the state of Ohio can be subdivided into three general temperature zones: North, (from the northern state line to Mansfield – Mount Vernon) Central (from Mansfield – Mount Vernon to Lancaster) and South (from Lancaster to the southern state line). This division is useful in assessing average AC modulus on a seasonal or monthly basis for any future implementation of mechanistic pavement design procedures. Individual regression coefficients for each climatic zone and average coefficients for all of Ohio are included in Table 1. It is worth noting that average

coefficients are similar to those determined for the central zone of the state and that coefficients of determination, R^2 , were greater than 0.84 in all cases, indicating highly significant relationships between air temperature and average pavement temperature.

Table 1 Average AC Temperature vs. Air Temperature Coefficients

Site	No. of Points	C1	C2	C3	R^2
NORTH	75414	4.1409	0.9423	0.0027	0.8640
CENTRAL	118290	4.8118	0.8860	0.0052	0.8418
SOUTH	61152	5.2834	0.9113	0.0055	0.8431
ALL SITES	254856	4.7055	0.9107	0.0045	0.8475

Lukanen et al. (2000) modified the BELLS model, which was patterned after the original Southgate's work, using extensive LTPP data to estimate AC temperatures below the pavement surface. These modified BELLS2 (LTPP Testing Protocol) and BELLS3 (Routine Testing Methods for shade adjusted temperatures) models also maintained the basic parameters of the Southgate method. Equations 2 and 3 are models recommended for predicting temperature at specific depths within asphaltic pavements.

BELLS2 (LTPP testing Protocol)

$$T_d = 2.78 + 0.912 * IR + \{\log(d) - 1.25\} \{-0.428 * IR + 0.553 * (1\text{-day}) + 2.63 * \sin(hr_{18} - 15.5)\} + 0.027 * IR * \sin(hr_{18} - 13.5) \quad (2)$$

Adjusted $R^2 = 0.977$

where:

- T_d = Pavement temperature at depth d in °C
- IR = Infrared surface temperature measured at the time of FWD testing in °C
- Log = Base 10 logarithm
- d = Depth at which mat temperature is to be predicted, mm
- 1-day = Average air temperature the day before testing
- sin = Sine function on an 18-hr clock system, with 2 radians equal to one 18-hr cycle
- hr_{18} = Time of day, in 24-hr clock system, but calculated using an 18-hr asphalt concrete (AC) temperature rise and fall time cycle

BELLS3 (Routine Testing Methods)

$$T_d = 0.95 + 0.892 * IR + \{\log(d) - 1.25\} \{-0.448 * IR + 0.621 * (1\text{-day}) + 1.83 * \sin(hr_{18} - 15.5)\} + 0.042 * IR * \sin(hr_{18} - 13.5) \quad (3)$$

Adjusted $R^2 = 0.975$

These models use the previous day average air temperature as opposed to the 5-day average air temperature that is more difficult to obtain.

Superpave algorithms to calculate AC temperature are specified by two regression equations for maximum and minimum AC temperature, as follows:

For maximum pavement temperature:

$$T_{20mm} = (T_{Air} - 0.00618Lat^2 + 0.2289Lat + 42.2)(0.9545) - 17.78 \quad (4)$$

where:

T_{20mm} = AC temperature at 20mm. below the surface where the high pavement temperature is define by Superpave

Lat = latitude of the location,

T_{Air} = seven-day average high air temperature in °C.

For minimum pavement temperature (Mohseni, 1998):

$$T_{Surface} = -1.56 + 0.72T_{Air} - 0.004Lat^2 + 6.26\log_{10}(H + 25) - z(4.4 + 0.52\sigma^2)^{1/2} \quad (5)$$

where:

$T_{Surface}$ = Low pavement temperature below the surface (from -33.01 to 13.67°C)

Lat = Latitude of the section (from 26.983 to 51.908 degrees),

T_{Air} = Low air temperature (from -41.53 to 4.61°C),

H = Depth to surface in mm (from 25.4 to 274.32mm),

z = 2.055 for 98% reliability,

σ = Standard deviation of the mean low air temperature.

Statistics: $R^2 = 96\%$, $N = 411$, $SEE = 2.1$

These correlations are based on a pavement solar absorption of 0.9, radiation transmission through air of 0.81, an atmospheric radiation of 0.7, and an average wind speed of 4.5 m/s (15 ft/s).

These and other models can be validated by analyzing data obtained at the Ohio and New York State test sites.

Monthly and Seasonal Asphalt Concrete Resilient Modulus Variation

Research conducted by Figueroa (2001) indicated that, as a result of daily and seasonal temperature differences, the resilient modulus of asphalt concrete varies significantly during the year. To examine this temperature susceptibility, a typical mid-season 3-day sequence was selected to illustrate daily variations of air temperature during the four seasons. Using the regressions between air and AC temperature given in Table 1 and Figure 3, and well-know relationships between AC modulus and temperature, typical three-day resilient moduli may be determined for spring, summer, fall and winter. The times of the day of equal stiffness may also be inferred from these sequences, along with average resilient moduli for a typical mid-season day. For all of Ohio, the AC modulus may be selected as:

3791.7 MPa (550 ksi) in the spring (+/- 1034.1 MPa or +/- 150 ksi)

1723.5 MPa (250 ksi) in the summer (+/- 1034.1 MPa or +/- 150 ksi)

8272.8 MPa (1200 ksi) in the fall (+/- 1378.8 MPa or +/- 200 ksi)

15511.5 MPa (2250 ksi) in the winter (+/- 1551.1 MPa or +/- 225 ksi)

Perpetual pavements normally consist of three layers including:

- A high quality hot mix asphalt (HMA) layer located at the surface, considered to be the zone of high compression

- An intermediate layer of high modulus, rut resistant asphaltic material
- An HMA base consisting of flexible fatigue resistant material capable of resisting high tensile strains.
- A pavement foundation, normally consisting of the prepared natural soil

Stiffness of the asphaltic layers will be affected by temperature changes within the layers as heat is transferred with changes in air temperature and solar radiation. It may be desirable to specify a minimum thickness for one or more asphaltic layers to reduce variations in the stiffness of asphaltic materials lower in the pavement structure to reduce pavement distress.

Laboratory and Field Databases

Existing databases such as DATAPAVE provide the knowledge-based documentation necessary to select successful alternatives for pavement design and construction. With the advent of the Mechanistic-Empirical design procedures developed under NCHRP 1-37A, these databases become an even more significant source of information for implementing the new AASHTO Guide. Comparable data from the new pavements to be constructed in Ohio and New York State will provide additional information for pavement engineers to use in the future.

The 2002 Design Guide Review

Traditional and empirical pavement design procedures based on the results of the AASHTO Road Test have served the pavement community reasonably well for over 40 years. However, increasing demands of traffic in number, magnitude, and axle configurations along with better awareness of the effects of weather related factors on materials included in pavement construction dictates the need for more rigorous design procedures. The following limitations in the AASHTO *Guide for Design of Pavement Structures* support the need for improved design methods:

- Lack of consideration of rehabilitation techniques including overlays during the AASHTO Road Test.
- Difficulty in extrapolating results of tests to other climatic conditions existing throughout the country.
- Only one type of subgrade supported all test sections.
- A dense graded granular base was the primary type used in the pavement profiles; although a very limited number of treated bases were included in the flexible pavement sections.
- No lateral drainage was included in any of the sections.

Pavement engineers are limited to the use of traditional materials such as Portland cement concrete, asphalt concrete, stabilized materials and natural aggregates because of the large quantities required for roadway projects. They are also challenged by the ever-present effects of climatic factors on material properties and the inherent aging that naturally occurs with exposure to the elements. No less important is the influence of dynamic loads applied by traffic on materials that do not respond linearly, as described by elastic theory.

Engineers and researchers have for a number of decades recognized the need to incorporate principles of engineering mechanics to improve the reliability of pavement

designs. These principles however, must be coupled with empirical performance observations to properly adapt the designs for local conditions. With the improvement in computer hardware and software, it is now expedient to use computationally intensive techniques incorporating elastic layer theory for linear materials and finite element techniques for non-linear materials. A mechanistic-empirical design method thus incorporates rational mechanical modeling, coupled with adjustments derived from observations on in-service pavements.

The advantages of M-E pavement designs, such as those specified in the 2002 Guide include:

- Create more efficient and cost-effective designs
- Improve design reliability
- Reduce life cycle costs
- Increase support for cost allocation
- Predict specific distress modes, so they may be addressed prior to failure
- Extrapolate from limited field and laboratory data
- Better evaluate the impact of changed traffic loading and new types of vehicles
- Make better use of available materials
- Better characterize climatic and drainage effects
- Improve rehabilitation design
- Incorporate daily, seasonal, and yearly changes in materials, climate, and traffic into the design process

The following section summarizes the main characteristics of the 2002 Guide including the fundamentals followed in its development, input data, and results

The 2002 Design Guide

The 2002 Design Guide includes methods for analyzing and designing most types of new and rehabilitated flexible, rigid, and composite pavements, without favoring any specific material type. It is based upon the use of existing models and databases and upon the sound principles of engineering mechanics. The guide specifically emphasizes rehabilitation design in view of the fact that a high percentage of pavement expenditures in the US involve the rehabilitating of existing pavements. Specifically, the Guide includes:

- Methods for evaluating existing pavements
- Recommendations on rehabilitation options, drainage, and subgrade improvement
- Methods for life cycle cost, reliability, and traffic analyses
- Methods for calibration to local conditions
- Guidelines to establish procedures tailored to a given DOT
- An easy to follow step by step approach offering a number of design options suited to the type of road under consideration

The design approach selected for the 2002 Design is divided into three major parts:

1. Development of input values
2. Structural/Performance analysis
3. Evaluation of feasible alternatives

Part 1 of the design process starts with the selection of a trial pavement profile along with required inputs such as material strength and stiffness, volume change potential, freeze and thaw damage, as well as subgrade improvements by stabilization and drainage in new pavements.

Rehabilitation options for existing pavements are focused on investigating the cause(s) of occurring distress and in assessing the strength and stiffness of layers primarily by surface deflection measurements and backcalculation methods. Provisions are also offered for in-situ sampling through coring, undisturbed sample extraction, and penetrometer testing for better assessment of in-situ material properties.

The types of pavement materials considered by the guide include:

- Dense-graded hot mix asphalt
- Open-graded asphalt treated materials
- Cold mix asphalt
- Portland cement concrete
- Cementitious stabilized materials
- Non-stabilized granular base/subbase
- Subgrade soils
- Bedrock

These materials are characterized in terms of elastic properties, such as modulus and Poisson's ratio. For bound materials such as PCC, AC and other stabilized materials, the modulus of elasticity or the dynamic modulus suffices since these materials exhibit a nearly elastic behavior and the modulus is independent of stress. Unbound materials such as subgrade soils, granular bases and granular subbases exhibit a stress-dependent behavior such that the resilient modulus increases on granular material and decreases with increased stress on fine-grained material according to well-established models. Material response to load is essentially insensitive to Poisson's ratio, thus assumed values of this parameter are usually sufficient. However, the guide recommends testing procedures and typical values that can be assumed for this parameter.

In selecting appropriate moduli values for design, three levels of reliability from high to low are offered by the guide with:

- Level 1 including measured dynamic, elastic, or resilient modulus
- Level 2 including estimated dynamic, elastic, or resilient modulus
- Level 3 including default dynamic, elastic, or resilient modulus

Besides typical material property inputs relating pavement response to loading, the guide requires the use of less common material parameters associated with strength and pavement distress, such as shear strength, compressive strength, modulus of rupture, repeated load permanent deformation characteristics, and fatigue. Still other material properties involve the calculation of critical stresses and the coefficients of thermal expansion for AC and PCC. In addition to these material properties, Step 1 requires the input of traffic and climatic data.

The 2002 Guide considers traffic in terms of the full axle load spectra for single, tandem, tridem, and quad axles. Traffic loading may also be converted to ESALs in order to facilitate the use of earlier mathematical models developed for this type of traffic input. Traffic data collected in the LTPP-SPS program may easily be adapted to the requirements of the guide.

The guide adopts a novel approach in considering site-specific environmental effects (primarily by temperature and moisture) on pavement performance. Given the seasonal and daily variations in moisture and temperature that affect stiffness and strength, as well as the dimensional stability of pavement materials, the FHWA Enhanced Integrated Climate Model (EICM) offers the most promising approach to integrate site specific environmental effects into the design process. This model includes the following four sub-models: 1) Precipitation, 2) Infiltration and Drainage, 3) Climate-Materials-Structure, and 4) Frost Heave and Thaw Settlement.

The last segment of Step 1 includes the input of variability or uncertainty expected within each of the previous inputs. These are required along with the probability distribution of each input to conduct the Monte Carlo Simulation (MCS) in the reliability analysis.

Part 2 of the 2002 Guide consists of an iterative approach for the structural/performance analysis of a selected trial design. Each trial section is analyzed using the structural response and performance models to determine cumulative damage with time. Details such as layer thickness, required repairs to the existing pavement, if appropriate, and material properties are provided. The MCS yields the distribution of each important distress while the risk of exceeding its critical level is determined. With the expected amount of damage over time calculated, the distress over time and traffic is estimated through calibrated distress models. The trial section may be modified and the iteration continues until a satisfactory level of reliability is reached.

Since pavement rehabilitation was one of the main reasons why the guide was developed and is a fundamental portion of it, a sufficient number of flexible and rigid pavement rehabilitation methods are included as options.

Part 3 includes the evaluation of technically viable alternatives through an engineering and life cycle cost analysis of alternatives meeting the reliability requirements in Part 2

2002 Design Guide Software

The 2002 Guide is accompanied by a user-friendly software package to expedite the determination of suitable alternatives prescribed by the guide. This package is written in C++ language for a WIN32 platform and it is based on existing mechanistic-empirical models. An effort was made to keep a consistency between the rigid and flexible pavement modules to allow for the same inputs and interfaces, whenever possible, giving the user the ability to examine alternatives with either type of pavement. The software is easily interfaced with any database having the open database connectivity (ODBC) interface and makes it possible for its use with more advanced database management systems, if required.

Example input and output screens obtained from the 2002 Design Guide for a problem consisting of an AC overlay over Fractured PCC (Level 3 –Default Properties) are included in the Appendix. Input data may be provided through individual sub screens or by pointing the program towards the files containing the pertinent values of the parameter. Results as well as input data summaries are displayed in EXCEL-based worksheets or plots, as shown in the Appendix. Only the rutting vs. time plot has been included in the results section of the Appendix, however the number of input and output worksheets and plots add to a total of 16 for this particular problem, which took approximately 1 hour and 20 minutes to solve when processed with a Pentium processor with a clock speed of 1.4 GHz.

2002 Guide Training and Implementation

The 2002 Guide represents the first time mechanistic-empirical pavement design procedures are being introduced at a national level. Since many of the concepts and fundamentals will be new to the highway community, training and implementation materials are imperative to aid in its recognition and acceptance amongst potential users. These will be provided in an effort to facilitate the full and broad implementation of the guide, emphasizing the benefits to be gained by the acceptance of the procedure, compared to traditional pavement design methods.

Pavement Material Properties Required by the M-E Procedures

The following is a summary of the rationale used in the new 2002 Guide for designing pavements, testing materials and applying relationships to describe fatigue characteristics and material stiffnesses required in the design procedures.

Rigid Pavements (See Table 2)

- Slab thickness design is based on fatigue.
- Important factors in the design procedure include: slab thickness, concrete properties, shoulder support, subbase material properties, bonding and thickness of subbase, subgrade support, load transfer across joints, joint spacing, subsurface drainage, and climate conditions.
- The total design process includes a slab thickness design procedure, a joint design procedure, and a subbase design procedure.
- Only comprehensive finite element models can provide all necessary tools to fully analyze slabs of any size, multiple loading conditions, load transfer across cracks and joints, and subbase effects. However, finite element models are too time-consuming for routine use.
- An elastic plate theory (Westergaard) model was adapted for the slab thickness procedure, with the results of the model being modified using results from finite element models to make necessary adjustments for joint spacing, load transfer, load location, load configuration, curling, etc.
- Slabs on the dense liquid (Winkler) foundation are used for design analysis.
- Corner load deflections are based on results from the ILLI-SLAB finite element model.
- Subbase material must be strong with sufficient durability to support load applied by construction equipment, to resist erosion due to water ejection from under the pavement, and to withstand the effects of repeated freezing and thawing. Appropriate materials include cement stabilized aggregates, asphalt stabilized aggregates, and open graded crushed stones (with low fines content).
- Several equations are available to estimate the fatigue life of concrete, including:

$$\text{Log (N)} = 17.61 (1 - R) \quad (6)$$

$$\text{Log (N)} = -1.7136 R + 4.284 \quad \text{for } R > 1.25 \quad (7)$$

$$\text{Log (N)} = 2.8127 R^{-1.2214} \quad \text{for } R < 1.25 \quad (8)$$

where N = mean number of load repetitions to failure and R = ratio of flexural stress (due to load and climate) to the mean modulus of rupture.

Table 2: M-E Design Inputs for Rigid Pavements

No.	Material	Properties Required	Methods
1	Concrete	Elastic Modulus E_c	Level 1: Determine by ASTM C469. Level 2: Estimate from 28-day compression strength. Level 3: Use a typical value of 4 million psi.
		Poisson's Ratio μ	Level 1: Determine by ASTM C469. Levels 2 & 3: Or, assume a typical value of 0.15.
		Modulus of Rupture S_c or Flexural Strength at 28 days	Level 1: Determine by ASTM C78 or AASHTO T96 (3 rd Point Load). Level 2: Estimate from 28-day compression strength or from measured elastic modulus. Level 3: Use a default value.
		Thermal Coefficient of Expansion β	Level 1: Determine by the FHWA method (?). Level 2: Select a typical value based on the aggregate type. Level 3: Use a typical value of $9 \times 10^{-6} / ^\circ\text{C}$.
		Coefficient of Drying Shrinkage α	Level 1: Determine by ASTM C490. Level 2: Estimate from strength data. Level 3: Use a typical value of 1×10^{-4} .
2.	Base/Subbase (Untreated & Treated)	Thickness	Level 1: Input actual thickness. Levels 2 & 3: Input design thickness.
		Composite Modulus of Subgrade Reaction k	See the notes below.
3	Subgrade	Composite Modulus of Subgrade Reaction k	Level 1: Determine by AASHTO T222. Level 2: Estimate from CBR, FWD, or other available test data. Level 3: Select a default value.

[Notes]

- Static modulus of elasticity of concrete (E_c) may be estimated from the 28-day compressive strength (f'_c) and/or unit weight (w_c) using the formula:

$$E_c = 57,000 (f'_c)^{1/2} \quad \text{or} \quad E_c = 33(w_c)^{3/2} (f'_c)^{1/2} \quad \text{ACI 318-89} \quad (9)$$

- Flexural strength of concrete is usually about 15% of the compressive strength. The relationship between them is given by:

$$S_c = K (f'_c)^{1/2} \quad \text{where } K = 0.7 \text{ for } S_c \text{ (MPa) and } = 8.4 \text{ for } S_c \text{ (psi)}. \quad (10)$$

- PCC coefficient of thermal expansion (β) depends on the coarse aggregate type, as:

$$\begin{aligned} \beta &= 6.6 \times 10^{-6} / ^\circ\text{F} && \text{for quartz} \\ \beta &= 6.5 \times 10^{-6} / ^\circ\text{F} && \text{for sandstone} \\ \beta &= 6.0 \times 10^{-6} / ^\circ\text{F} && \text{for gravel} \\ \beta &= 5.3 \times 10^{-6} / ^\circ\text{F} && \text{for granite} \end{aligned}$$

$$\beta = 3.8 \times 10^{-6}/^{\circ}\text{F} \quad \text{for limestone}$$

- PCC coefficient of drying shrinkage (α) is typically equal to:
 - $\alpha = 0.00080$ in./in. for PCC with split-tensile strength less than 300 psi
 - $\alpha = 0.00045$ in./in. for PCC with split-tensile strength of 500 psi
 - $\alpha = 0.00020$ in./in. for PCC with split-tensile strength greater than 700 psi
- Elastic modulus of cement-treated base may be assumed to be about 2×10^6 psi.
- Poisson's ratio of the cement-treated base may be assumed to be equal to 0.15.
- Composite modulus of subgrade reaction (k) for base/subbase and subgrade combined can be estimated if the subgrade resilient modulus, base/subbase thickness, and base/subbase elastic modulus are known.
- The elastic modulus and Poisson's ratio of cement stabilized aggregates can be estimated by the formula recommended in the Illinois DOT Procedure (Leahy, 1989):

$$E \text{ (ksi)} = 500 + \text{Compressive Strength (psi)} \quad (11)$$
- Flexural strength of cement stabilized aggregates can be estimated as 20% of the compressive strength.
- Recommended Poisson's ratio for stabilized materials are:
 - Cement stabilized Aggregates ----- Poisson's Ratio = 0.20
 - Asphalt stabilized Aggregates ----- Poisson's Ratio = 0.35
- Measured deflections of slabs with and without 4 to 8 in. thickness unstabilized subbase layers is essentially the same as for slabs on a natural subgrade. Therefore, a composite modulus of subgrade reaction (k) is the only property needed in the design procedure. And, often the k value of the natural subgrade soil can represent the composite k value.
- Use the modulus of subgrade reaction (k) of the subgrade soil, if the pavement is placed directly on top of the roadbed (i.e., no base/subbase). This property can be measured by ASTM D1195/1196 (Level 1), estimated from the resilient modulus (Level 2), or assumed to be equal to a typical value listed in the M-E procedure literature (Level 3).
- The modulus of subgrade reaction (k) can be estimated from correlations with soil type and soil strength measures such as the California bearing ratio (CBR). Part II of the 1986 AASHTO Guide contains a series of figures that can be used to estimate the effective modulus of subgrade reaction based on subgrade resilient modulus, subbase thickness, subbase elastic modulus, subgrade thickness, slab thickness (relative damage), and effect of subbase erosion (loss of support).
- According to Yoder and Witczak (1975), typical k values are:

Soil Type =====	Range of k value (pci) =====
Plastic clays	50 to 100
Silts and silty clays	100 to 200
Sands and clayey gravels	200 to 300
Gravels	300+
CTB and ATB	400+

In some cases, the effective static k value of the subgrade is assumed to be equal to one half of the Falling Weight Deflectometer (FWD) dynamic value.

Flexible Pavements (See Table 3)

- Design procedures can be based on an elastic layer program (ex. DAMA, SPDM-PC) or stress dependent finite element models (ex. ILLI-PAVE, MICH-PAVE, FLEX-PASS).
- Elastic layer programs and ILLI-PAVE structural models are adequate to support the M-E thickness design procedures for flexible pavements.
- Resilient modulus and strength are significant material properties for structural analysis and thickness design.
- Temperature and moisture are two important environmental factors. Asphalt concrete (AC) modulus is influenced by temperature. Resilient modulus of cohesive subgrade soils is sensitive to moisture content (i.e., degree of saturation) and freeze-thaw action.
- There is not a unique relationship between the resilient modulus (E_{AC}) and the indirect tensile strength (ITS) for all asphalt concrete specimens. Test temperature, compaction procedure, and mix composition all influence the relationship. However, for a given AC mixture under similar compaction procedure and temperature, it is possible to establish a reliable regression equation for predicting the E_{AC} from ITS.
- Flexible pavement structural responses are significantly influenced by the subgrade.
- For general flexible pavement design, the “average” subgrade modulus should be inputted. If subgrade rutting is the controlling criterion, the “critical” subgrade modulus should be considered. The critical value is the one during the spring thaw period.
- FWD test data are useful in back-calculating moduli of subgrade soils. It is possible to estimate the resilient modulus of fine-grained subgrade soils from commonly determined index properties (ex. clay content, PI). See the notes below.
- Resilient modulus of granular materials is often described by the “theta model”:

$$M_R = k \theta^n \quad (12)$$
 where $\theta = \text{bulk stress} = \sigma_1 + \sigma_2 + \sigma_3$. AASHTO Design Guide (1986)
- One freeze-thaw cycle is sufficient to drastically lower the resilient modulus of fine-grained soils.
- It is generally known that the subgrade resilient modulus goes through seasonal fluctuations in each year. The resilient modulus tends to be very high during the winter (due to frost penetration), lowest during the spring (thawing), and stays at intermediate levels during the summer and fall.

- The AASHTO 1993 Guide contained a simple method for determining seasonal values of the subgrade resilient modulus:

$$(M_R)_{\text{eff}} = (3,005) * (u_f)^{-0.431} \quad (13)$$

where u_f = average relative seasonal damage.

- | | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| = 0.01 in January | = 0.01 in the first half of February |
| = 0.31 in the second half of February | = 1.01 in the first half of March |
| = 0.52 in the second half of March | = 0.52 in April |
| = 0.20 in the first half of May | = 0.14 in the second half of May |
| = 0.14 in the first half of June | = 0.10 in the second half of June |
| = 0.08 in the first half of July | = 0.06 in the second half of July |
| = 0.05 in August | = 0.04 in September |
| = 0.06 in the first half of October | = 0.08 in the second half of Oct. |
| = 0.14 in the first half of November | = 0.20 in the second half of Nov. |
| = 0.01 in December | |

Table 3: M-E Design Inputs for Flexible Pavements

No.	Material	Properties Required	Methods
1	Asphalt Concrete (AC)	Dynamic Modulus $ E^* $	Level 1: Determine by ASTM D3496. Level 2: Estimate from creep compliance test data. Can use Witczak Equations Level 3: Use a default value available.
		Resilient Modulus M_R	Level 1: Determine by AASHTO T46. Level 2: Estimate from ITS. Level 3: Use a default value available.
		Poisson's Ratio μ	Level 1: Determine by ASTM D3496 or AASHTO T46. Levels 2 & 3: Assume a typical value of 0.1, 0.35, or 0.5 at temperatures of 5, 25, or 40°C.
2.a	Base/Subbase (Untreated)	Resilient Modulus M_R	Level 1: Determine by AASHTO T46. Level 2: Estimate from CBR or R value. Back-calculate from FWD data. Level 3: Use a default value available.
		Poisson's Ratio μ	Assume a typical value of 0.40.
2.b	Base/Subbase (Treated)	Resilient Modulus M_R	Level 1: Determine by AASHTO T46 (ATB) or by ASTM D3496 (CTB, LCB). Level 2: Estimate from CBR or R value. Level 3: Use a default value available.
		Poisson's Ratio μ	ATB: Determine by ASTM D3496 or AASHTO T46. Or, assume typical values of 0.1, 0.35, and 0.5 at temperatures of 5, 25, and 40°C respectively.
			CTB/LCB: Use a typical value of 0.15.
3	Subgrade	Resilient Modulus M_R	Level 1: Determine by AASHTO T46. Level 2: Estimate from CBR or R value. Level 3: Use a default value available.
		Poisson's Ratio μ	Assume a typical value of 0.45.

[Notes]

- The Witczak equation for $|E^*|$ requires the following basic properties of the AC mixture:
 - bitumen viscosity (dynamic shear rheometer)
 - effective bitumen content
 - air voids
 - loading frequency
 - aggregate gradation data (% passing values for 19-mm, 9.5-mm, 4.76-mm, and 0.075-mm sieves)

Witczak Equation for $|E^*|$

$$|E^*| = 100,000 \times 10^{b1} \tag{14}$$

where:

$$b1 = b3 + 0.000005 b2 - 0.00189 b2 (f)^{-1.1}$$

$$b2 = (b4)^{0.5} \times (T)^{b5}$$

$$b3 = 0.553833 + 0.028829 (F_{200}) (f)^{-0.1703} - 0.03476 (V_a) + 0.070377 (\lambda) + 0.931757 (f)^{-0.02774}$$

$$b4 = 0.483 (V_b)$$

$$b5 = 1.3 + 0.49825 \text{ Log } (f)$$

where $b1$ through $b5$ = temporary constants, f = loading frequency (Hz), T = temperature ($^{\circ}\text{F}$), F_{200} = % passing No. 200 sieve, V_a = volume of air void (%), λ = asphalt viscosity at 70°F (10^6 poise), and V_b = volume of bitumen (%). If no data is available to specify λ , the following equation may be used to estimate it:

$$\lambda = 29,508 (P_{77^{\circ}\text{F}})^{-2.1939} \tag{15}$$

where $P_{77^{\circ}\text{F}}$ = penetration at 77°F (25°C).

Table 4. Typical Values of Asphalt $|E^*|$

Temperature ($^{\circ}\text{F}$)	Loading Frequency					
	1 Hz		4 Hz		16 Hz	
	Range	Mean	Range	Mean	Range	Mean
40	6.0-18.0	12.0	9.0-27.0	16.0	10.0-30.0	18.0
70	2.0-6.0	3.0	4.0-9.0	5.0	5.0-11.0	7.0
100	0.5-1.5	0.7	0.7-2.2	1.0	1.0-3.2	1.6

[Note] All the $|E^*|$ values are in terms of $\times 10^5$ psi.

- An AC modulus - temperature relationship was developed by the Asphalt Institute for an AC-20 asphalt cement (viscosity @ 70°F = 2×10^6 poises; 4.5% asphalt, 4% air voids, 5% passing #200 sieve; loading frequency 10Hz):

$$\text{Log } (E_{AC} \text{ in ksi}) = 4.038 - 0.017 T (F) \tag{16}$$
- The Illinois DOT (1989) established a chart correlating temperature to the design EAC in their mechanistic-empirical design procedure for full-depth AC pavements.

- The University of Illinois developed the following relationships between the resilient modulus (E_{AC}) and the indirect tensile strength (ITS) of the AC mixtures at 77 °F:

$$\text{AC-10: } E_{AC} \text{ (ksi)} = - 183 + 5.87 * \text{ITS (psi)} \quad (17)$$

$$\text{AC-20: } E_{AC} \text{ (ksi)} = - 173 + 6.03 * \text{ITS (psi)} \quad (18)$$

$$\text{All: } E_{AC} \text{ (ksi)} = - 176 + 6.06 * \text{ITS (psi)} \quad (19)$$

- Baladi (1988) proposed the following equation for estimating AC resilient modulus (M_R):

$$\ln (M_R) = 16.092 - 0.03658 (T) - 0.1401 (AV) - 0.0003409 (P) + 0.04353 (ANG) + 0.0008793 (KV) \quad (20)$$

where T = test temperature (°F), AV = air voids (%), P = magnitude of applied cyclic loading, ANG = aggregate angularity (1 to 4), and KV = kinematic viscosity at 275 °F (135 °C).

- The elastic modulus of cement-treated base may be assumed to be about 0.75 million psi. Poisson's ratio of the cement-treated base may be assumed to be equal to 0.15.
- The resilient modulus of the asphalt-treated base material may be determined by ASTM D3496 or AASHTO T46.
- The elastic modulus of asphalt-treated base may be assumed to be about 0.25 million psi at moderate temperature (25 °C). Poisson's ratio of the asphalt-treated base may be assumed to be equal to 0.35.
- The resilient modulus of subgrade soils can be estimated from basic index properties through:

$$M_R \text{ (OPT)} = 4.46 + 0.098 (C) + 0.119 (PI) \quad (21)$$

Thompson and LaGrow (1988)

where M_R (OPT) = resilient modulus at optimum moisture content, C = clay content (%), and PI = plasticity index (%).

Thompson and LaGrow also proposed the following moisture adjustment factors to adjust the M_R (OPT) values for moisture contents in excess of optimum:

USDA Class	Moisture Sensitivity (ksi)
Clay, silty clay, silty clay loam	0.7
Silt loam	1.5

where moisture sensitivity represents a M_R decrease for each 1% moisture increase.

- Carmichael and Stuart (1985) developed the following formulas for predicting the resilient modulus of both cohesive and granular soils:

Cohesive Soils

$$M_R \text{ (ksi)} = 37.431 - 0.4566 (PI) - 0.6179 (\%W) - 0.1424 (S200) + 0.1791 (\sigma_3) - 0.3248 (\sigma_d) + 36.422 (CH) + 17.097 (MH) \quad (22)$$

where %W = water content (%), S200 = % passing sieve #200, CH = 1 for CH soil and = 0 for other soils, and MH = 1 for MH soil and = 0 for other soils.

Granular Soils

$$\text{Log } M_R \text{ (ksi)} = 0.523 - 0.0225 (\%W) + 0.544 (\log \theta) + 0.173 (SM) + 0.197 (GR) \quad (23)$$

where θ = first stress invariant, SM = 1 for SM soil and = 0 for other soils, and GR = 1 for gravelly (GM, GW, GC, GP) soils and = 0 for other soils.

- According to the University of Illinois data (1979), the resilient modulus of subgrade soils can be estimated from unconfined compression strength as well:

$$M_R = 0.86 + 0.307 (q_u) \quad (24)$$

- The most widely used correlation between the resilient modulus and CBR is the one by Shell (1962):

$$M_R (\text{psi}) = 1,500 (\text{CBR}) \quad (25)$$

- Other correlation equations are:

$$M_R (\text{psi}) = 2,554 (\text{CBR})^{0.64} \text{ for } 2 \leq \text{CBR} \leq 12 \quad (26)$$

by TRRL (1984)

$$M_R (\text{psi}) = 1,500 (\text{CBR}) \quad (27)$$

by AASHTO 1993 Guide

$$M_R (\text{MPa}) = 10.3 (\text{CBR}) \quad (28)$$

by Asphalt Institute

The validity of these M_R - CBR correlations has been questioned. The resilient modulus of fine-grained soil is a function of the deviatoric stress level as well, and there cannot be a unique M_R - CBR correlation.

- According to Allen and Thompson (1974), the typical resilient modulus of granular soils can be summarized by:

$$M_R (\text{psi}) = k \theta^n \quad (29)$$

With k and n as specified in the following table:

Type	No. of Data Points	Mean k Value	Mean n Value
Silty Sands	8	1,620	0.62
Sand-Gravel	37	4,480	0.53
Sand-Aggregate Mix	78	4,350	0.59
Crushed Stone	115	7,210	0.45

The South African Mechanistic-Empirical Design Method (SAMDM)

An M-E pavement design method for perpetual pavements has been developed in South Africa by the Council for Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) (Theyse, 2004). This classical M-E design method is based on a single point estimate of structural capacity with the aim at preventing fatigue failure, rutting as well as shear failure of pavement materials. Any existing weak subgrade materials are isolated from the high shear stress region generated by traffic loads, by providing enough thickness in the overlying structural layers.

Pavement materials are designated and classified following the “G” system as follows:


LAYER	MATERIAL	RELATIVE COMPACTION
Base	G1	100-102% mod AASHTO 98% mod AASHTO
	G2	
	G3 and G4	
Subbase	G3 to G6	95% mod AASHTO
Selected Subgrade	G7 to G9	93% mod AASHTO
In Situ Subgrade	G10	90% mod AASHTO

In which:

- G1
 - Crushed, unweathered rock
 - Grading adjusted by adding fines from the crushing of the parent rock only
- G2/G3
 - Crushed boulders or coarse gravel
 - 50% of +4,75 mm material one fractured face
 - Classification based on CBR, PI
- G4 to G6
 - Natural gravel or crushed boulders
 - Classification based on CBR, PI

Material	CBR	Relative Compaction
G7	15%	93% mod AASHTO
G8	10%	in situ density
G9	7%	in situ density
G10	3%	in situ density

The typical layer arrangement is presented below (Theyse, 2004):




Following are the minimum subgrade strength requirements, prescribing a minimum CBR of 15% on the improved subgrade, before any subbase layer is placed over it. If necessary the subgrade may be improved in stages, as shown in the figure presented below, when the existing subgrade originally has a very low CBR value. If

necessary, stabilization with lime may be necessary to adequate the upper portion of the subgrade.

- Minimum foundation strength
 - In situ subgrade classified (SG1 – SG4)
 - If in situ subgrade not SG1, import material to provide minimum CBR of 15 %
 - If in situ subgrade SG4, special treatment

Minimum foundation CBR of 15 %



5. ANTICIPATED BENEFITS

This proposed national pooled-fund project will permit the extended monitoring of environmental and response instrumentation installed on the extended life AC and PCC pavements currently being constructed on US 30 in Ohio, on the existing PCC pavements to be rehabilitated on NYS 17 (I 86) next year in New York State, and on other previously instrumented pavements in both states. By carefully monitoring these environmental and mechanical parameters, early assessments can be made regarding the response and performance of these pavements, and the data will be preserved for other study analyses and for future reference. Design and construction deficiencies observed on these projects will be identified for correction on subsequent projects. Since non-traditional design and construction methods, specifications and testing procedures are required for these pavements, there must be careful validations to ensure that all aspects of the design/construction process are well documented so necessary adjustments can be made to avoid problems and provide predictable performance in the future. The end result will be more economical and more durable pavements designed using mechanistic/empirical procedures. All participating transportation agencies can benefit from this project because of the general types of pavements and materials being used in the test pavements.

This proposed project will also extend the monitoring of environmental and climatic instrumentation installed in the past at six sites throughout Ohio, including the Ohio SHRP Test Road. The continued monitoring of this instrumentation will provide more extensive data as input for implementation of the 2002 pavement design procedures, which place increased emphasis on climatic factors and traffic loading. By providing additional environmental, seasonal and climatic data to existing databases, the reliability of the databases will be improved, along with the accuracy of designs based upon these data. A web page with supporting files will be developed to allow easy access and downloading of data from the existing Ohio SHRP Test Road climatic and seasonal databases.

Specifically, states will receive both short term and long term benefits by participating in this proposed research. Short term gains will include:

- Knowledge of the relationships between response and environmental factors on test pavements studied in this project will provide a basis for understanding, validating, and calibrating ME pavement design procedures at other locations.
- The development of material databases that can be accessed for various ME design procedures, including the 2002 Guide developed under NCHRP 1-37A, will serve as a valuable reference tool. Several material properties not normally required for current procedures will be included in databases developed on this project.
- Various analytical pavement models including most commonly known finite element and elastic layer based models as well as those recommended by the participant project panel will be verified and calibrated through the comparison of measured and calculated response and performance parameters. Participating states can determine which procedures are most applicable for their conditions.
- Improved design, specification and construction guidelines will be provided for extended life AC and PCC pavements, and rehabilitated PCC pavements. States constructing these types of pavements will find these guidelines to be a valuable resource.
- Detailed analysis and performance observations related to perpetual AC pavements tested at the Accelerated Indoor Pavement Test Facility will be obtained, and design recommendations concerning these types of pavements will be developed.
- The Enhanced Integrated Climatic Model (EICM) to be included in the 2002 Design Guide makes use of climatic data to predict temperature profiles in the pavement and moisture profiles in the subgrade. Data collected on this project will be used to validate and calibrate temperature and moisture prediction models included in the EICM. Easy to follow guidelines for use of the EICM will be developed to facilitate its application by pavement engineers in all states.
- Up to three forensic investigations will be conducted in the proposed project as pavements fail or develop significant levels of distress. These will contribute to the identification of causes leading to the observed deficiencies. Remedial measures can be incorporated into future projects to avoid similar occurrences.

Long-term benefits will include the calibration of ME pavement design procedures by comparing calculated and observed response and performance on a range of pavement designs in this study. In addition, a permanent database containing measured parameters and material properties collected at the test sections will be available for future reference, validation, and calibration.

ME design procedures proposed by the 2002 Design Guide will allow the incorporation of material and construction variations in the actual design to better account for the effects of climate, aging, new materials, and non-traditional vehicle loadings. This flexibility will improve performance prediction and reduce the incidence of premature failures. Unlike empirical methods, ME design procedures can readily accommodate future procedural enhancements and changes in construction materials, traffic loading, and vehicle types. ME design procedures can also be used to provide input to pavement management systems, such that the type and timing of rehabilitation alternatives can be planned well in advance, resulting in a more efficient allocation of resources.

By observing construction and collecting environmental, climatic, and response data on a number of new, existing, and rehabilitated pavements in Ohio and New York State, researchers will be able to develop an extensive permanent database that can be used for validation and calibration of the NCHRP 1-37A Guide and other pavement design procedures well into the future. The Ohio and New York State DOTs are providing all funds required to construct and instrument the pavements included in this project. This proposal was originally prepared by ORITE to monitor the pavements, to archive the data in a database, and to use the data to validate and calibrate the NCHRP 1-37A Guide for these two agencies. It was decided, however, to offer this study as a national pooled fund project to afford other DOTs the opportunity to participate by contributing some nominal amount of funding. This arrangement would be beneficial to everyone. By having the input of several states, the results will be more applicable to the entire pavement community. Participating states in the Midwest will benefit by being able either by adopting results of the NCHRP 1-37A Guide directly for their area or by adopting the results with some minor modifications. States outside the Midwest will benefit by observing the activities and using similar procedures to develop their own calibrations.

6. ANTICIPATED RESULTS AND DELIVERABLES

The primary results of this project will revolve around the collection of measured environmental and response data required with ME design procedures and the use of these data to verify and calibrate various pavement analysis and ME design procedures, including those incorporated into the 2002 Guide, for perpetual asphalt concrete pavement, long-lasting economical concrete pavement, other types of AC and PCC pavements, and reconstructed existing rigid pavements. Upon completion of the project, the following items will be provided to all participating agencies:

- Comprehensive Microsoft Access databases containing all historical response, environmental, and climatic information, and all data obtained during this and previous contracts on the extended life pavements constructed in Ohio, the rehabilitated PCC pavements in New York State, and the previously instrumented pavements in both states, including the Ohio SHRP Test Road. They will be made available on compact disk or other secure medium, with some data also being made available in HTML format for distribution at web sites, if the participating agencies desire to have this option.
- Complete summaries of seasonal parameters recorded throughout the monitoring period and a detailed examination of relationships developed between these parameters and certain types of distress.
- Clear identification of input parameters and concise guidelines for the Enhanced Integrated Climatic Model (EICM) and a calibration of the recommended parameters for Levels 1, 2, and 3 designs with actual on-site measurements.
- Results of forensic investigations to be performed on monitored sections that fail during this project. Interim reports discussing the probable causes of failure and possible corrective measures will be published for distribution. This information will be helpful in properly evaluating the performance of pavements in this study.
- Succinct design recommendations for perpetual AC pavements.
- A listing of the input variables, with recommended values, for the 2002 Design Guide. The listing will include a discussion of the sensitivity of the analysis to the

input variable and the source of the recommended input value. If a recommended value cannot be determined from the data collected on this project or from the literature, guidelines will be provided on how to determine the needed value. Recommended calibration factors for the ME distress models in NCHRP 1-37A.

- Project documentation will include: annual interim reports on seasonal parameter trends and final reports to be published at the conclusion of Parts A, B, and C of the project. Additional reports, including one containing the verification and validation of ME design procedures will be submitted as results become available and as the importance of the findings dictates dissemination.

It is expected that the results of this research will complement and enhance the 2002 Design Guide with broad applications throughout the country.

Results and recommendations from each part (A, B, and C) of this proposed project will be consigned to reports prepared according to the following summary.

Summary of Reports

Task A1-A8

- Two annual reports provided in electronic format (each report will be in both PDF and DOC versions)
- Two forensic reports provided in electronic format (each report will be in both PDF and DOC versions)
- Seven copies of a draft final report and draft executive summary provided 120 days prior to the completion date of Task A1-A8
- Sixty copies of an approved final report and 120 copies of an approved executive summary provided by the completion date of Task A1-A8 (electronic versions of each documents in both PDF and DOC versions will be provided)

Task B1-B8

- Five annual reports provided in electronic format (each report will be in both PDF and DOC versions)
- Three forensic reports provided in electronic format (each report will be in both PDF and DOC versions)
- Seven copies of a draft final report and draft executive summary provided 120 days prior to the completion date of Task B1-B8
- Sixty copies of an approved final report and 120 copies of an approved executive summary provided by the completion date of Task B1-B8 (electronic versions of each documents in both PDF and DOC versions will be provided)

Task C1

- Seven copies of a draft final report and draft executive summary provided 120 days prior to the completion date of Task C1
- Sixty copies of an approved final report and 120 copies of an approved executive summary provided by the completion date of Task C1 (electronic versions of each documents in both PDF and DOC versions will be provided)

Task C2

- Six annual reports provided in electronic format (each report will be in both PDF and DOC versions)

Task C3

- One annual report provided in electronic format (the report will be in both PDF and DOC versions)
- Seven copies of draft comprehensive guidelines provided 120 days prior to the completion date of Task C3
- Sixty copies of an approved comprehensive guidelines provided by the completion date of Task C3 (electronic versions of guidelines in PDF and DOC versions will be provided)

Task C4

- Seven copies of draft comprehensive guidelines provided 120 days prior to the completion date of Task C4
- Sixty copies of an approved comprehensive guidelines provided by the completion date of Task C4 (electronic versions of guidelines in PDF and DOC versions will be provided)

7. RECOMMENDED IMPLEMENTATION PLAN

This research is part of a series of projects conducted by ODOT and NYSDOT, including the pooled fund study SPR 2(203), aimed at the verification of ME design and analysis procedures, including those prescribed in the soon to be released 2002 Design Guide. It also aims at verifying pavement rehabilitation and ME overlay design procedures on PCC pavements, on PCC pavements being rehabilitated on NY 17 (I 86) and on other previously constructed and carefully monitored pavement sections. The project objectives will be achieved through the comparison of predicted and actual field responses to validate ME design procedures.

As previously indicated, this project will make extensive use of the mechanical properties of materials determined in the laboratory at the time of construction of the WAY 30 and NY 17 (I 86) pavements, seasonal data, and pavement response data collected on the test sections during carefully controlled load tests, as well as existing databases related to other test sections. If the verification is successful, and if the pavement configurations are deemed suitable for further use, recommendations will be made for design and construction of similar sections elsewhere. The scope of the project is sufficiently general that the findings can be applied to other states interested in constructing similar types of pavement.

It is also foreseen that the results of analyses of the expanded long term monitoring of existing instrumented pavements with respect to the climatic and environmental factors effects on pavement performance may lead to revision of the ODOT's Pavement Design and Rehabilitation Manual (Section 200) to include the long-term and seasonal variation of the subgrade modulus. Data collection and monitoring of PCC test sections may also lead to changes to Item 452 in the CMS Manual and to Sections 300 and 400 of the Pavement Design and Rehabilitation Manual. Similar manuals from NYSDOT and other participating DOTs may also be modified.

Once findings of this research have been reviewed by ODOT and NYSDOT, seminars will be conducted in the two states to review the project, explain technical aspects of the new 2002 Guide, and present proposed modifications to existing design

procedures, construction techniques, specifications, and testing methods to maintain compliance with the Guide, while adjusting Guide parameters for local conditions.

8. WORK PLAN

The required effort to complete objectives of this project includes the following tasks:

Group A Tasks

Funding for Group A Tasks will be provided by the Ohio Department of Transportation and will include the subtasks indicated below.

Task A1. Data Collection, Field Sampling and Pavement Surveys

This task which extends for the complete duration of the project includes extending the overall monitoring period at the WAY 30 project to 6 years beyond the initial one year proposed by the project “Instrumentation of the WAY-30 Test Pavements.” All data will be collected using SHRP protocols.

Periodic coring and sampling, and in-situ testing with the FWD (by ODOT), and DCP (penetrometer by Ohio University-ORITE) measurements will be necessary, in conjunction with the controlled vehicle testing in Task A3.

All laboratory test results and collected field data will be organized in a user-friendly database.

In addition, this task will continue the data collection efforts on projects and sections with existing environmental instrumentation in Ohio. Besides gathering environmental data consisting of weather, pavement profile temperature, base and subgrade moisture, and resistivity (where applicable), periodic condition surveys will be conducted to document pavement performance and to note the progression of distress. These observations will be accompanied by measurements of rutting in AC and curling in PCC with a dipstick profiler. ODOT will also conduct FWD and water table depth measurements at selected sections and ORITE will analyze the resulting data. As in previous projects, ORITE will also coordinate the collection of environmental data on 5 sections at the Ohio SHRP Test Road being conducted by The Ohio State University. This work will also include keeping the sensors and data acquisition equipment operational and entering all environmental data into existing databases. A complete data collection detail is given in the tables shown below and titled: DATA COLLECTION DETAIL (Part A and B). Damaged sensors may be replaced if they measure a key parameter within the pavement profile.

All data collection procedures will follow SHRP protocols. Surface condition surveys will follow the guidelines prescribed by the “Distress Identification Manual for the Long-Term Pavement Performance Program.” (Publication No. FHWA-RD-03-031, June 2003). SHRP Test Road environmental data will be submitted to the LTPP project.

DATA COLLECTION DETAIL (Part A)

PROJECT	TEST/SURVEY	No. of Sections	ACTIVITY	FREQUENCY
US 23 Delaware Co.	Weather Station	1	Data Collection/Analysis	Downloaded Twice a year
	TDR Moisture	9 *	Data Collection/Analysis	12 times a year
	Temperature/ Resistivity	9 *	Data Collection/Analysis	12 times a year
	Water Table Level by ODOT	9	Data Analysis	12 times a year
	FWD by ODOT	All core sections	Data Analysis	Once a year
	Surface Visual Survey	All +	Data Collection/Analysis	12 times a year
	Distress Survey and Dipstick/Profiler	All	Data Collection/Analysis	Once a year
	Forensic	As required	Field & Lab Testing / Analysis	As required
US 50 Athens Co.	Weather Station	1	Data Collection/Analysis	Downloaded Twice a year
	TDR Moisture	5	Data Collection/Analysis	12 times a year
	FWD by ODOT	5	Data Analysis	Once a year
	Surface Visual Survey	All +	Data Collection/Analysis	12 times a year
	Distress Survey and Dipstick/Profiler	All	Data Collection/Analysis	Once a year
	Forensic	As required	Field & Lab Testing / Analysis	As required
US 33 (SR 124) Meigs Co.	TDR Moisture	24	Data Collection/Analysis	12 times a year
	FWD by ODOT	8	Data Analysis	Once a year
	Surface Visual Survey	All +	Data Collection/Analysis	12 times a year
	Distress Survey and Dipstick/Profiler	All	Data Collection/Analysis	Once a year
	Forensic	As required	Field & Lab Testing / Analysis	As required
US 33 Logan Co.	FWD by ODOT	6	Data Analysis	Once a year
	Surface Visual Survey	All +	Data Collection/Analysis	Once a year
	Distress Survey and Dipstick/Profiler	All	Data Collection/Analysis	Once a year
	Forensic	As required	Field & Lab Testing / Analysis	As required
US 33 Athens Co. Nelsonville	FWD by ODOT	2	Data Analysis	Twice a year
	Surface Visual Survey	All +	Data Collection/Analysis	Twice a year
	Distress Survey and Dipstick/Profiler	All	Data Collection/Analysis	Twice a year
	Forensic	As required	Field & Lab Testing / Analysis	As required

DATA COLLECTION DETAIL (Part B)

PROJECT	TEST/SURVEY	No. of Sections	ACTIVITY	FREQUENCY
I-77 Stark Co	Surface Visual Survey	All +	Data Collection/Analysis	Once a year
	Forensic	As required	Field & Lab Testing / Analysis	As required
US 30 Wayne Co.	Weather Station	1	Data Collection/Analysis	Downloaded Twice a year
	TDR Moisture	2	Data Collection/Analysis	12 times a year
	Temperature	2	Data Collection/Analysis	12 times a year
	Water Table Level by ODOT	4	Data Analysis	12 times a year
	FWD by ODOT	All	Data Analysis	Twice a year
	Surface Visual Survey	All +	Data Collection/Analysis	12 times a year
	Distress Survey and Dipstick/Profiler	All	Data Collection/Analysis	Twice a year
	Truck Load Tests	All ^x	Data Collection/Analysis	Once a year
	Forensic	As required	Field & Lab Testing / Analysis	As required

NOTES:

- * 3 Sections from OU and remaining active 6 Sections previously assigned to Case Western Reserve University and University of Toledo
- + Surface Visual Surveys are intended to check not only for the development of distress but to inspect for any possible hazardous conditions that may develop from the dislodging of installed instrumentation over time.
- ^x Truck Load Tests will be conducted according to SHRP Protocol to obtain the pavement's load response.
- All data collected according to the details presented above and previous existing data such as that collected at the ERI/LOR 2 project in Ohio will be used in all analyses and validations

Task A2 Reconstruction of Strain Histories

Controlled vehicle tests and NDT testing with the FWD conducted in the previous task at the WAY 30 test sections are fundamental requirements to validate ME design procedures. They provide mechanistic response parameters in the form of deflections, strains and stresses that researchers need for the validation of any pavement analysis and design procedure. Dynamic response data needs to be measured at critical locations within the pavement structure and compared with the same parameters calculated theoretically using material properties obtained from material samples. Controlled vehicle tests also expedite the reconstruction of the strain history of a pavement using traffic data collected with a weigh-in-motion (WIM) system. FWD data will be provided by ODOT to ORITE researchers for analysis.

Task A3. Forensic Investigations

As test sections fail, it is vital that forensic investigations be performed to determine which components of the pavement structure failed and why they failed. Prior to doing a forensic investigation on Sections 390101 and 390110 at the Ohio SHRP test Road, ODOT conducted a detailed series of FWD tests in the right wheel path to determine the stiffness profile along a 500-foot length. OU then performed several Dynamic Cone Penetrometer tests along the same path to investigate whether particularly weak layers were present in the subgrade. Based upon these results, three areas of low, medium and high stiffness were identified, and transverse trenches were dug across the wheel path at these locations. To the casual observer, the severely distressed pavement surface would suggest rutting in the asphalt concrete. Transverse profiles of the subgrade and base surfaces and thickness measurements of the layers clearly indicated, however, that rutting had occurred in the base and subgrade, and the asphalt concrete pavement layer was merely conforming to the top of the base. This type of information is important in identifying specific causes of pavement failure and being able to correct them in future installations. A detailed procedure for conducting forensic investigations is contained in the report "Final Report on Forensic Study for Section 390101 of Ohio SHRP U.S. 23 Test Pavement" submitted to ODOT by ORITE. These procedures will be followed, along with well-established LTPP Guidelines, on any future investigations in this project. A total of two forensic investigations are anticipated during the initial three-year contract. Each forensic investigation will be documented with the publication of an interim report.

FWD tests will be scheduled through ODOT prior to coring and trenching to conduct the forensic investigation. NDT results will be analyzed and compared with previous records taken at the same location, if they exist. Dynamic Cone Penetrometer tests will be conducted through the core holes to obtain stiffness and strength profiles that may be used in future analyses. Base and subgrade samples will be collected at various depths for further testing and documentation of moisture variation with depth and for correlation and comparison with the cone penetrometer results.

Task A4. Laboratory Testing

In addition, laboratory testing of soils and pavement materials obtained during the forensic investigations will be conducted during this task to complement the results of field observations and testing and to help elucidate the cause of failure or development of excessive distress. Laboratory testing will also be helpful in documenting the aging of pavement materials by comparison of parameters previously obtained within the same project. Among others, the following tests will be conducted on materials and soils obtained at the forensic investigation sites:

- Dynamic modulus and indirect tensile strength of asphaltic material. These will be conducted at no less than 3 temperatures if sufficient cores are available.
- Elastic modulus and compressive strength of Portland cement concrete
- Petrographic analysis of Portland cement concrete samples, volumetrics and Superpave binder tests of asphalt concrete samples
- Moisture content, index properties, and resilient modulus of base materials
- Moisture content, index properties, and resilient modulus of subgrade materials
- Other additional tests as specified in the report: "Final Report on Forensic Study for Section 390101 of Ohio SHRP U.S. 23 Test Pavement."

Results of these tests will be analyzed jointly with the field exploration and testing to pinpoint the cause of failure. These results will also be compared with test records at the time of construction and thereafter to document the aging of these materials or changes throughout the life of the project.

All tests will be conducted following procedures and using equipment specified by the SHRP protocol.

Task A5. Data Summary and Environmental Data Analysis Annual Reports

On a yearly basis, interim reports will be prepared documenting the variation of temperature and moisture at each of the seasonal sections available within the projects under study. An inventory of active and faulty sensors will also be included in the interim report.

Task A6. Data Summary and Environmental Data Analysis Relating to Distress

Environmental data will be analyzed jointly with the results of condition surveys to document the progressive development of pavement distress. If appropriate, regression analyses will be conducted to develop relationships describing the trend of volumetric moisture content and average pavement temperature with respect to day of the year in the Julian calendar. With the release of the new AASHTO Design Guide through project NCHRP 1-37A prior to the start of this research project, a selected number of sections will be analyzed using the accompanying software. Since this software is capable of predicting the performance of pavements in terms of developed distress, actual material, climatic, and traffic data can be used to model the development of stress, which can be compared with actual observations obtained through visual surveys. Since some load response characteristics have been obtained in the past for some of the monitored sections, these data may be used to examine the performance of pavement sections with the consideration of load and climatic factors jointly.

Task A7. Climatic Modeling

Determine the input parameters for the Integrated Climatic Model used in the software developed for NCHRP 1-37A. Compare results from the model with actual field data.

Task A8. Instrumentation and monitoring of replacement SPS-2 test sections on SHRP test road on US 23 in Delaware County

Over the next two years there will be seven SPS-2 (PCC) test sections replaced on the SHRP test road in Delaware County (DEL23). These pavement sections have experienced severe distresses.

Drawing on the lessons learned in previous studies, such as the one recently completed on US Route 33 in Nelsonville, and previous installations on US Route 23, US Route 50, and State Route 2, ODOT's Pavement Office has decided to design new long lasting pavements for the new sections to obtain more information about their behavior. They will utilize variations in concrete mixes, joint spacings, and bases. Data obtained will be used to increase the knowledge of how these parameters can be adjusted to improve the design and construction of highways. The construction details for the sections are shown in Table 4 below.

Table 4: Construction details for new concrete test sections

Construction limits		Lane width	Joint spacing		Base type (depth in inches)			
Begin station	End station	(feet)	(feet)	Aggregate size	301	304	Concrete mix	Instrumentation
256.0863	274.0000	12	15	467	4"	4"	APP A	No
274.0000	286.8500	12	15	467		8"	APP A	No
302.3000	309.3013	14	14	467	4"	4"	APP A	Yes
318.0000	325.6700	14	13	57	4"	4"	APP C	Yes
325.6700	333.3200	14	15	57	4"	4"	APP C	Yes
333.3200	341.1600	14	14	57	4"	4"	APP C	Yes
341.1600	349.2500	14	14	357	4"	4"	APP B	Yes

Subtask A8a: Instrumentation and data collection

Five of the seven sections will be instrumented as shown in the Instrumentation Plan figure shown below. Instrumentation will monitor strain, pressure, and deflection during and after construction, similar to the process used on concrete sections on the WAY30 project. Environmental data gathered by the existing weather station and curing data, including slab shape, strain, temperature, and maturity data, will also be collected, beginning at the time of the placing of the concrete. Sensors will be installed according to the guidelines followed on the original SHRP test road installation on DEL-23.

Subtask A8b: Environmental monitoring

Pavement performance depends not only on the amount of applied traffic but on the influence of weather-related (environmental) factors on the individual materials composing the pavement profile. Among the environmental factors moisture, temperature and freeze-thaw cycling as a result of temperature regimes have been identified as the most influential in affecting pavement performance. Other factors such as solar radiation, relative humidity and wind speed influence pavement performance to a lesser extent. The new AASHTO 2002 Design Guide thoroughly considers the influence of environmental factors in pavement design and uses them as inputs to the Enhanced Integrated Climatic Model (EICM). All these justify the importance of monitoring environmental factors at the Ohio SHRP Test Road. These, coupled with the performance monitoring as well as structural testing will be of extreme importance in calibrating and validating pavement design procedures, including those ME methods introduced by the 2002 Design Guide.

Environmental modeling of soil moisture and pavement system temperature will be conducted by installing and monitoring 10 TDR cables (to monitor soil moisture) and a temperature probe (to monitor temperature) with a compatible datalogger and other needed equipment. These will be sole source equipment to ensure compatibility with existing installations on the test road. The environmental parameters will be monitored for the three-year duration of the project.

Subtask A8c: Controlled vehicle tests and nondestructive tests

Controlled vehicle tests and nondestructive tests will be conducted on five test sections. Two sets of these tests will be performed per year for the duration of the project, with the first set conducted prior to opening the sections to traffic. Data gathered will include strain, pressure, deflection, and environmental data at the time of the test. Testing will

utilize a single axle truck and a tandem axle truck to be supplied by ODOT, each operated at three speeds and loaded with three different levels of weight.

Nondestructive testing will include Falling Weight Deflectometer (FWD) measurements conducted by ODOT at the same time as the controlled vehicle tests.

Subtask A8d: Laboratory testing of materials


Samples of all materials used in the pavement structure of all seven sections will be collected and tested in ORITE's materials laboratory. This includes samples of the concrete, the base materials, and the subgrade soil. For each material, all parameters necessary for the implementation of Level 1 of NCHRP Project 1-37A will be determined.

Subtask A8e: Data analysis and processing


All data collected will be analyzed and processed by ORITE. All material data will be incorporated into the Ohio data base in a Microsoft Excel or Access format. Data will also be put into a format easily incorporated into NCHRP Project 1-37A methods. Data collected during the curing process will also be processed and analyzed. The dynamic load test data will be processed, cleaned, and analyzed. Peak tables will be developed and the data will be presented in the same user-friendly table format as other DEL23 data.

A final report covering all tasks in Group A (Tasks A1-A8) will be submitted to ODOT. The final report will be revised based on comments received from funding agencies' reviewers on the 7 copies of the draft report submitted 120 days in advance of the end of the project. The report will be submitted in both electronic and written form (60 copies) to facilitate its posting in websites and dissemination. In addition, 120 copies of the executive summary will be made. The investigators will include 2 CDs containing electronic versions of the report in Adobe (.pdf) or MS Word (.doc).

Typical Structural Response Instrumentation PCC Sections



PLAN VIEW



PROFILE VIEW

(NOT TO SCALE)

-  Tokyo Sokki KM100BT
-  Geokon Earth Pressure Cells
-  Vishay Micro-Measurements EGP-5-120
-  LVDTs

Instrumentation plan for PCC sections in Task A8

Group B Tasks

Funding for Group B Tasks will be provided by the New York State Department of Transportation (NYSDOT) and will include the subtasks indicated below.

Task B1. Monitoring of NY 17 (I 86) Test Pavements

ORITE has installed instrumentation in the reconstructed NY 17 (I 86) pavements and will continue to monitor both environmental and response parameters. Instrumentation will be installed in new test sections as requested by NYSDOT and monitored for the duration of the study.

Weather parameters being monitored include: air temperature, rainfall, relative humidity, solar radiation, wind speed, and wind direction at an on-site weather station. Pavement and soil temperature, base and subgrade volumetric moisture content, depth of frost penetration, and water table depth will be monitored by thermistors and/or thermocouples (temperature), Time Domain Reflectometry (TDR) probes (moisture), resistivity probes (depth of frost penetration), and wells (water table depth). Slab curling will also be measured using a dipstick and either a traveling laser or traveling wheel beam.

Load response parameters to be measured include surface and intermediate layer deflection, horizontal pavement strain, and subgrade pressure; these measurements will be made by means of LVDTs, strain gages, and pressure cells. Data acquisition systems capable of collecting real time dynamic data will gather inputs from these sensors. WIM traffic monitoring stations are providing information on traffic volume and loads required for the analyses and verifications.

Task B2. Construction Practices Review

The performance of Portland cement concrete (PCC) pavement can be affected dramatically by environmental conditions during the placement and initial set of the mix, and by the timing of the sawing of contraction joints to control shrinkage cracking. Due to the enormous investment contractors have in PCC paving equipment and the fact that much of their profit on a rigid pavement project is tied to placement of the concrete, little else other than the anticipation of rain or extremely cold temperatures affects the scheduling of concrete placement. These monetary considerations make it impractical for owner agencies to impose strict controls on placement during construction or to control placement for research purposes. There are instances, however, where evidence points to environmental conditions or improper sawing as the causes of early distresses such as cracking.

In this project, the contractor will schedule and place concrete as desired. The researchers in close coordination with project engineers will monitor the time and location of placement, and the timing of the joint sawing. Air temperature, relative humidity, and wind speed will be monitored at the on-site weather station. Thermocouples will be installed at a few locations to monitor temperature of the concrete as it cures. These data will be used to investigate any early distresses noted in the concrete and any differences in joint response measured with the FWD that might be explained by differences in slab support resulting from climatic conditions at the time of initial set.

Other construction activities including form and placement of asphalt concrete, sequences, and times of the day and year will be carefully documented to note any possible effects on the development of distress. Construction monitoring will also include

close observation of test section construction to identify and document any deviations from the prescribed specifications and to determine the effects of any specification enhancements necessary to construct these non-traditional pavements. Specific attention will focus in measuring geometric parameters, placement conditions, compaction control, material uniformity, and quality control in general.

Task B3. Data Collection, Field Sampling and Pavement Surveys

This task consists of the monitoring of the NY 17 (I 86) and other new sections for 6 years and of the NY I 490 test section for an additional period of 6 years. All data will be collected using SHRP protocols.

Periodic coring and sampling, and in-situ testing with the FWD (by NYSDOT), and DCP (by ORITE) measurements will be necessary, in conjunction with the controlled vehicle testing in Task B5.

All laboratory test results and collected field data will be organized in a user-friendly database.

Besides gathering environmental data consisting of weather, pavement profile temperature, base and subgrade moisture, and resistivity (where applicable), periodic condition surveys will be conducted to document pavement performance and to note the progression of distress. These observations will be accompanied by rutting in AC and curling in PCC measurements with the dipstick profiler. This work will also include keeping the sensors and data acquisition equipment operational and entering all environmental data into existing databases. A complete data collection detail is given in the tables shown below and titled: DATA COLLECTION DETAIL (Part C). Damaged sensors may be replaced if they measure a key parameter within the pavement profile.

All data collection procedures will follow SHRP protocols. Surface condition surveys will follow the guidelines prescribed by the "Distress Identification Manual for the Long-Term Pavement Performance Program." (Publication No. FHWA-RD-03-031, June 2003).

DATA COLLECTION DETAIL (Part C)

PROJECT	TEST/SURVEY	No. of Sections	ACTIVITY	FREQUENCY
I-86 (NYS 17)	Weather Station	1	Data Collection/Analysis	Downloaded Twice a year
	TDR Moisture	2	Data Collection/Analysis	12 times a year
	Temperature	2	Data Collection/Analysis	12 times a year
	Water Table Level by NYSDOT	4	Data Analysis	12 times a year
	FWD by NYSDOT	All	Data Analysis	Twice a year
	Surface Visual Survey	All +	Data Collection/Analysis	12 times a year
	Distress Survey and Dipstick/Profiler measurement	All	Data Collection/Analysis	Twice a year
	Truck Load Tests	All ^x	Data Collection/Analysis	Once a year
	Forensic	As required	Field & Lab Testing / Analysis	As required
I 490 NYSDOT	FWD by NYSDOT	All	Data Analysis	Twice a year
	Surface Visual Survey	All +	Data Collection/Analysis	12 times a year
	Distress Survey and Dipstick/Profiler measurement	All	Data Collection/Analysis	Twice a year
	Forensic	As required	Field & Lab Testing / Analysis	As required

NOTES:

- + Surface Visual Surveys are intended to check not only for the development of distress but to inspect for any possible hazardous conditions that may develop from the dislodging of installed instrumentation over time.
- ^x Truck Load Tests will be conducted according to SHRP Protocol to obtain the pavement's load response.
- All data collected according to the Details A, B, and C presented above and previous existing data such as that collected at the ERI/LOR 2 project in Ohio will be used in all analyses and validations

Task B4. Reconstruction of Strain Histories

Controlled vehicle tests and NDT testing with the FWD conducted in the previous task at the I 86 test sections are fundamental requirements to validate ME design procedures. They provide mechanistic response parameters in the form of deflections, strains, and stresses that researchers need for the validation of any pavement analysis and design procedure. Dynamic response data needs to be measured at critical locations within the pavement structure and compared with the same parameters calculated theoretically using material properties obtained from material samples. Controlled vehicle tests also expedite the reconstruction of the strain history of a pavement using traffic data collected with a weigh-in-motion (WIM) system. FWD data will be provided by NYSDOT to ORITE researchers for analysis.

Task B5. Forensic Investigations

As test sections fail, it is vital that forensic investigations be performed to determine which components of the pavement structure failed and why they failed. Procedures developed during ORITE's experience with the SHRP test road on US23 in Ohio will be

followed, along with well-established LTPP Guidelines, on any future investigations in this project. A total of three forensic investigations are anticipated during this six-year contract. Each forensic investigation will be documented with the publication of an interim report.

FWD tests will be scheduled through NYSDOT prior to coring and trenching to conduct the forensic investigation. NDT results will be analyzed and compared with previous records taken at the same location, if they exist. Dynamic Cone Penetrometer tests will be conducted through the core holes to obtain stiffness and strength profiles that may be used in future analyses. Base and subgrade samples will be collected at various depths for further testing and documentation of moisture variation with depth and for correlation and comparison with the cone penetrometer results.

Task B6. Laboratory Testing

Initially, the characterization of all materials used in the reconstruction of the NY 17 pavements will be conducted in this task. Testing will include the determination of the dynamic modulus of asphaltic materials and the resilient modulus of subgrade soils, and the modulus of elasticity for PCC. Cores obtained during each field sampling program in Task B3 will also be tested to determine variations in material stiffness with time and after the pavements have been subjected to traffic loading. Material testing and characterization will follow the guidelines presented in Tables 2 and 3, depending upon the hierarchical Level, as specified in the 2002 Guide. In all cases, Level 1 testing will be the preferred option for material property input in any subsequent analyses and validations. Materials used in construction of the NY 17 (I 86) experimental sections will be tested following the specific details shown in Tables 2 and 3 for rigid and flexible pavements. Alternatively, existing and sufficiently proven relationships will be proposed to determine input material properties when direct testing is not available.

In addition, laboratory testing of soils and pavement materials obtained during the forensic investigations will be conducted during this task to complement the results of field observations and testing and to help elucidate the cause of failure or development of excessive distress. Laboratory testing will also be helpful in documenting the aging of pavement materials by comparison of parameters previously obtained within the same project. Among others, the following tests will be conducted on materials and soils obtained at the forensic investigation sites:

- Dynamic modulus and indirect tensile strength of asphaltic material. These will be conducted at no less than 3 temperatures if sufficient cores are available.
- Elastic modulus and compressive strength of Portland cement concrete
- Petrographic analysis of Portland cement concrete samples, volumetrics and Superpave binder tests of asphalt concrete samples
- Moisture content, index properties, and resilient modulus of base materials
- Moisture content, index properties, and resilient modulus of subgrade materials
- Other additional tests as specified in the report: "Final Report on Forensic Study for Section 390101 of Ohio SHRP U.S. 23 Test Pavement."

Results of these tests will be analyzed jointly with the field exploration and testing to pinpoint the cause of failure. These results will also be compared with test records at the time of construction and thereafter to document the aging of these materials or changes throughout the life of the project.

All tests will be conducted following procedures and using equipment specified by the SHRP protocol. Results of the laboratory analysis and backcalculation will be used to determine materials properties required for Level 1, 2, and 3 design guidelines. Variations in stiffness with time and after traffic loading will be determined.

Task B7. Data Summary and Environmental Data Analysis Annual Reports

On a yearly basis, interim reports will be prepared documenting the variation of temperature and moisture at each of the seasonal sections available within the projects listed in Task B3. An inventory of active and faulty sensors will also be included in the interim report.

Task B8. Data Summary and Environmental Data Analysis Relating to Distress

Environmental data will be analyzed jointly with the results of condition surveys to document the progressive development of pavement distress. If appropriate, regression analyses will be conducted to developed relationships describing the trend of volumetric moisture content and average pavement temperature with respect to day of the year in the Julian calendar. With the release of the new AASHTO Design Guide through project NCHRP 1-37A prior to the start of the research project, a selected number of sections will be analyzed using the accompanying software. Since this software is capable of predicting the performance of pavements in terms of developed distress, actual material, climatic and traffic data can be used to model the development of stress, which can be compared with actual observations obtained through visual surveys. Since some load response characteristics have been obtained in the past for some of the monitored sections, these data may be used to examine the performance of pavement sections with the consideration of load and climatic factors jointly.

A final report covering all tasks in Group B will be written and submitted to ODOT and NYSDOT. The final report will be revised based on comments received from funding agencies' reviewers on the 7 copies of the draft report submitted 120 days in advance of the end of the project. The report will be submitted in both electronic and written form (60 copies) to facilitate its posting in websites and dissemination. In addition, 120 copies of the executive summary will be made. The investigators will include 2 CDs containing electronic versions of the report in Adobe (.pdf) or MS Word (.doc).

Group C Tasks (both locations)

The tasks in this group are independent in the sense that if any of these tasks is omitted, the others may still be conducted. However, the subtasks under task C3 are linked – either all of them must be done or none of them. It should also be noted that on the pooled fund website these Group C tasks are misnumbered: The conference is numbered C1 there instead of C5 and the other task numbers are shifted up by 1. The task numbering on the accompanying budget matches this proposal.

Tasks in Group C are linked to the monitoring and analysis of data obtained in Task A and B. Results of field section performance will be presented at the National Conference with all supporting documentation. As previously indicated, the testing of one of the field sections at the Accelerated Pavement Load Facility will allow the comparison of performance between the two sections for further extrapolation of results in a controlled testing environment to actual field applications. Data collected in the first two

tasks will also augment the wealth of data in available databases for the benefit of practitioners and researchers. Similarly, these data will contribute to the verification effort of M/E Design procedures and existing models to predict AC Temperature and Expected Stiffness, as well as the Enhanced Integrated Climatic Model (EICM).

Task C1. Construction, Testing, and Monitoring of Perpetual Pavement Sections at the Accelerated Pavement Load Facility

This task includes the construction of at least three perpetual pavement sections at the Accelerated Pavement Load Facility (APLF) along with the installation of necessary instrumentation to monitor stresses, strains, and deflections under moving tire loads. Periodic pavement evaluation, response monitoring, and non-destructive and destructive testing (if necessary) will be conducted to document pavement response and behavior at a preset number of load applications. Test sections will include at least the mix design commonly utilized in the states of Ohio and New York.

Sections to be tested at the APLF will be selected jointly by pavement engineers of the participant DOTs and researchers from ORITE, including sections aimed at reducing the possibility of fatigue failure. This can be achieved with the use of rich-asphalt AC mixtures, the use of very thick AC layers as well as of very stiff bases to reduce the tensile strain at the bottom of the AC layer. Even though the proposed sections may not fail during their controlled testing, their relative performance can be inferred by the comparison of the response parameters measured by the installed instrumentation. One of the selected sections to be tested at the APLF will include one of the as-built sections at the Test Roads. This will allow the comparison of the relative performance of the same section in the two settings.

This testing will be of fundamental interest in developing design recommendations for these specific types of pavements, validating analysis procedures, and developing design charts or nomographs of practical application by transportation agencies involved in the proposed research.

Task C2. Database Update, Data Summary, and Environmental Data Analysis

Material, Structural, Climatic, and Seasonal data collected, according to the details presented in tasks A1 and B3, will be subjected to QA/QC controls to eliminate entries from faulty sensors. Climatic and Seasonal data files will be processed with the AWSCHECK and SMPCHECK programs, respectively, developed by SHRP. These two programs are capable of identifying numerical figures that fall outside the specified range and of prompting the user to delete the particular set of data not meeting the QA/QC checks. Once new data files meet QC Level C guidelines they can be uploaded to the database after the generation of a single ASCII file containing all data files within the selected analysis period. All climatic and seasonal data collected during the proposed research will be added to the existing databases including the Ohio SHRP Test Road Database

At the discretion of ODOT, NYSDOT and other interested agencies' engineers, these databases can be accessed and downloaded by users through a web site. Current efforts are directed at expanding the scope of the weather station and seasonal databases, offering a version in HTML for easy posting in the WWW. The following link shows an example of one year's worth of temperature data for Section H (390203) for 1998.

http://oak.cats.ohiou.edu/~figueroj/DEL23_Index.htm

It is envisioned that similar files can be created for the remaining sections and periods. The web pages presented below show an example of a trial web site that has been set up by ORITE at Ohio University to allow viewing of sample graphs or downloading of seasonal data in formatted EXCEL files previously subjected to QA/QC through SMPCHECK and AWSCHECK.

On a yearly basis electronic interim reports will be prepared documenting the variation of temperature and moisture at each of the seasonal sections available within the projects listed in Tasks A1 and B3. An inventory of active and faulty sensors will also be included in the interim report.

Other data types such as FWD test results, Water Table depth, visual condition, and distress and dipstick surveys will be added to appropriate databases.

Environmental data will be analyzed jointly with the results of condition surveys to document the progressive development of pavement distress. If appropriate, regression analyses will be conducted to develop relationships describing the trend of volumetric moisture content and average pavement temperature with respect to day of the year in the Julian calendar. With the release of the new AASHTO Design Guide through project NCHRP 1-37A prior to the start of the research project, a selected number of sections will be analyzed using the accompanying software. Since this software is capable of predicting the performance of pavements in terms of developed distress, actual material, climatic, and traffic data can be used to model the development of stress, which can be compared with actual observations obtained through visual surveys. Since some load response characteristics have been obtained in the past for some of the monitored sections, these data may be used to examine the performance of pavement sections with the consideration of load and climatic factors jointly.

Collected data can also be used to verify the BELLS model for AC pavement temperature prediction with depth given the latitude and air temperature. Selected AC sections in different geographic locations will be included in the verification.

DEL23_Database - Microsoft Internet Explorer

File Edit View Favorites Tools Help

Back Forward Stop Refresh Home Search Favorites Media History Mail Print Edit Discuss

Address http://oak.cats.ohiou.edu/~figueroj/DEL23_Index.htm Go Links

Google Search Web 465 blocked AutoFill Options

Civil Engineering@

OHIO UNIVERSITY

Ohio SHRP Test Road Environmental Data (Section 390203 - 1998)

Click this link to download the [Excel File](#).


Click this link to see the [Excel charts](#).

[Contact Information](#) | [Civil Engineering Front Door](#) | [Russ College of Engineering and Technology](#) | [Ohio University Front Door](#)



OHIO UNIVERSITY
Copyright ©2004, Ohio University

Internet



Task C3. Verification and Calibration of Mechanistic/Empirical Design Procedures

The primary objective of this task is to validate and calibrate Mechanistic/Empirical pavement design procedures, with particular emphasis on those introduced by the 2002 Pavement Design Guide through project NCHRP 1-37A. This work will be coordinated with the activities of NCHRP project 1-40 and TPF-5(079). Since this constitutes one of the major thrusts in the proposed research project, it is appropriate to divide this task into 7 subtasks as follows:

Subtask C3a Literature Review

This subtask will be started with a literature review to document any efforts by other departments of transportation to implement ME procedures in their approach to pavement design. Reported relationships for material property determination and transfer functions will be noted for possible adaptation and calibration to Ohio conditions. Results of sensitivity analyses conducted by the same organizations will be considered in selecting material properties and parameters with the most and the least influence in the final satisfactory designs.

Subtask C3b Review of NCHRP 1-37A Report and Identification of Data Needed for M/E Design Procedures

This subtask will initially encompass a detailed review of the NCHRP 1-37A report and software to clearly identify required input data, for each of the three hierarchical design levels. Data requirements will be compared with the guidelines developed by ORITE through the ODOT-funded project "Material Properties for Implementation of Mechanistic-Empirical Pavement Design Procedures", other pertinent reports, as well as the LTPP database to identify sources of and any deficiencies in available data with application to conditions prevalent in the state of Ohio. Current ODOT material testing procedures will also be reviewed to ascertain whether or not they meet 2002 Guide requirements.

A sensitivity analysis of input parameters will also be conducted during this task to determine the relative effect of each parameter in each hierarchy and to rank them in terms of importance.

Available traffic, weather, and other environmental data required by the Guide will also be reviewed. Deficiencies in all data categories will be noted and methods to bridge the gaps will be suggested. Modifications to current testing and data collection procedures will also be developed such that they meet Guide requirements. This task will be concluded with the preparation and submission of an electronic interim report detailing the work performed during subtasks C3a and C3b.

Subtask C3c Develop Implementation Plan

A plan for implementing ME pavement design procedures developed under NCHRP 1-37A will be outlined and expanded during this subtask. Since the Guide proposes designing pavements by one of three hierarchical levels, a plan will be developed to facilitate the selection of the appropriate level according to the available data for the specific location of a project. It is envisioned that a flow chart will be prepared to query and guide the engineer to the suitable design level starting with the more stringent and preferred Level 1. Information regarding the test procedures, equipment, unit cost of equipment, and estimates of manpower requirements in terms of hours to conduct

each test will be listed for each of the three design level approaches. Manpower requirements will be based on ODOT's current pavement construction program.

In order to facilitate the implementation of the ME design procedures on a statewide scale, summary presentation materials (PowerPoint and a concise manual) will be prepared based on supporting documentation provided by the AASHTO Guide. One-day instructional seminars conducted by the Principal Investigators will be scheduled to facilitate the training of ODOT's pavement engineers. Similarly the NCHRP Implementation Plan will be executed for the state of New York.

Subtask C3d Develop Input Data Guidelines

This subtask is initially aimed at consolidating the databases identified in subtask C4b for easy access to designers. Depending on their extent and availability in electronic form, CDs will be prepared or links and step-by-step procedures will be supplied to facilitate prompt data retrieval. A complete list of guidelines will be developed for the selection of input parameters that may include conducting tests, using existing databases or relationships to design pavements according to each of the three hierarchical levels specified by the Guide. Key input screens, links and references to databases will be saved in an easy-to-follow user's manual to be included in the final report. Finally, guidelines will also include copies of any testing protocols.

Subtask C3e Validation of Design Procedure

To validate the design procedure, a minimum of fifteen design examples will be developed including 5 types of pavements: new rigid, new flexible, and reconstructed (AC over AC, AC over PCC, and PCC over processed PCC) and the 3 hierarchical levels specified in the procedure. Design projects will be selected in cooperation with ODOT and NYSDOT pavement engineers and they could very well be actual projects scheduled for construction or reconstruction within the normal budget cycle. Alternatively, already built pavement sections could be used as design examples, especially if their material properties, climatic conditions, and performance are known to eventually aid in the calibration of the ME design procedures. These design examples will also be useful in noting any necessary modifications to the input data requirements. Of particular interest will be the validation of the design procedure for perpetual asphalt concrete, long-lasting economical concrete pavements, and overlays placed on rigid pavements to be constructed at the WAY 30 and NY I 86 test sections.

Prior to proceeding with the validation of the ME design procedure proposed by the 2002 Pavement Design Guide, the researchers will conduct a thorough review of design assumptions and specification enhancements used to construct these pavement sections. Researchers will review design, bidding, and construction documents to note particular assumptions and specifications tailored to the WAY 30 and I 86 test sections. Other documentation and procedures will be gathered and summarized after interviewing ODOT and NYSDOT pavement engineers directly involved in these design projects.

In order to validate the ME design procedure, researchers propose to compare measured and calculated responses using mechanical properties of materials determined on the project entitled "Determination of Mechanical Properties of Materials Used in the WAY-30 Test Pavements," load response data collected from instrumented test sections on project, "Instrumentation of the WAY-30 Test Pavements," and data

collected in Tasks A1 and B3 of this project. Additional required data will be procured from cores collected and supplied by ODOT and NYSDOT, and from the observation of specification enhancements identified and documented during Tasks B2. Appropriate material properties will need to be procured or selected for prevalent seasonal conditions existing at the time of FWD and controlled vehicle tests proposed as part of any necessary forensic studies.

To complete the validation of the design procedure, checked design configurations will be compared among their corresponding pavement types and with designs conducted using ODOT's traditional pavement design procedures to note any significant differences in layer thicknesses and expected pavement performance.

Similarly, a generalized example of a comparison between calculated and measured pavement response by PLAXIS was presented in Section 3 of this proposal. Other analysis codes, such as OUPAVE, ILLIPAVE, JSLAB, ILLISLAB, and various 3-D finite element procedures may be used to examine the sensitivity of pavement analysis and design procedures to a range of input parameters. If any of these codes or procedures is found to accurately model pavement response to loading, it may be used to generate nomographs to back calculate subgrade stiffness properties from FWD deflection measurements.

Traditional pavement design procedures such as those by AASHTO, PCA, The Asphalt Institute, etc, will also be used to predict pavement life in terms of ESALs. Test section design will also be checked with the new Mechanistic/Empirical design procedures developed through project NCHRP 1-37A. The Enhanced Integrated Climatic Model (EICM), to be provided as part of the new 2002 Guide, will also be calibrated with moisture and temperature measurements obtained from instrumentation installed in the test sections.

In addition, the HIPERPAV (High PERFORMANCE PAVING) software package developed by the Transtec Group, Inc. and the FHWA will be evaluated and verified during this subtask. HIPERPAV is capable of modeling the early-age development of concrete strength and stresses resulting from moisture and temperature changes within the pavement. It assesses the influence of PCC pavement design, concrete mix design, construction methods and environmental conditions on the early-age behavior of Portland cement concrete pavements. (<http://www.hiperpav.com/>)

Subtask C3f Proposed calibration procedure

To calibrate the ME design procedures introduced by the 2002 Guide a series of in-service pavements with known performance will be selected in cooperation with ODOT pavement engineers. Of particular interest is the selection of pavement sections located at carefully controlled and instrumented projects such as the Ohio SHRP Test Road among others. An effort will be made to select new and reconstructed pavements comprising the most common types of pavement configurations used throughout the state.

In the specific case of the Ohio SHRP Test Road, a number of sections have already failed and accurate, geometric, material property, traffic, environmental conditions, NDT, and controlled-load vehicle testing as well as performance records are available to researchers. Pavement sections in other instrumented and carefully monitored sections on US 50 and US 33, among others, may also be selected during the calibration procedure, especially if their periodic distress development and performance are known through data previously collected by ORITE. These sections

offer the unique opportunity for ME design procedure calibration using the three hierarchical levels offered by the Guide. The expected performance determined by the 2002 Guide will be compared with the measured performance. If discrepancies are noted, an effort will be made to modify the transfer function(s) constants in order to achieve a close match between the measured and calculated performance. Sensitivity to these variables will be noted and guidelines to best select them in future designs will be developed. If significant differences are noted in the calculated response and performance, as compared to actual measured values, modifications to the procedure will be recommended to improve the accuracy of designs in the states of Ohio and New York.

Considering the estimated accuracy of Level 1, 2, and 3 designs and the effort required to obtain input data for each design, the relative effectiveness of each design level will be evaluated and the appropriate level(s) for different functional classes of pavement will be recommended.

Of special interest in the calibration procedure is the review of transfer functions used by the Guide to determine whether or not they are suitable for application to conditions found in Ohio. Transfer functions relate a mechanical response parameter such as strain or stress to the number of loads applied. When the performance of a pavement section is known, such as in the case of failed sections at the Ohio SHRP Test Road, where traffic counts in terms of number and magnitude are continuously obtained by a WIM station, it is expedient to use this data in combination with reconstructed strain or strain time-histories for transfer function calibration. Strain or stress time histories can be reconstructed from the results of Controlled Load Vehicle (CLV) tests that have been conducted at the DEL23 project in combination with a validated pavement analysis program and data from the WIM station. This approach will be followed in the transfer function review and possible modification to be performed during this task. Additional LTPP data for sections where complete performance and documentation data are not available in Ohio may be used in the calibration of transfer functions. If modifications to the transfer functions are needed they will be provided in the form of an algorithm that can be implemented in the 2002 Guide software.

Subtask C3g Final Guidelines on M/E procedures Verification and Validation

The final subtask includes the preparation of a report containing not only a concise version of the interim report submitted after Subtask C3b, but a complete detail of findings and guidelines developed during Task C3.

Specifically, this document will include a detailed description of input parameters and data collection and testing procedures and/or specific databases needed in each of the three levels offered by the guide. Guidelines will also be offered as to how to select the appropriate Level of design, according to available data at the specific location. If any input data deficiencies are found they will be reported along with recommendations on how to bridge these gaps in shortest amount of time. Results of calibration using typical sections representative of Ohio conditions will also be documented, along with recommendations to best tailor input data to achieve a pavement design offering the highest reliability. A concise user's manual or guidelines for NCHRP 1-37A software usage and all training materials adapted or developed to aid in the implementation of the Guide will also be included in electronic and/or written form as appropriate. This report will be submitted in both electronic and written form (60 copies) to facilitate its posting in websites and dissemination.

Task C4 Verification of Models to Predict AC Temperature and Expected Stiffness and Review of the Enhanced Integrated Climatic Model (EICM)

It is well known that temperature largely determines the stiffness of asphaltic materials. Temperature assessment is important in the design of pavements and overlays containing asphaltic mixtures and in the stiffness evaluation of asphalt concrete by Non-Destructive Testing (NDT). Several AC temperature prediction models were reviewed in Section 4 of this proposal. They were formulated on easy to obtain parameters, such as air temperature and site latitude, or from infrared surface temperature measurements during FWD testing, thus facilitating the assessment of AC stiffness if the air temperature regime is known.

Test sections to be constructed on the WAY 30 and NY 17 (I 86) projects will be instrumented with thermistors and thermocouples to monitor pavement temperature and an on-site weather station will monitor air temperature. Data collected with these sensors will be used to verify Superpave and LTPP AC temperature prediction models. Pertinent data collected in previous projects funded by ODOT (Figueroa, 2001) and possibly by NYSDOT will be used for additional verification to include sections of the state at other latitudes. If needed, regressions will be conducted to determine corrected coefficients for equations included in section 4 of this proposal.

Once the AC temperature prediction models are verified or modified, and knowing the air temperature regime through the year at different geographic locations, expected variations in AC stiffness will be determined during typical mid-season days as well as on a monthly and seasonal basis.

The Enhanced Integrated Climatic Model (EICM) is to be included in the analysis and design software to be distributed with the new AASHTO Pavement Design Guide. This task also includes the review of input data, the development of guidelines, the examination of existing climatic databases applicable to Ohio to make sure the EICM can be easily applied within the state, and the verification of outputs of this model versus actual data collected along the test sections.

The EICM is capable of predicting both the temperature gradients within the upper pavement layers and moisture distribution within the base and subgrade, if suitable long-term climatic data is available. The EICM latest version 3.01 includes 4 modules:

- The Climatic/Material/Structures (CMS) module uses weather station data (sunshine percentage, wind speed, temperature, and solar radiation) to calculate the heat propagation through the pavement surface for the eventual calculation of the temperature profile within the pavement. All input parameters are measured by a typical SHRP weather station installation. Outputs from the CMS module will be compared with actual data collected at the instrumented sites.
- The Precipitation (PRECIP) module uses average climatic data along with verified mathematical relationships to simulate precipitation at a project location. This module contains 30 years of precipitation data gathered by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) for 9 nine climatic zones in the US. Outputs from this module will be compared with data collected at the projects containing a weather station where the amount of precipitation is collected on an hourly basis when it occurs.
- The Infiltration and Drainage (ID) module conducts a drainage analysis of granular bases for the purpose of evaluating the suitability of their design. This module may

use input precipitation data provided by the analyst or generated by the PRECIP module. The module effectively computes, through an empirical procedure, the time necessary to attain a critical degree of saturation in the pavement evaluation segment. The infiltration segment of this module analyzes the degree of precipitation to compute the probability of reaching a wet or dry pavement profile as a result of infiltration of water through cracks. Volumetric moisture contents obtained at the instrumented station locations will permit discerning the capabilities of this module.

- The CRREL Frost Heave and Thaw (FT) Settlement module is the latest added to the EICM to predict frost heave and thaw deformations. Input of thermal and hydraulic properties of pavement layers are required to model these deformations by this one-dimensional method of heat and moisture transport in soils. It computes moisture phase changes to predict FT deformations while using results from previous modules to set up the initial temperature and moisture profiles as well as boundary conditions to start execution. Depth of frost penetration calculated by this module will be compared with values measured either by thermistors or resistivity probes installed at some of the monitored stations.

A set of guidelines will be prepared on the EICM for dissemination.

Task C5 National Conference on Perpetual Pavement

Several states are currently planning to instrument and monitor pavement which is designed based on the concept of “perpetual pavement”. The Ohio Department of Transportation has one of the most extensive instrumentation and monitoring programs for these types of long-life pavements in the nation.

Some departments of transportation have approached ORITE to request that ORITE organize a national conference to address such topics as instrumentation, monitoring, verification, and calibration of the design concept of perpetual pavement.

It is envisioned that the conference will have a duration of three days and will follow a format similar to that of the International Conference on Highway Pavement Data, Analysis and Mechanistic Design Applications, organized by ORITE in 2003. The conference will be a joint effort between ORITE, the Departments of Transportation involved and industry, from which a conference panel will be assembled. Prominent Keynote Speakers will be invited and a call for papers will be issued to assure the participation of researchers and practitioners with recognized experience in perpetual pavements. Abstracts initially submitted will be reviewed by the conference panel considering quality and pertinence of topic. Authors will be then invited to submit complete papers which will be peer-reviewed to decide on their acceptance for presentation and publication in the conference proceedings. Proceedings will be published in electronic form using pdf format and will be complemented with a printed set of abstracts. Proceedings will also be posted in a website to facilitate their dissemination.

In addition to the scheduled presentations, the conference will include pertinent workshops to facilitate technology transfer to practicing engineers on topics related to perpetual pavements. An effort will be made to award CEUs to workshop participants if sanctioned by national organizations such as ASCE.

Funding for the conference will be provided from registration fees and industry support. The pooled fund mechanism will be used to enable state DOTs to send representatives where funds are not otherwise available for travel. Registration for DOT personnel will be \$250 per person (\$300 for late registration), which will include a copy of

the proceedings on CD and meals during the conference (3 breakfasts, 2 lunches, 1 reception, 3 breaks).

9. ITEMIZED BUDGET

Following is a task by task itemized budget, specifying the funding agency's responsibility:

Task(s)	Amount	Funding source	Duration
A1-7	\$412,166.58	ODOT	3 years
A8	\$341,236.45	ODOT	3 years
B	\$599,829.03	New York state DOT	6 years
C1	\$247,831.07	Pooled funds	3 years
C2	\$59,589.33	Pooled funds	6 years
C3*	\$170,457.28	Pooled funds	3 years
C4	\$120,332.66	Pooled funds	6 years
C5	Will depend on number of states participating and attendees		
Total:	\$1,951,442.40		

*The seven subtasks included in Task C3 are interdependent and all of these subtasks will need to be performed jointly, thus no individual cost detail for them is provided.

Further details on these budgets are provided in the accompanying spreadsheets where the ODOT budget format form has been completed. Task C5 does not have a budget associated with it.

It should be reiterated that the numbering of the tasks in Group C is incorrect on the project web site (<http://www.pooledfund.org/projectdetails.asp?id=353&status=4>). The correct ordering is followed in this proposal and in the budgets and in the original solicitation. The correct order is as follows: Task C1: Construction, Testing and Monitoring of Perpetual Pavement Sections at the Accelerated Pavement Load Facility, Task C2: Database Update, Data Summary and Environmental Data Analysis, Task C3: Verification and Calibration of Mechanistic/Empirical Design Procedures, Task C4: Verification of Models to Predict AC Temperature and Expected Stiffness and Review of the Enhanced Integrated Climatic Model (EICM), Task C5: National Conference on Perpetual Pavement, and Task C6: Final Report Preparation. It should be further noted that Task C6 has been deleted that the different tasks in part C will each have their own reports.

10. REFERENCES

Allen, J. J. and Thompson, M. R., "Significance of Variable Confined Triaxial Testing," Proceedings, ASCE, TE 4, November, 1974.

Figuroa, J. L., "Long Term Monitoring of Seasonal and Weather Stations and Analysis of Data from SHRP Pavements," ODOT 8880 Case Western Reserve University, 2004.

Figuroa, J. L., "Monitoring and Analysis of Data Obtained From Moisture Temperature Recording Stations, ODOT 8019" Case Western Reserve University, 2001.

Lukanen, E. O., Stubstad, R., and Briggs, R., "Temperature Predictions and Adjustment Factors for Asphalt Pavement," FHWA-RD-98-085 Report, June 24, 2000, NTIS, Springfield, VA.

Mohseni, A., "LTPP Seasonal Asphalt Concrete (AC) Pavement Temperature Models" FHWA-RD-97-103 Report September 1, 1998

The Transtech Group, "HIPERPAV High Performance Paving Software" <http://www.hiperpav.com>, February, 2004.

Theyse, H. L., "The South African Mechanistic Design Method" Transportek, Council for Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR), Ohio Transportation Engineering Conference (OTEC) Presentation 36B, 2004

Yoder, E. J. and Witczak, M. W., "Principles of Pavement Design," Second Edition, John Wiley & Sons, Inc. 1975.

11. APPENDIX

Review of Existing Test Pavements

The existing test pavements mentioned above comprise a group of pioneering efforts initiated at the Ohio Department of Transportation to monitor the performance of pavement sections in the three climatic zones identified within the state of Ohio. These projects were intended to identify more durable pavement sections, examine construction methods and specifications, clearly identify the influence of seasonal weather-related factors on pavements, and ultimately to validate or modify existing pavement design procedures and temperature and moisture prediction models. Other benefits of test section monitoring and construction include the expansion of databases with application in future designs and the identification of construction practices leading to reduced early PCC pavement cracking and extended pavement serviceability.

The following section summarizes the characteristics of each of the test pavements built in Ohio that will be monitored throughout the current project.

The Ohio SHRP Test Road

The highlight of test pavement construction and monitoring in Ohio is the Ohio SHRP Test Road on US 23 in Delaware County. This project, started in 1994, is generating extremely useful pavement performance information, by identifying:

- Performance information for various pavement designs,
- Information on drainage and moisture propagation beneath the pavement surface,
- Variation in the mechanical properties of pavement materials under changing environmental factors, and
- The effect of traffic loads on pavement response and performance.

Carefully controlled load tests using non-destructive testing techniques and moving trucks on the Ohio SHRP Test Road are also generating invaluable data for researchers and designers alike, thus facilitating the validation of analysis codes that could be used as the basis of mechanistic-empirical pavement design procedures. Additional test sections have been monitored throughout the state with the primary aim of elucidating the influence of environment and load on pavement performance.

The project consists of a total of 4 general types of pavements designated according to the Specific Pavement Studies (SPS) guidelines set forth by the Strategic Highway Research Program (SHRP). The SPS-8 (Environmental effects in the absence of heavy traffic – Asphalt and concrete) sections on the ramp coming south from the village of Norton were completed late that season and opened to traffic on November 18, 1994. The new mainline lanes comprising the SPS-1 (Asphalt Concrete - AC), SPS-2 (Portland Cement Concrete – PCC) and SPS-9 (Asphalt Program Field Verification)) experiments were completed in the summer of 1996 and opened to traffic on August 14 and 15 of that year. The SPS-1 experiment included 14 test sections, the SPS-2 experiment included 19 sections, the SPS-8 experiment included 4 test sections and the SPS-9 experiment included 3 sections, making a total of 40 test sections on the project.

Ohio University has been involved in four distinct phases of this project. The objective of the first contract, “Development of an Instrumentation Plan for the Ohio SPS Test Pavement,” was to devise a plan for the instrumentation of several test sections to monitor environmental factors in the pavement structure and to measure dynamic response from moving trucks. This plan was intended to provide minimal dynamic

instrumentation as established by SHRP for four core sections in each of the SPS-1 and SPS-2 experiments, with the addition of supplementary sensors to enhance the value of these data. The full complement of strain gauges, linear variable differential transformers (LVDTs), and pressure cells planned for these eight sections was expanded to 25 additional SPS-1, SPS-2, SPS-8 and SPS-9 sections for a total of 33 sections being instrumented for dynamic response. Eighteen sections were instrumented to monitor environmental factors in the pavement structure, including moisture, temperature, and frost depth.

The second contract was awarded to coordinate the installation of these sensors with five other universities in the state of Ohio, including the University of Akron, Case Western Reserve University, the University of Cincinnati, Ohio State University, and the University of Toledo. After the sensor installation was completed, one set of special controlled vehicle tests was performed for FHWA on the two instrumented SPS-8 sections and one set of standard SHRP controlled vehicle tests was run on six SPS-1 sections and five SPS-2 sections. This project was entitled "Coordination of Load Response Instrumentation of SHRP Pavements – Ohio University"

A third contract was funded to continue monitoring the project after it was constructed and to conduct additional controlled vehicle tests. Ohio University supervised a total of seven series of controlled vehicle tests, oversaw the collection of environmental data by three other universities, and performed a forensic investigation on Section 390101 to determine the cause of its failure in 1999. This five-year contract, entitled "Continued Monitoring of Instrumented Pavement in Ohio," ended in 2001.

The fourth contract "Evaluation of Pavement Performance on DEL23" awarded to Ohio University is in progress and it is scheduled to be completed in 2005. The main objectives of this study are:

1. Develop an Ohio SHRP Test Road Database. The database consists of several modules including, but not necessarily limited to, the following:
 - a. Description of the SPS-1, 2, 8, and 9 Experiments
 - b. Project Layout and Structural Design of Test Sections
 - c. Construction Details – Costs, construction diary, general observations, nuclear-density tests, as-built data, cores, etc.
 - d. Material Properties – In-situ testing, lab tests at OU and LTPP (if available), depth to bedrock, dynamic cone penetrometer, etc.
 - e. Instrumentation – Sensor coordinates, sensor descriptions, data acquisition
 - Climatic – Weather station sensors, data acquisition and processing
 - Seasonal – Temperature, moisture and freeze/thaw sensors at 18 sites, water table, data acquisition and processing
 - Dynamic – Strain, deflection and pressure sensors at 17 PCC and 16 AC sections, data acquisition and processing
 - Traffic – Mettler-Toledo and IRD weigh-in-motion systems
 - f. Chronology of Events – Significant dates of interest
 - g. Climatological Data Obtained from the Weather Station
 - h. Environmental Data Obtained from the Seasonal Sensors
 - i. Controlled Vehicle Testing Data – Test matrices, actual test parameters, lateral offsets, environmental summary during tests, tables of peak values
 - j. Traffic Data Obtained from the WIM Systems

- k. Condition Surveys – PCR, crack surveys, rut depths, skid, roughness, and longitudinal and lateral profiles
 - l. Nondestructive Testing – FWD and Dynaflect, environmental summary
 - m. Forensic Investigations
- 2. Collection of Data on Structural Performance – a) ODOT is conducting annual roughness measurements with a profilometer and annual nondestructive tests with the Dynaflect and Falling Weight Deflectometer on all test sections. Ohio University is reviewing these data, summarizing the NDT results in tabular form and presenting a written assessment of them for ODOT. b) OU and ODOT are performing distress surveys of each section according to SHRP and ODOT protocol, respectively, and OU is measuring rut depths annually with a dipstick. c) OU and ODOT have performed one set of controlled vehicle tests on the core SHRP sections once a year for the duration of the project. Because most of the strain gauges originally mounted in the pavement are no longer operational, new strain gauges were mounted on the pavement surface just prior to testing as deemed appropriate. All historical data and new data obtained in these tasks is being processed and entered into the Ohio Test Road database.
- 3. Incorporation of ODOT Survey Data into the Database – ODOT has monitored the test pavements with a non-contact profilometer, a skid trailer, and periodic evaluations of the physical condition of the individual test sections. Average skid resistance has been obtained for the SPS-1 (original and replacement sections), SPS-2, SPS-8 (AC and PCC), and SPS-9 experiments. These data are being summarized and entered into the Ohio Test Road database. Weigh-in-motion traffic data are also being added to the database.
- 4. Coordination of the Collection of Environmental Data – Ohio University, Case Western Reserve University (project ended in May, 2004), Ohio State University, and the University of Toledo (project ended in September, 2004) have cooperated over the years in the collection of data from the 18 environmental installations at the site. OU has coordinated this effort. This work has continued in the same manner up to the end of the individual contracts for each university. OU has assumed responsibility for the data collection at selected sections previously monitored by the universities no longer involved. OU's responsibility has also included keeping the sensors and data acquisition equipment operational and entering all environmental data into the database.
- 5. Prediction of Performance – Using the results of condition surveys, laboratory tests performed at OU and weigh-in-motion data obtained by ODOT, OU has predicted the expected performance of all sections constructed on the Ohio SHRP Test Road. Procedures used in these calculations included: NCHRP 1-26 for asphalt and concrete, ODOT/AASHTO for asphalt and concrete, PCA for concrete, and Asphalt Institute for asphalt concrete.
- 6. Forensic Investigations – As section 390110 failed and was later replaced by section 390165, OU conducted a forensic investigation to determine which components of the pavement structure failed and why they failed. Prior to doing a forensic analysis of Section 390110, ODOT conducted a detailed series of FWD tests in the right wheel path to determine the stiffness profile along its 500-foot length. OU then performed several Dynamic Cone Penetrometer tests along the same path to investigate whether particularly weak layers were

- present in the subgrade. Based upon these results, areas of low, medium, and high stiffness were identified, and transverse trenches were dug across the wheel path at these locations. To the casual observer, the severely distressed pavement surface would suggest rutting in the asphalt concrete. Transverse profiles of the subgrade and base surfaces and thickness measurements of the layers clearly indicated, however, that rutting had occurred in the base and subgrade, and the asphalt concrete pavement layer was merely conforming to the top of the base. This type of information is important in identifying specific causes of pavement failure and being able to correct them in future installations.
7. Monitoring Other Experimental Pavement Installations - Three other active experimental installations were constructed in Ohio to evaluate specific design aspects of rigid and flexible pavement. These include: three types of dowel bars on ATH 50, a total of five joint spacings and six types of base under a rigid pavement on LOR 2, and five types of base under a flexible pavement on LOG 33. OU is providing this service at no additional expense to the project. This monitoring includes the review and summary of Dynaflect and FWD data obtained annually at the sites by ODOT and annual distress surveys by ODOT and OU.
 8. Collection of all data obtained on ATH 50, LOG 33 and LOR 2 and entering it into a database developed by OU personnel. This database is structured after the US 23 database and contains the same types of data, but on a smaller scale than that for US 23. Because of the uniformity of the pavement materials at these other sites, one average skid number in the vicinity of the test sections each year is sufficient.
 9. Documentation – Five technical notes, two interim reports, and two forensic reports have been prepared. In addition, one final report will be published to document the tasks performed under this contract.

US 50 in Athens County,

Reconstruction of a 10.5 km (6.5 miles) long section of US 50 between the cities of Athens and Guysville in southeastern Ohio was started in March 1996. The reconstruction consisted of the replacement of the deteriorated two-lane roadway with a divided four-lane rigid pavement highway. ORITE along with ODOT and the FHWA, made arrangements to have four sections of the new pavement instrumented and monitored in an effort to obtain field performance data for High Performance (HP) Concrete that incorporated Ground Granulated Blast Furnace Slag (GGBFS), as a partial replacement of Portland cement in three sections of the new pavement. For comparison, one section was constructed of PCC without GGBFS, and is referred to as “standard concrete pavement” (SP).

The three HP and the single SP test sections were instrumented with three types of gages: strain gages in each section to monitor strain within the 25.4cm (10 in) slab, thermocouples to monitor temperature within the slab, and TDR probes to monitor subsurface moisture. A weather station with identical specifications to the Ohio SHRP Test Road weather station was also installed in this project. The concrete slab was placed on top of 10.1cm (4 in) of non-stabilized New Jersey (NJ) Base, followed by 15.2 cm (6 in) of Dense Graded Aggregate Base (DGAB) in the eastbound lanes. Two selected segments of the westbound passing and driving lanes included 4 inches of non-

stabilized Iowa Base (IA) placed on top of 6 inches of DGAB supporting the slab. NJ base type was used in other locations in the westbound lanes.

The TDR probes were placed in five different locations beneath the DGAB in the subgrade layer. Each location contains 3 TDR sensors at depths of 15.2, 30.5, and 61 cm (6, 12, and 24 in), for a total of 15 probes.

Data collected for a maturity of concrete study indicated that temperature gradients generated between the surface and the bottom of concrete slabs had a significant impact on the formation of early cracks. Large values of strain recorded in the field during the curing period indicated that the two sections of HP pavement constructed in October 1997 would likely experience early cracking, as was observed.

NDT with the FWD conducted by ODOT and condition surveys conducted by ORITE on an annual basis have been intended to compare the performance of individual sections. In addition, dipstick profile measurements have yielded data to monitor slab warping. FWD data indicated that the uncracked high performance section experienced slightly less deflection at the joints than did the section containing standard concrete, suggesting less curvature and less loss of support under these slabs than under slabs constructed with standard concrete. FWD joint deflections were higher in the cracked high performance sections after one year of service than before the sections were opened to traffic, probably due to the presence of cracks.

TDR data suggested that moisture in the subgrade at sealed and unsealed joints was similar and, in some cases, more under the sealed joints than under the unsealed joints. FWD deflections at sealed joints were generally higher than at the unsealed joints

US 33 (former SR 124) in Meigs County,

The Ohio Department of Transportation in conjunction with its District 10 planned the construction of this test section in Meigs County consisting of a concrete pavement supported by 10" of dense graded base without a free drain base. This project gave ODOT the opportunity to obtain information concerning the moisture in the subgrade and the pressure at the interface between the base and the subgrade. Data being obtained on this project is intended to complement the excellent information already obtained along the US 23 test road in Delaware, Ohio. It is also providing quality data to help ODOT pavement engineers in their base selection type decision making.

The location of the project is such that it displays two types of subgrades: coarse-grained and fine-grained. To investigate the influence of joint sealing on the moisture content beneath the slabs, some of the joints were sealed while some others were unsealed. The instrumentation installed at the site in May of 2002, consisted of a total of 120 TDR probes to measure the volumetric moisture content and 4 pressure cells to measure the pressure reaching the subgrade. The TDR probes were installed beneath 8 slabs, at three locations within each slab consisting of an array of 5 probes per location at depths of half the thickness of the base, and at 2, 12, 24, and 42 inches below the base-subgrade interface. One of the arrays is located beneath the geometric center of the slab, while the remaining two are located beneath the transverse joint (one at the center and the other at the outer corner of the slab).

Pressure cells were located at the subgrade-base interface. Two of the cells were installed beneath one of the slabs supported by coarse-grained soils, while the remaining two lay beneath one of the slabs supported by fine-grained soils. The geometric location was selected to approximately coincide with the typical wheel path at 30 inches from the outer edge and at 5 and 10 feet respectively from the transverse joint on the 15-foot long

slab. Pressure cell readings are collected once a year during FWD testing conducted by ODOT.

In addition to the installation of the TDR probes and the pressure cells, a series of laboratory tests were conducted on soil samples collected at the site for classification and index property determination. TDR probes are being monitored once a month for a period of time to end in 2005. Annual distress surveys are conducted to monitor the development of distress. All instrumentation installation and data collection procedures followed well-known SHRP protocols.

US 33 in Logan County,

Instrumentation of this project located on US 33, east of the city of Bellefontaine in Logan County, was completed in November of 1993. The road was expanded from two to four lanes and six sections of a four-mile stretch were instrumented. The following table shows the test section geometric and layer characteristics:

Section	AC thickness (in)	Base thickness (in)	Base Type
1	11	4 4	Asphalt Treated 304 Aggregate
2	11	4 4	Cement Treated 304 Aggregate
3	11	4 4	Non-stabilized Drainage, NJ type 304 Aggregate
4	11	4 4	Non-stabilized Drainage, IA type 304 Aggregate
5	11	8	304 Aggregate
6	13	6	304 Aggregate

The instrumentation installed along the test sections was intended to monitor: 1) pressure between the base and the subgrade, 2) pressure between the pavement and the base, 3) pavement deflection in the wheel path, 4) volumetric moisture content of the base and subgrade, 5) temperature profile of the pavement, and 6) strain measurement from FWD loads.

Volumetric moisture content was monitored with two TDR probes at each of the 6 test sections. The individual probes were located at 6 inches from the top of the subgrade and at 4 inches below the top of the base since most bases had a total thickness of 8 inches. Soil moisture probes were located along the wheel path and they were aligned horizontally. For sections 1 and 2, moisture probes were installed in the 304 material immediately below the treated bases.

FWD testing and surface condition surveys have been conducted periodically. Important findings from the previous monitoring of this project include the fact that deflection of AC pavements with asphalt treated bases varies significantly with temperature changes, while the deflection of the pavement over cement-treated base was the lowest. It is worth noting that in pavements containing non-treated bases, those with larger aggregate bases experienced less deflection. The finite element modeling of

FWD deflections by OU-PAVE indicated that this program was capable of calculating the maximum deflection and the deflection profile with reasonable accuracy.

The moisture content of the subgrade stayed fairly constant in the base and subgrade in Sections 1 and 2, during the initial observation period. However the moisture content in the remaining sections varied with the seasons. Unfortunately as of the time of writing of this proposal, all moisture probes have stopped working.

US 33 in Athens County (Nelsonville)

Until early 2003, US 33, the main throughway in Nelsonville, a small town with a population of about 4560, located in Athens County, was a dilapidated two-lane road named Canal Street. This road essentially follows a backfilled section of the Old Ohio-Erie Canal. With increasing demands of traffic in both load and magnitude the road deteriorated rapidly after any previous rehabilitation efforts. The Ohio Department of Transportation thus scheduled the complete reconstruction of 3.61 miles of US 33 in and around the city of Nelsonville.

The rigid pavement was divided into three sections differing in the type of concrete mixes, according to the following detail:

MIX	A	B	C
Aggregate	No. 57	No. 357	ODOT
Slag	30%	30%	Normal

A plan was developed in conjunction with ORITE at Ohio University to study the maturity and the amount of warping and curling for each of the three sections. Each test section was approximately 1000 feet long. The first 500 feet of each test section were cured with a spray-on membrane, while the remaining 500 feet were cured with wet burlap. Two slabs at each test section were instrumented with a total of 4 thermocouple sticks: 2 at the center of each slab and 2 at the outside corners. Each thermocouple stick consisted of 4 T Type thermocouples spaced evenly from top to bottom.

The following parameters were monitored:

1. Temperature profile during curing with thermocouples,
2. Temperature as a function of time for the maturity test,
3. Shape of the slab with a Dipstick and the stationary ORITE profilometer,
4. Shape of the slab using ODOT profilers,
5. Joint Movement of the slabs, and
6. Deflection during non-destructive testing.

In addition, a total of 290 cylinders and beams were prepared, cured and tested under controlled conditions in the laboratory to obtain their compressive strength and modulus of rupture, respectively, and to establish the maturity functions for the three different mixes used on US 33.

I-77 in Stark County

The use of long-life (perpetual AC) pavements has been proposed in Europe, and the idea is rapidly gaining ground in the United States. While the ability currently exists to produce perpetual pavements, the engineering community is working to establish guidelines and procedures for building these structures. Studies are also being conducted in an effort to validate the promising expectations of this pavement technique

before it is implemented on a broad scale. As part of this verification effort by ODOT in conjunction with ORITE, Interstate 77 in North Canton, Ohio, has been instrumented with a number strain gauges and pressure cells, and a controlled truck test has been conducted there. The test pavement consists of six inches of Dense Graded Aggregate Base (DGAB, ODOT 304) followed by 13 inches of Bituminous Aggregate Base (ATB, ODOT 302), placed in three lifts. A 1.75-inch intermediate layer was also placed beneath the upper 1.5-inch surface layer.

A 20-foot section in the driving lane of north bound I-77 between 38th Street and Everhard Road was instrumented with Geokon strain gauge pressure cells, Dynatest quarter bridge AC embedment gauges, and thermocouples on August 23, 2003.

On December 15, 2003, data were collected from these sensors in response to a load applied using an ODOT single axle truck. The axle weight of the truck was approximately 26,000 lb. Truck speeds varied between 5 mph and 50 mph. During the tests, which were conducted at night due to traffic restrictions, the average surface temperature of the asphalt was approximately 31°F, while the average pavement temperature as measured by the thermocouples was 36 °F. As expected, the maximum strain for a speed of 5 mph is greater than the strain for 40 mph due to the viscoelastic properties of asphalt concrete. At higher temperatures, the asphalt stiffness will decrease and the strain will increase. However, due to the thickness of the asphalt, the temperature at the bottom of the asphalt pavement will not fluctuate significantly. Therefore, the strain will still potentially be less than the maximum design strain.

Input and Output Data Summaries. 2002 Guide Software

The screenshot displays the 'Design Guide 2002 - AC Over Fractured PCC' software interface. The main window is divided into several sections:

- Project Tree:** Shows the project structure with folders for 'General Information', 'Site/Project Identification', and 'Analysis Parameters'.
- Inputs Tree:** Lists various input parameters such as 'Traffic', 'Climate', 'Structure', and 'Layers'.
- Results Tree:** Lists the output results, including 'Input Summary', 'Output Summary', and 'Flexible Summary'.
- Analysis Status Panel:** A table showing the completion status of different analysis components.
- General Project Information Panel:** A table providing key project details.
- Properties Panel:** A table showing the settings for the analysis.
- Run Analysis Button:** A large button at the bottom right of the summary panel.

Analysis Status:

Analysis	% Complete
Traffic	0%
Climatic	0%
Thermal Cracking	0%
AC Analysis	0%
Summary	0%

General Project Information:

Parameter	Value
Type	AC over fractured ...
Design Life	20 Years
Location	C:\DG2002\Proje...

Properties:

Setting	Value
Units	US Customary
Analysis Type	Probabilistic
Default Input	Level 3

For Help, press F1

Figure A1. Example Input Summary Screen for 2002 Design Guide for AC overlay over Fractured PCC (Level 3 –Default Properties)




Figure A2. Example Output Summary Screen for 2002 Design Guide for AC overlay over Fractured PCC (Level 3 –Default Properties)

Permanant Deformation: Rutting




Figure A3. Example Output Permanent Deformation for 2002 Design Guide for AC overlay over Fractured PCC (Level 3 –Default Properties)

Figure A4. Example Input Table for 2002 Design Guide for AC overlay over Fractured PCC
(Level 3 –Default Properties)
Figure begins on next page.

Project: AC Over Fractured PCC

General Information

Design Life 20 years
 Existing pavement construction: September, 1971
 Pavement overlay construction: September, 1991
 Traffic open: October, 1991
 Type of design JPCP Restoration

Description:

Analysis Parameters

Analysis type Probabilistic

Performance Criteria

	Limit	Reliability
Initial IRI (in/mi)	63	
Terminal IRI (in/mi)	172	90
AC Surface Down Cracking (Long. Cracking) (ft/500):	1000	90
AC Bottom Up Cracking (Alligator Cracking) (%):	25	90
AC Thermal Fracture (Transverse Cracking) (ft/mi):	1000	90
Chemically Stabilized Layer (Fatigue Fracture)	25	90
Permanent Deformation (AC Only) (in):	0.25	90
Permanent Deformation (Total Pavement) (in):	0.75	90

Location:
 Project ID:
 Section ID:
 Functional class:
 Date: 8/12/03

Station/milepost format:
 Station/milepost begin:
 Station/milepost end:
 Traffic direction: East bound

Default Input Level

Default input level Level 3, Default and historical agency values.

Traffic

Initial two-way aadtt: 14000
 Number of lanes in design direction: 2
 Percent of trucks in design direction (%): 50
 Percent of trucks in design lane (%): 95
 Operational speed (mph): 60

Traffic -- Volume Adjustment Factors

Monthly Adjustment Factors (Level 3, Default MAF)

Month	Vehicle Class									
	Class 4	Class 5	Class 6	Class 7	Class 8	Class 9	Class 10	Class 11	Class 12	Class 13
January	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
February	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
March	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
April	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
May	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
June	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
July	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
August	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
September	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
October	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
November	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
December	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00

Vehicle Class Distribution

(Level 3, Default Distribution)

AADTT distribution by vehicle class

Class 4	1.3%
Class 5	8.5%
Class 6	2.8%
Class 7	0.3%
Class 8	7.6%
Class 9	74.0%
Class 10	1.2%
Class 11	3.4%
Class 12	0.6%
Class 13	0.3%

Hourly truck traffic distribution

by period beginning:

Midnight	2.3%	Noon	5.9%
1:00 am	2.3%	1:00 pm	5.9%
2:00 am	2.3%	2:00 pm	5.9%
3:00 am	2.3%	3:00 pm	5.9%
4:00 am	2.3%	4:00 pm	4.6%
5:00 am	2.3%	5:00 pm	4.6%
6:00 am	5.0%	6:00 pm	4.6%
7:00 am	5.0%	7:00 pm	4.6%
8:00 am	5.0%	8:00 pm	3.1%
9:00 am	5.0%	9:00 pm	3.1%
10:00 am	5.9%	10:00 pm	3.1%
11:00 am	5.9%	11:00 pm	3.1%

Traffic Growth Factor

Vehicle Class	Growth Rate	Growth Function
Class 4	4.0%	Compound
Class 5	4.0%	Compound
Class 6	4.0%	Compound
Class 7	4.0%	Compound
Class 8	4.0%	Compound
Class 9	4.0%	Compound
Class 10	4.0%	Compound
Class 11	4.0%	Compound
Class 12	4.0%	Compound
Class 13	4.0%	Compound

Traffic -- Axle Load Distribution Factors

Level 3: Default

Traffic -- General Traffic Inputs

Mean wheel location (inches from the lane marking):	18
Traffic wander standard deviation (in):	10
Design lane width (ft):	12

Number of Axles per Truck

Vehicle Class	Single Axle	Tandem Axle	Tridem Axle	Quad Axle
Class 4	1.62	0.39	0.00	0.00
Class 5	2.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Class 6	1.02	0.99	0.00	0.00
Class 7	1.00	0.26	0.83	0.00
Class 8	2.38	0.67	0.00	0.00
Class 9	1.13	1.93	0.00	0.00
Class 10	1.19	1.09	0.89	0.00
Class 11	4.29	0.26	0.06	0.00
Class 12	3.52	1.14	0.06	0.00
Class 13	2.15	2.13	0.35	0.00

Axle Configuration

Average axle width (edge-to-edge) outside dimensions,ft):	8.5
Dual tire spacing (in):	12

Axle Configuration

Single Tire (psi):	120
Dual Tire (psi):	120

Average Axle Spacing

Tandem axle(psi):	51.6
Tridem axle(psi):	49.2
Quad axle(psi):	49.2

Climate

icm file: C:\DG2002\Projects\Minn60years.icm
 Latitude (degrees.minutes) 45.04
 Longitude (degrees.minutes) -93.21
 Elevation (ft) 869
 Depth of water table (ft) 20

Structure--Design Features

Structure--Layers

Layer 1 -- Asphalt concrete

Material type: Asphalt concrete
 Layer thickness (in): 1.5

General Properties

General

Reference temperature (F°): 70

Volumetric Properties at construction

Effective binder content (%): 11.5

Air voids (%): 6

Total unit weight (pcf): 150

Poisson's ratio: 0.35 (user entered)

Thermal Properties

Thermal conductivity asphalt (BTU/hr-ft-F°): 0.67

Heat capacity asphalt (BTU/lb-F°): 0.23

Asphalt Mix

Cumulative % Retained 3/4 inch sieve: 0

Cumulative % Retained 3/8 inch sieve: 15

Cumulative % Retained #4 sieve: 57

% Passing #200 sieve: 6

Asphalt Binder

Option: Superpave binder grading

A 10.2990 (correlated)

VTS: -3.4260 (correlated)

High temp. °C	Low temperature, °C						
	14	3.2	-7.6	-18.4	-29.2	-40	-50.8
114.8							
125.6							
136.4							
147.2							
158							
168.8							
179.6							

Layer 2 -- Asphalt concrete

Material type: Asphalt concrete
 Layer thickness (in): 2.5

General Properties

General

Reference temperature (F°): 70

Volumetric Properties at construction

Effective binder content (%): 11

Air voids (%): 6.5

Total unit weight (pcf): 150

Poisson's ratio: 0.35 (user entered)

Thermal Properties

Thermal conductivity asphalt (BTU/hr-ft-F°): 0.67
 Heat capacity asphalt (BTU/lb-F°): 0.23

Asphalt Mix

Cumulative % Retained 3/4 inch sieve: 5
 Cumulative % Retained 3/8 inch sieve: 20
 Cumulative % Retained #4 sieve: 64
 % Passing #200 sieve: 5

Asphalt Binder

Option: Superpave binder grading
 A 10.9800 (correlated)
 VTS: -3.6800 (correlated)

High temp. °C	Low temperature, °C						
	14	3.2	-7.6	-18.4	-29.2	-40	-50.8
114.8							
125.6							
136.4							
147.2							
158							
168.8							
179.6							

Layer 3 -- JPCP (existing)**General Properties**

Material type: JPCP (existing)
 Layer thickness (in): 10
 Unit weight (pcf): 150
 Poisson's ratio: 0.2

Strength Properties

Elastic modulus (psi): 150000

Thermal Properties

Thermal conductivity (BTU/hr-ft-F°): 1.25
 Heat capacity (BTU/lb-F°): 0.28

Layer 4 -- Crushed stone

Unbound Material: Crushed stone
 Thickness(in): 6

Strength Properties

Input Level: Level 2
 Analysis Type: ICM inputs (ICM Calculated Modulus)
 Poisson's ratio: 0.35
 Coefficient of lateral pressure, Ko: 0.9
 Based upon PI and Gradation: -9999
 Modulus (calculated) (psi): 39169

ICM Inputs**Gradation and Plasticity Index**

Plasticity Index, PI: 1
 Passing #200 sieve (%): 7.35
 Passing #4 sieve (%): 53.5
 D60 (mm): 5.88

Calculated/Derived Parameters

Maximum dry unit weight (pcf): 122.3 (derived)
 Specific gravity of solids, Gs: 2.67 (derived)
 Saturated hydraulic conductivity (ft/hr): 200 (derived)
 Optimum gravimetric water content (%): 11.2 (derived)
 Calculated degree of saturation (%): 82.6 (calculated)

Soil water characteristic curve parameters: Default values

Parameters	Value
a	11.3
b	1.75
c	0.515
Hr.	367

Layer 5 -- A-6

Unbound Material: A-6
Thickness(in): Semi-infinite

Strength Properties

Input Level: Level 2
Analysis Type: ICM inputs (ICM Calculated Modulus)
Poisson's ratio: 0.45
Coefficient of lateral pressure, Ko: 0.5
Based upon PI and Gradation: -9999
Modulus (calculated) (psi): 11609

ICM Inputs

Gradation and Plasticity Index

Plasticity Index, PI: 19
Passing #200 sieve (%): 43.7
Passing #4 sieve (%): 96.5
D60 (mm): 0.216

Calculated/Derived Parameters

Maximum dry unit weight (pcf): 110.9 (derived)
Specific gravity of solids, Gs: 2.73 (derived)
Saturated hydraulic conductivity (ft/hr): 5.87e-006 (derived)
Optimum gravimetric water content (%): 17.1 (derived)
Calculated degree of saturation (%): 87.2 (calculated)

Soil water characteristic curve parameters: Default values

Parameters	Value
a	48.6
b	1.2
c	0.638
Hr.	1840

Distress Model Calibration Settings - Flexible

AC Fatigue

Level 3 (Nationally calibrated values)
k1 0.00432
k2 3.9492
k3 1.281

AC Rutting

Level 3 (Nationally calibrated values)
k1 -3.51108
k2 1.5606
k3 0.4791

Standard Deviation Total 0.1282*POWER(RUT,0.406)+0.001
Rutting (RUT):

Thermal Fracture

Level 3 (Nationally calibrated values)
k1 5
Std. Dev. (THERMAL): 19+(24/(1+EXP(3-0.0025*THERMAL)))

CSM Fatigue

Level 3 (Nationally calibrated values)
k1 1
k2 1

Subgrade Rutting	Level 3 (Nationally calibrated values)
Granular:	
k1	2.2
Fine-grain:	
k1	8
AC Cracking	
AC Top Down Cracking	
C1 (top)	2.8
C2 (top)	1.4
C3 (top)	0
C4 (top)	1000
Standard Deviation (TOP)	$77 + 114.8 / (1 + \exp(0.772 - 2.8527 * \log(\text{TOP} + 0.0001)))$
AC Bottom Up Cracking	
C1 (bottom)	1
C2 (bottom)	1
C3 (bottom)	0
C4 (bottom)	6000
Standard Deviation (TOP)	$32.7 + 995.1 / (1 + \exp(2 - 2 * \log(\text{BOTTOM} + 0.001)))$
CSM Cracking	
C1 (CSM)	1
C2 (CSM)	1
C3 (CSM)	0
C4 (CSM)	1000
Standard Deviation (CSM)	CTB*11
IRI	
IRI Rehabilitation over Flexible	
C1 (Flexible)	0.011505
C2 (Flexible)	0.003599
C3 (Flexible)	3.430057
C4 (Flexible)	0.000723
C5 (Flexible)	0.011241
C6 (Flexible)	9.04244
Std. Dev (Flexible)	0.179
IRI Rehabilitation over Rigid	
C1 (Rigid)	0.008263
C2 (Rigid)	0.022183
C3 (Rigid)	1.33041
Std. Dev (Rigid)	0.197

PRICE QUOTES FOR EQUIPMENT



815 West 1800 North • Logan, Utah 84321-1784
 Phone 435.753.2342 • Fax 435.750.9540
 Fed. I.D. #87-0305157 • DUNS#06-798-0730

Quote Number	53116
Quote Date	03-07-06
Valid Through Date	05-06-06
Quoted By	Craig Knox
Customer Number	10916
Est. Ship ARO	30 Days ARO
Page	1

Domestic Sales Quotation

Q U O T E T O	Ohio University Civil Engineering Dept 114 Stocker Center Athens, OH 45701-2979 United States
-------------------------------------	---

S H I P T O	Khoury Issam Ohio University Civil Engineering Dept 114 Stocker Center Athens, OH 45701-2979
--------------------------------	--

Contact:	Issam Khoury	Cust RFQ:	
Phone:	740-593-0010	Terms:	Net 30 Days
Fax:	740-593-0625	Freight Terms:	PP&A
Email:	khoury@bobcat.ent.ohiou.edu	FOB:	LOGAN, UT

LI	Model	Part	Description	Qty	UM	Unit Price	Ext. Price
1	CS610-L82	9640-15	3-Rod TDR Probe w/RG8 Cable 82ft cable per probe	10	EA	182.10	1,821.00
2	CR10X-ST-SW-MA-NC	16144-5	Measurement & Control Datalogger w/128k Memory & Wiring Panel -ST Tested -25 to +50C -SW Standard 3yr Warranty -MA Mixed Array OS -NC No Calibration Cert	1	EA	1,200.00	1,200.00
3	PS100-SW-US-NA	16695-1	12V Power Supply w/Charging Regulator & 7Ahr Sealed Rechargeable Battery -SW Standard 1yr Warranty -US 18Vac Charger -NA No Adapter	1	EA	233.28	233.28
4	ENC12/14-SC-NP- NI-ND	15875-3	Weather-Resistant 12 x 14 inch Enclosure -SC 1 Conduit for Cables -NP No Enc Mounts	1	EA	187.20	187.20
Continued							

This Quote is for Domestic purposes only.

Authorized Signature X _____

Warranty Policy: CSI warrants product manufactured by CSI to be free from defects in materials and workmanship under normal use and service for twelve (12) months from date of shipment unless specified otherwise, subject to the following conditions:
 CSI's obligation under this warranty is limited to repairing or replacing (at CSI's option) products which have been returned prepaid to CSI. CSI will return warranted equipment by surface carrier prepaid. This warranty shall not apply to any CSI products which have been subjected to modification, misuse, neglect, accidents of nature, or shipping damage. Batteries are not warranted. Under no circumstances will CSI reimburse the claimant for costs incurred in removing and/or reinstalling equipment. This warranty, and CSI's obligation thereunder, is in lieu of all other warranties of suitability and fitness for a particular purpose. CSI is not liable for consequential damages.



815 West 1800 North • Logan, Utah 84321-1784
 Phone 435.753.2342 • Fax 435.750.9540
 Fed. I.D. #97-0305157 • DUNS#06-798-0730

Quote Number	53116
Quote Date	03-07-06
Valid Through Date	05-06-06
Quoted By	Craig Knox
Customer Number	10916
Est. Ship ARO	30 Days ARO
Page	2

Domestic Sales Quotation

Q U O T E T O	Ohio University Civil Engineering Dept 114 Stocker Center Athens, OH 45701-2979 United States
-------------------------------------	---

S H I P T O	Khoury Issam Ohio University Civil Engineering Dept 114 Stocker Center Athens, OH 45701-2979
--------------------------------	--

Contact:	Issam Khoury	Cust RFQ:	
Phone:	740-593-0010	Terms:	Net 30 Days
Fax:	740-593-0625	Freight Terms:	PP&A
Email:	khoury@bobcat.ent.ohiou.edu	FOB:	LOGAN, UT

Li	Model	Part	Description	Qty	UM	Unit Price	Ext. Price
5	FRGT_INS	16104	-NI No SC-IRDA -ND No Display Installed Estimated Charge for Freight & Insurance to Athens OH via UPS GND	1	EA	103.00	103.00
						SUBTOTAL	\$3,544.48
						TAX	\$0.00
						TOTAL	\$3,544.48

This Quote is for Domestic purposes only.

Authorized Signature X _____

Warranty Policy: CSI warrants product manufactured by CSI to be free from defects in materials and workmanship under normal use and service for twelve (12) months from date of shipment unless specified otherwise, subject to the following conditions:
 CSI's obligation under this warranty is limited to repairing or replacing (at CSI's option) products which have been returned prepaid to CSI. CSI will return warranted equipment by surface carrier prepaid. This warranty shall not apply to any CSI products which have been subjected to modification, misuse, neglect, accidents of nature, or shipping damage. Batteries are not warranted. Under no circumstances will CSI reimburse the claimant for costs incurred in removing and/or reinstalling equipment. This warranty, and CSI's obligation thereunder, is in lieu of all other warranties of suitability and fitness for a particular purpose. CSI is not liable for consequential damages.



Quotation

Quotation To: Mr. Sam Khoury OHIO UNIVERSITY Civil Engr./Stocker Center Athens, OH 45701 Tel: (740) 593-0100 Fax: (740) 593-0625 Email: khoury@ohio.edu	Date	Quote
	3/8/2006	DJL-2710
	Validity	Terms
	30 Days	Net 30
	Ship Via	Delivery
	BW	3 Wks ARO
	FOB Point	
Columbus, OH		

Item #	Qty.	Description	Unit Price	Extension
1	20	HONEYWELL SENSOTEC LVDT Model: DLF Order Code: BY129HQ Stroke Length: 1.0 in Armature: captive guided/spring return Linearity: 0.25% Output: \pm 2VDC Power: 5V (regulated) Electrical Termination: INTEGRAL CABLE Length: 6.5 ft. Operating Temperature: -58 to 158 °F cc: Dennis Lovell, Vern Pollock, MI Eric Hubbard, SENSOTEC	\$626.00	\$12,520.00

Please Address Purchase Order Only as follows :



HONEYWELL/SENSOTEC
Measurement Instruments
 P.O. Box 163
 Blairsville, PA 15717
 Fax to: (724) 459-9070
 Tel: (724) 459-8090

Official Signature
 (304) 652-1026

1. Measurement Instruments is a manufacturer's representative. All orders are subject to acceptance by the manufacturer or distributor whose conditions apply.
2. Only the manufacturers' product specifications and warranties apply.
3. All quoted prices and deliveries are per manufacturer and distributor. All shipments will be F.O.B. shipping point unless noted otherwise.



DYNATEST CONSULTING, INC
 13953 US Highway 301 S
 Starke, Florida 32091 USA
 Tel +1 904 964-3777
 Fax +1904 964-3749
 Email: psc@dynatest.com
 Webpage: www.dynatest.com
 Pavement Engineering Specialists
 and Equipment

To:	Sam Khoury
Company:	Ohio University
Phone:	(740) 593-0010
From:	David L. Morrow
Company:	Dynatest Consulting, Inc.
Phone/Fax:	(904) 964-3777 / (904) 964-3749
Date:	March 9, 2006
Pages :	1

Ref: PAST II AC Gauges

Dear Mr. Khoury:

Per our phone conversation yesterday, below are prices for the 12 PAST II gauges:

NO.	DESCRIPTION	UNIT PRICE	TOTAL
12	Dynatest PAST II AC 10 Mtr Cable	\$670.00	\$8,040.00
12	Shipping/Handling	\$5.00	\$60.00
	TOTAL		\$8,100.00

These gauges are currently in stock and can be shipped immediately upon receipt of your purchase order. I have them on hold with our supplier for one week pending receipt of your order.

If you have any questions, or if we can assist you in any way, please do not hesitate to call.

Regards,

DYNATEST CONSULTING, INC.

Marketing Manager



The World Leader in Vibrating Wire Technology™

Quote # 902019

Quote Date 03/08/06

Page 1 of 1

Bill To:

Ohio University
 Department of Purchases
 HDL Center 205, P.O. Box 640
 Athens, OH45701-0640
 UNITED STATES

Ship To:

Ohio University
 Department of Purchases
 HDL Center 205, P.O. Box 640
 Athens, OH45701-0640
 UNITED STATES

REFERENCE NUMBER		TERMS		SHIP VIA		TRADE TERMS		
TBD		Net 30 Days		FedEx Ground		FCA - Our Dock		
REQUESTED BY		SALES REPRESENTATIVE		QUOTE DATE	OUR QUOTE #	CUSTOMER ID		
Sam Khory		Martin Gradljan		3/8/2006	902019	3221		
LN	DL	QUANTITY	SHIP DATE	MODEL NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	UNIT	PRICE	EXT PRICE
01	01	4.00	3/22/2006	3500-1-400KPA	Semiconductor Strain Gage Earth Pressure Cell, circular, 9" (230mm) diameter, hydraulic oil filled, with thermistor, in stainless steel housing, 400 kPa (60 psi), mV/V output with remote sense. With 75 feet of 04-375V9-E cable attached to each.	EA	630.00	2520.00
02	01	300.00	3/22/2006	04-375V9-E	Violet PVC cable, 0.375" OD, 4 twisted pairs.	FT	0.93	279.00
TOTAL:								2,799.00

Record and Shipping Comments:

Project:
 Revision:
 Comments:

From: Patty Martka [mailto:pattym@harbornet.com]
Sent: Tuesday, March 07, 2006 4:18 PM
To: khoury@ohio.edu
Subject: TP101 Price Quote

Hello Sam:

The following represents the probe configuration pricing that we spoke of this morning. Price for cable and epoxy have gone up since 2003 and the change is reflected below. Please check spacing and confirm prior to ordering.
Thanks, Sam
Pat Martka - MRC

<u>Item</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Each</u>
TP101	Temperature probe (72") with Stainless Steel tube containing 3 Thermistors. Main body of probe will contain 15 thermistor data points at: 0, 3, 6, 9, 12, 18, 24, 30, 36, 42, 48, 54, 66 and 73" There will be a total of 18 temperature data points for the probe. Pigtail Sensor: 11.25" with 3 thermistors at: YOUR SPACING Probe will be side mounted with 75' of communication cable for Datalogger interface.	\$1,500.00
Ship Tube	(1)	15.00
Shipping:	Pre-paid and added to Invoice	
Terms:	Net 30 Days	



Shaw & Holter Inc.
2625 Coonpath Road N.E., Lancaster, Ohio 43130

Phone (740) 653-1864
Fax (740) 653-7919

QUOTATION

Date: 3/22/2006

Ohio University

Athens, Ohio 45701-2979

From:

Shaw & Holter Inc.
2625 Coonpath Rd. N.E.
Lancaster, Ohio 43130
H. Edward Shaw, President

Project: OU-L Accelerated Load Test Facility
Attention: Mike Krumlauf
Fax No.: 740-593-0625

Description	TOTAL COST
Excavate, grade and install 6"-304 aggregate base, 10" asphalt concrete pavement, 12' wide x 45' long (Asphalt consisting of 6" 301 base, 2" 448, Type 2 Intermediate Course, 2" 448, Type 1 Surface Course)	TOTAL COST: \$ 14,000.00
Removal and disposal of the above off site	TOTAL COST \$ 3,000.00

Thank you for the opportunity to quote you.
If you have any questions, please feel free to contact us.

Highway - Heavy Construction
Equal Opportunity Employer

Note that this quote is for one 12 foot (3.66 m) lane that would comprise two of four test sections. Costs would be doubled to pave two lanes with four sections, as done in budget.

RESUMES

RESUME

Name: Shad M. Sargand

Education: B.S., Civil Engineering, University of Nebraska, 1976
M.S., Civil Engineering (Structural Engineering), University of Nebraska, *Finite Element Analysis of Friction Pile in Loess*, 1978
Ph.D., Civil Engineering (Geotechnical Engineering), Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, *A Hybrid Finite Element Procedure for Soil-Structure Interaction Including Construction Sequences*, 1981.

Teaching and Professional Experience:

1990-present Russ Professor, Civil Engineering Department, Ohio University
1989-present Professor, Civil Engineering Department, Ohio University
1988-present Associate Director, Ohio Research Institute for Transportation and the Environment
1986-1989 Associate Professor, Civil Engineering Department, Ohio University
1981-1986 Assistant Professor, Civil Engineering Department, Ohio University
1978-1981 Research Associate, Civil Engineering Department, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University
1976-1978 Graduate Assistant/Research Associate, Civil Engineering Department, University of Nebraska
1976 Research Associate, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Omaha, Nebraska
1976 Structural Engineer, Bell-Galyard-Wells, Architects-Engineers, Omaha, Nebraska

Honors and Awards:

1999 D.R. Harting Award, Society of Experimental Mechanics, Inc.
1999 Russ Professorship
1999 Civil Engineering Department Research Award
1998 Civil Engineering Department Research Award
1998 Certificate of Appreciation, Federal Highway Administration, Leadership and Dedication on the Ohio Test Road
1995 Certificate of Achievement from the Governor of the State of Ohio, George Voinovich, for coordination and supervision of the SHRP Test Road
1993 Fritz and Dolores Russ Research Award
1992 D.R. Harting Award, Society of Experimental Mechanics, Inc.
1990-1995 Russ Professorship
1974 Fulbright Scholarship

Publications:

Shad M. Sargand, Teruhisa Masada, and Donald J. Schehl, *Soil Pressure Measured at Various Fill Heights Above Deeply Buried Thermoplastic Pipe*, Journal of the Transportation Research Board, No. 1770, pp. 227-235 (2002).

Shad M. Sargand, Glenn A. Hazen, Kevin White, and Alan Moran, *Time Dependent Deflection of Thermoplastic Pipes Under Deep Burial*, Journal of the Transportation Research Board, No. 1770, pp. 236-242 (2002).

Shad M. Sargand, *Application of High Performance Concrete in the Pavement System and Structural Response of High Performance Concrete*, Final Report, ODOT/FHWA (February 2002).

Shad M. Sargand and Teruhisa Masada, *Laboratory Characterization of Ohio-SHRP Test Road Pavement Material*, Final Report, ODOT/FHWA (January 2002).

T. Masada and S.M. Sargand, *Construction of a Flexible Pipe System Using Controlled Low Strength Material – Controlled Density Fill (CLSM-CDF)*, FHWA/OH-2001/08, Final Report, ODOT/FHWA, 276 pp. (September 2001).

S.S. Kim and S.M. Sargand, *Evaluation of Rutting Potential of Polymer Modified Superpave Mixes*, Proceedings of the 2002 US-Korea Conference on Science, Technology, and Entrepreneurship (in press).

S.M. Sargand and S.S. Kim, *Performance Evaluation of Polymer Modified and Unmodified Superpave Mixes*, Proceedings of the 2nd International Symposium on Maintenance and Rehabilitation of Pavements and Technological Control (July-August 2001).

Shad M. Sargand and Teruhisa Masada, *Construction of Drainage Pipe System Using CLSM-CDF*, Final Report, ODOT/FHWA (2001).

Shad M. Sargand, William F. Edwards, and Santosh Salimath, *Evaluation of Soil Stiffness Via Non-Destructive Testing*, Final Report, ODOT/FHWA (June 2001).

Shad M. Sargand, *Performance of Dowel Bars and Rigid Pavement*, Final Report, ODOT/FHWA (June 2001).

S.M. Sargand, T. Masada, K. White, and B. Altarawneh, *1,050-mm (42-in.) Diameter, Profile-Wall HDPE Pipes Under Deep Soil Cover: Comparisons Between Field Performance Data and Analytical Predictions*, Accepted for Presentation and Publication by the Transportation Research Board (TRB), Washington, DC, Nov. 11, 2001.

T. Masada and S.M. Sargand, *Field Performance of Flexible Pipe Installed in Fly Ash-Based Flowable Fill*, Proceedings of the 17th International Conference on Solid Waste Technology and Management, Philadelphia, PA (October 2001).

Steinberg, E., Beier, J., Sargand, S., 2001, *Effects of Sudden Prestress Force Transfer in Pretensioned Concrete Beams*, PCI Journal, Precast/Prestressed Concrete Institute, Chicago, IL, pp 64-75 (January/February 2001).

Shad M. Sargand, Teruhisa Masada, Alan P. Moran, and Kevin White, *Thermoplastic Pipe Responses Under Deep Burial Conditions*, Geotechnical Engineering Trends in the New Millennium, Central Pennsylvania Section ASCE and Pennsylvania Department of Transportation, Hershey, PA (November 2000).

- Shad M. Sargand, Teruhisa Masada, Kevin E. White, *Performance of Large Diameter HC-HDPE Pipe Under Highway Embankment*, Canadian Geotechnical Journal, Vol. 37, No. 5, pp. 1099-1108 (October 2000).
- Shad M. Sargand and William F. Edwards, *Effectiveness of Base Type on the Performance of PCC Pavements on ERI/LOR 2*, Report ODOT/FHWA (April 2000).
- Shad M. Sargand, Daniel L. Wasniak, Teruhisa Masada, and David Beegle, *Evaluation of Initial Subgrade Variability on the Ohio SHRP Test Road*, Report, ODOT/FHWA (January 2000).
- Shad M. Sargand, Teruhisa Masada and Richard Engle, *Effect of Bearing Soil Layer Surface Finish Methods on Performance of Highway Bridge Spread Footing*, Geotechnical Special Publication, No. 94 – Performance Confirmation of Constructed Geotechnical Facilities, American Society of Civil Engineers, Hershey, PA pp. 170-183 (April 2000).
- Shad M. Sargand, Teruhisa Masada, and Daniel L. Wasniak, *Variability of Initial Subgrade Modulus at Ohio SHRP Test Road*, STP 1384, ASTM Symposium on Constructing and Controlling Compaction of Earth Fills, pp. 274-289 (April 2000).
- Shad M. Sargand, Ludwig J. Figueroa, Andrew Heydinger, William Wolfe, Andrew Bodocsi, and Allen Sehn, *Coordination and Load Response Instrumentation of SHRP Pavements*, Final Report, ODOT/FHWA (May 1999).
- Y.C. Das and Shad M. Sargand, *Forced Vibration of Laterally Loaded Piles*, International Journal of Solids and Structures, Vol. 36, issue 33, pp. 4975-4989 (1999).
- Shad M. Sargand and Issam Khoury, *Sensor Installation in Rigid Pavement*, Journal of Experimental Techniques, pp. 25-27 (May/June 1999).
- T. Masada, S.M. Sargand, and J. Shah, *Characterization of Flowable Material Based on Midwestern Coal Ash*, ACI Spring Convention, Chicago, IL (March 14-18, 1999).
- Y.C. Das and S.M. Sargand, *Dynamics of Plane Elastic Bodies*, Proceedings of the Energy Sources Technology Conference and Exhibition, Houston, TX (February 1-3, 1999).
- Shad M. Sargand, Glenn A. Hazen, and Teruhisa Masada, *Field and Laboratory Performance Evaluation of Spread Footings*, Final Report, ODOT/FHWA (February 1999).
- Shad M. Sargand, Glenn A. Hazen, and Teruhisa Masada, *Structural Evaluation and Performance of Plastic Pipe*, Final Report, ODOT/FHWA (December 1998).
- Shad M. Sargand, *Three-Dimensional Finite-Element Software Development and Verification Case Study*, 3-D Finite Element Modeling for Pavements, Charleston, WV (November 8-10, 1998).
- Shad M. Sargand, Glenn A. Hazen, and Teruhisa Masada, *Structural Evaluation and Performance of Plastic Pipe*, Final Report, ODOT/FHWA (December 1998).
- Shad M. Sargand and Glenn A. Hazen, *Field Verification of Standard Installation Direct Design (SIDD) Method for Concrete Pipe*, Final Report, ODOT/FHWA (August 1998).

Shad M. Sargand, Teruhisa Masada, and Richard Engle, *Spread Footing Foundation for Highway Bridge Applications*, ASCE Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering, Vol. 125, No. 5, pp. 373-382 (May 1998).

Teruhisa Masada and Shad M. Sargand, *Deformation Characteristics of Profile-Wall Thermoplastic Pipes Under Shallow Burial and Surface Loading*, Proceedings of the Conference on Structural Performance of Pipes '98, pp. 123-130 (March 1998).

Shad M. Sargand and Glenn A. Hazen, *Load-Cell Tests on 1520 mm (60 in.) Concrete Pipe Designed for Deep Burial*, Proceedings of the Conference on Structural Performance of Pipes '98, pp. 131-146 (March 1998).

Shad M. Sargand, Brad A. Young, Issam S. Khoury, Daniel L. Wasniak, and Benjamin M. Goldsberry, *Final Report on Forensic Study for Section 390101 of Ohio SHRP U.S. 23 Test Pavement*, ODOT/FHWA (February 1998).

Glenn A. Hazen, Shad M. Sargand, Mohammed Haque, and John O. Hurd, *Field Performance of Instrumented Concrete Pipe Under Deep Burial*, Transportation Research Record 1594, Transportation Research Board, pp. 224-234 (September 1997).

Shad M. Sargand, Roger Green, and Issam Khoury, *Instrumenting Ohio Test Pavement*, Transportation Research Record 1596, Transportation Research Board, pp. 23-30 (September 1997).

Shad M. Sargand, Brad A. Young, Issam S. Khoury, Daniel L. Wasniak, and Benjamin M. Goldsberry, *Preliminary Report on Forensic Study for Section 390101 of Ohio SHRP U.S. 23 Test Pavement*, ODOT/FHWA (September 1997).

Shad M. Sargand and Andrew T. Metzger, *Determination of Abutment Forces Due to Environmental Factors*, Final Report, ODOT/FHWA (May 1997).

Shad M. Sargand and Edward Cinadr, *Field Instrumentation of Dowels*, Final Report, ODOT/FHWA (May 1997).

Shad M. Sargand, Glenn A. Hazen, Jason L. McCauley, and Daniel J. Schweiger, *A Demonstration Project on Instrumentation of a Flexible Pavement*, Final Report, ODOT/FHWA (April 1997).

Shad M. Sargand, Glenn A. Hazen, Christopher C. Bazeley, Joel R. Copley, and Michael E. George, *Instrumentation of a Rigid Pavement System*, Final Report, ODOT/FHWA (April 1997).

Y.C. Das and Shad M. Sargand, *Dynamics of Laterally Loaded Pipes in Elastic Medium*, Proceedings of the 8th Annual International Energy Week Conference and Exhibition, Houston, TX, pp. 323-330 (January 28-30, 1997).

John O. Hurd, Shad M. Sargand, and Teruhisa Masada, *Performance of Large Diameter HC-HDPE Pipe Under Highway Embankment in Ohio*, 76th Annual Transportation Research Board Meeting, Washington, DC (January 1997).

J.J. VonHandorf, S.M. Sargand, and G.A. Hazen, *Stresses in Rigid Pavement Due to Environmental Factors*, Abstract, Proceedings of the VII International Congress on Experimental

Mechanics and Experimental/Numerical Mechanics in Electronic Packaging, Nashville, TN, pp. 339-340 (June 10-13, 1997).

A.A. Sharkins, S.M. Sargand, and I.S. Khoury, *Monitoring Dynamic Response of Pavement by Strain Gauges*, Abstract, Proceedings of the VIII International Congress on Experimental Mechanics and Experimental/Numerical Mechanics in Electronic Packaging, Nashville, TN, pp. 341-342 (June 10-13, 1997).

Shad M. Sargand, Teruhisa Masada, and John O. Hurd, *Effect of Rib Spacing on Deformation of Profile Wall Plastic Pipes Buried in Coarse Granular Backfill*, American Society for Testing and Materials (June 1996).

Y.C. Das and Shad M. Sargand, *Dynamics of Fluid Conveying Pipe on Elastic Foundation*, American Society of Mechanical Engineers, Structural Dynamics and Vibration (January 1996).

Shad M. Sargand, Y.C. Das, and Wadu M.L. Jayasuriya, *A Mathematical Model for Falling Weight Deflectometer*, American Society of Mechanical Engineers, PD-Vol. 70, Structural Dynamics and Vibration (1995).

Shad M. Sargand, Glenn A. Hazen, Elango Vaithianathan, and John O. Hurd, *Performance Verification of a Concrete Pipe*, Concrete International ACI, Vol. 17, No. 7, pp. 23-27 (July 1995).

Shad M. Sargand, Glenn A. Hazen, Teruhisa Masada, and John O. Hurd, *Performance of a Structural Plate Pipe Arch Culvert in a Cohesive Backfill Under Large Live Load*, Nordic Steel Construction Conference '95, Malmo, Sweden (June 1995).

Shad M. Sargand, Glenn A. Hazen, Xuegang Liu, Teruhisa Masada, and John O. Hurd, *Structural Performance of Buried PVC Pipes Under Large Distributed Load*, Transportation Research Board Annual Meeting, Washington, DC (January 1995).

Shad M. Sargand, Glenn A. Hazen, Teruhisa Masada, and Richard Engel, *Centrifuge Modeling - Settlement of Highway Bridge Spread Footings on Cohesionless Soils in Ohio*, Transportation Research Board Annual Meeting, Washington, DC (January 1995).

Shad M. Sargand, *Structural Evaluation and Performance of Plastic Pipe*, 48th Annual Ohio Transportation Engineering Conference (November 29-30, 1994).

Shad M. Sargand, *Development of an Instrumentation Plan for the Ohio SPS Test Pavement (DEL-23)*, Final Report, ODOT/FHWA (October 1994).

Shad M. Sargand, Teruhisa Masada, Baimin Mao, V.S.R. Yalamanchili, and John O. Hurd, *Performance of Buried Corrugated HDPE Pipe*, Centrifuge '94 International Conference, Singapore (August 31-September 2, 1994).

Alan Rauch, Shad M. Sargand, and Glenn A. Hazen, *Behavior of a Deeply Corrugated Steel Plate in a Culvert*, ASCE Journal of Structural Engineering, pp. 1651-1655 (May 1994).

Shad M. Sargand, Glenn A. Hazen, Teruhisa Masada, and John O. Hurd, *Long Term Field Study of a Deep-Corrugated Metal Box-Type Culvert*, Canadian Geotechnical Journal, Vol. 31, pp. 175-180 (1994).

Gayle F. Mitchell, Shad M. Sargand, and Teruhisa Masada, *Leachate Collection System Components Research, Phase IV*, Final Report, Rust Environment and Infrastructure, Inc., 57 pp (March 1994).

Teruhisa Masada, Gayle F. Mitchell, Shad M. Sargand, and Bangalore Shashikumar, *Modified Direct Shear Study of Clay Liner/Geomembrane Interfaces Exposed to Landfill Leachate*, International Journal of Geotextiles and Geomembranes, Vol. 13, No. 3, pp. 161-179 (1994).

Shad M. Sargand, Glenn A. Hazen, Issam Khoury, Indra Pannila, and Robert Rogers, *Evaluation of Pavement Joint Performance*, Final Report, ODOT/FHWA (August 1993).

Shad M. Sargand, Gayle F. Mitchell, and Teruhisa Masada, *Structural Performance of an HDPE Leachate Collection Pipe*, The Solid Waste Association of North America, Proceedings of the 31st Annual International Solid Waste Exposition, San Jose, CA (August 2-5, 1993).

Gayle F. Mitchell and Shad M. Sargand, *Integrated Engineering Programs: Focus on Geoenvironmental*, Proceedings of the American Society for Engineering Education 100th Annual Conference, University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign, IL (June 20-23, 1993).

S.M. Sargand, G.A. Hazen, T. Masada, and J.O. Hurd, *Five Year Field Performance Study of Three Metal Box Culverts*, Structural Performance of Pipes, Balkema, Rotterdam, pp. 137-146 (1993).

Alan F. Rauch, Shad M. Sargand, and Glenn A. Hazen, *Performance of a Deep Corrugated Steel, Box-Type Culvert*, ASCE Geotechnical Division, Vol. 119, pp. 433-452 (May 1993).

R.E. Kastner, Shad M. Sargand, and Gayle F. Mitchell, *Structural Performance of PVC Leachate Collection Pipe*, Structural Performance of Pipes, Balkema, Rotterdam, pp. 83-96 (1993).

Gayle F. Mitchell and Shad M. Sargand, *Leachate Collection System Component Research, Phase III*, Final Report, Waste Management of North America, Inc., 79 pp. (January 1993).

Gayle F. Mitchell, Shad M. Sargand, and Julian Rueda, *Permeability of the Drainage Layer in the Leachate Collection System*, Proceedings of the WasteTech '93 Conference, Marina Del Ray, CA (January 14-15, 1993).

Shad M. Sargand and Yellappa C. Das, *Method of Initial Functions for Axially Symmetric Elastic Bodies*, Journal of Solids and Structures, Vol. 29, No. 711-719 (1992).

Gayle F. Mitchell, Shad M. Sargand, and Julian Rueda, *Clogging of the Drainage Blanket in Landfills*, Proceedings of the Geo-Filters '92 International Conference on Filters and Filtration Phenomena in Geotechnical Engineering, Karlsruhe, Germany (October 20-22, 1992).

Gayle F. Mitchell and Shad M. Sargand, *Leachate Collection Systems Components Research*, Proceedings of the 1992 Waste Management, Inc., Fall Technology Conference, Oak Brook, IL (September 28-30, 1992).

Shad M. Sargand, Yellappa C. Das, and Xuegang Liu, *A Refined Model for Dynamic Analysis of Circular Plates on Elastic Foundations*, Journal of Sound and Vibration, Vol. 155(3) (June 22, 1992).

Shad M. Sargand and Glenn A. Hazen, *Long Term Study of Metal Box Culverts*, Final Report, ODOT/FHWA, 103 pp. (May 1992).

Shad M. Sargand, Glenn A. Hazen, Teruhisa Masada, and Chelliah Devarajan, *Failure Characteristics of a Structural Plate Pipe Arch Culvert*, Final Report, ODOT/FHWA, 118 pp. (May 1992).

Shad M. Sargand, Glenn A. Hazen, and Robert C. Rogers, *Experimental Analysis of Steel Dowels Used in Y and YU Type Contraction Joints for the Repair of Concrete Pavements Subjected to Truck Loading*, American Institute 1992 Spring Convention, Washington, DC (March 1992).

Gayle F. Mitchell, Teruhisa Masada, and Shad M. Sargand, *Analysis of Erosion and Sediment Control Practices of State Departments of Transportation*, Proceedings of the 23rd International Erosion Control Association Conference, Reno, NV (February 18-21, 1992).

Gayle F. Mitchell and Shad M. Sargand, *Leachate Collection System Components Research - Phase II*, Final Report, Waste Management of North America, Inc. (February 1992).

Shad M. Sargand, Gayle F. Mitchell, and Robert C. Rogers, *Monitoring of Strains in Small Diameter Pipes*, Journal of Experimental Techniques, Vol. 16, No. 1, pp. 40-42 (January/February 1992).

Shad M. Sargand, Yellappa C. Das, and A.M.M. Jayasuriya, *A New Approach for Dynamic Analysis of Timoshenko Beams on Elastic Foundations*, Dynamics and Vibrations Symposium, The American Society of Mechanical Engineers, Vol. 44, pp. 97-102 (January 1992).

M. Chang, Glenn A. Hazen, and Shad M. Sargand, *Field and Analytical Analysis of Structural Damage Criteria from Blasting*, Proceedings of the 18th Annual Meeting of AIEE (January 23, 1992).

Shad M. Sargand, Gayle F. Mitchell, Robert Kastner, and Julian Rueda, *Structural Performance of Perforated PVC Pipe*, 71st Annual Transportation Research Board Meeting, Washington, DC, Vol. 1371, pp. 162-167 (January 1992).

Shad M. Sargand, Glenn A. Hazen, and John O. Hurd, *Structural Evaluation of Box Culverts*, ASCE Structural Division, Vol. 118, pp. 3297-3314 (December 1992).

John O. Hurd, Shad M. Sargand, Glenn A. Hazen, and S.R. Suhardjo, *Structural Performance of an Aluminum Box Culvert*, Transportation Research Record No. 1315, pp. 46-52, Washington, DC (1991).

Shad M. Sargand and Glenn A. Hazen, *Evaluation of Resilient Modulus by Back-Calculation Technique*, Final Report, ODOT/FHWA, 242 pp. (October 1991).

Teruhisa Masada, Gayle F. Mitchell, and Shad M. Sargand, *Characteristics of Geotextile/ HDPE Geomembrane Interface Under Direct Shear Conditions*, Proceedings of the Ohio River Valley Soils Seminar XXII, pp. 3.1-3.10, Lexington, KY (October 18-19, 1991).

Gayle F. Mitchell and Shad M. Sargand, *Leachate Collection System Components Research , Phase II*, Final Report, Waste Management of North America, Inc. (July-September 1991).

Gayle F. Mitchell and Shad M. Sargand, *Leachate Collection System Components Research*, Final Report, Waste Management of North America, Inc., 78 pp. (June 1991).

Gayle F. Mitchell, Teruhisa Masada, and Shad M. Sargand, *Effect of Temperature on Interface Properties Between HDPE Geomembrane and Cohesionless Soils*, Proceedings of the Canadian Conference on Environmental Geotechnics, pp. 225-237, Montreal, Quebec, Canada (May 1991).

Gayle F. Mitchell, Shad M. Sargand, and Teruhisa Masada, *Erosion and Sediment Control Practices in Highway Construction*, Proceedings of the 22nd Annual Conference of the International Erosion Control Association, pp. 57-68, Orlando, FL (February 20-23, 1991).

Gayle F. Mitchell and Shad M. Sargand, *Leachate Collection System Components Research*, Final Report, Waste Management of North America, Inc. (January 1991).

Shad M. Sargand and Glenn A. Hazen, *Experimental and Analytical Analysis of Blasting Criteria*, Final Report, ODOT/FHWA (1991).

John Collier, B. Collier, C. Chauing and Shad Sargand, *Drape Prediction Using Finite Element Analysis*, Journal of the Textile Institute, Vol. 82, No. 1, pp. 96-107 (1991).

Anita K. Amla, Shad M. Sargand, Glenn A. Hazen, and John O. Hurd, *A Comparison of Analytical and Field Data for a Rib-Reinforced Corrugated Steel Box-Type Culvert*, Conference on Flexible Pipes, pp. 17-26, Columbus, OH (October 21-23, 1990).

S. Oh, Glenn A. Hazen, Shad M. Sargand, and John O. Hurd, *Field Performance of Steel Corrugated Box-Culvert with Corrugated Plate Reinforcement*, Conference on Flexible Pipes, pp. 63-72, Columbus, OH (October 21-23, 1990).

Shad M. Sargand and Glenn A. Hazen, *Structural Analysis of Corrugated Metal Box-Type Culverts*, Final Report, ODOT/FHWA (1990).

Gayle F. Mitchell, Shad M. Sargand, and Teruhisa Masada, *Interface Properties Between Cohesionless Soils and Geomembranes*, Proceedings of the HMC Great Lakes '90 Conference, Cleveland, OH (September 26-28, 1990).

Shad M. Sargand, A.M.M. Jayasuriya, and Yellappa C. Das, *Improved Free Vibration Analysis of Axially Symmetric Circular Plates*, Journal of Sound and Vibration, Vol. 137 (March 1990).

Alan Rauch, Shad M. Sargand, Glenn A. Hazen, and John O. Hurd, *Instrumentation of Deep Corrugated Steel Culverts*, Transportation Research Record No. 1277, pp. 40-43, Washington, DC (1990).

Shad M. Sargand and Glenn A. Hazen, *Experimental and Analytical Analysis of Box-Type Culverts*, Final Report, ODOT/FHWA (1990).

Bryan Wilson, Shad M. Sargand, Glenn A. Hazen, and Roger Green, *Multiaxial Testing of Subgrade*, Transportation Research Record No. 1278, pp. 91-95 (January 1990).

B. Viswanathan, Shad M. Sargand, and Glenn A. Hazen, *Evaluation of Resilient Modulus by Back-Calculation Technique*, International Conference on Engineering Software-89, New Delhi (December 1989).

- A.M.M. Jayasuriya and Shad M. Sargand, *Nonlinear Foundation Model for Blast Induced Response Analysis*, 60th Shock and Vibration Symposium, Virginia (November 1989).
- A.M.M. Jayasuriya and Shad M. Sargand, *Modified Model for Analysis of Circular Plate on Elastic Foundation*, Journal of Sound and Vibration, Vol. 135, pp. 166-170 (1989).
- Shad M. Sargand and Glenn A. Hazen, *Resilient Modulus*, 43rd Annual Ohio Transportation Engineering Conference, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH (November 1989).
- Glenn A. Hazen, Shad M. Sargand, and Jia X. Zhao, *Analysis of Rib-Plate Response to External Loading*, 21st Midwestern Mechanics Conference, Michigan Technological University, pp. 579-580, Houghton, MI (1989).
- Glenn A. Hazen, Shad M. Sargand, John O. Hurd, and Jia X. Zhao, *Bolted Connections of Rib-Plate Structure*, 68th Annual Transportation Research Board Meeting, Transportation Research Record No. 1219, pp. 43-55, Washington, DC (January 1998).
- John O. Hurd and Shad M. Sargand, *Field Performance of Corrugated Metal Box Culverts*, Transportation Research Board, pp. 39-45, No. 1191, Washington, DC (1988).
- Alan F. Rauch, Shad M. Sargand, and Glenn A. Hazen, *Determination of Hyperbolic Soil Model Parameters for Sand Using Multiaxial Device*, 3rd International Symposium on Numerical Models in Geomechanics, pp. 272-280, Niagara Falls, Canada (May 1988).
- Shad M. Sargand, Yellappa C. Das and A.M.M. Jayasuriya, *A Finite Element Model for Free Vibration Analysis of Beam on Elastic Foundation*, Pan American Congress of Applied Mechanics, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil (January 1988).
- Shad M. Sargand, Glenn A. Hazen, and A.M.M. Jayasuriya, *Analysis of a Circular Plate on an Elastic Foundation Subjected to an Impact*, Pan American Congress of Applied Mechanics, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil (January 1988).
- Glenn A. Hazen, Shad M. Sargand, and J. Mehta, *Analysis of Orthotropic Medium with Lined Hole by Finite Element and Lekhnitskii's Stress Function*, Pan American Congress of Applied Mechanics, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil (January 1988).
- Shad M. Sargand and Glenn A. Hazen, *Highway Damage Due to Subsurface Subsidence*, ASCE Spring Convention, Geotechnical Special Publication No. 19, pp. 18-32 (May 11, 1988).
- Shad M. Sargand and Glenn A. Hazen, *Highway Damage Due to Subsurface Subsidence*, ASCE Spring Convention, Geotechnical Special Publication No. 19, pp. 18-32 (May 11, 1988).
- Shad M. Sargand, Glenn A. Hazen, and H. Zheng, *Hybrid Finite Element Model Utilized for Fracture of Concrete Beams on Elastic Foundations*, 6th International Conference on Numerical Methods in Geomechanics, pp. 251-256, Innsbruck, Austria (1988).
- Shad M. Sargand and R. Janardhanam, *Effect of Soil Strength on Numerical Simulation*, 6th International Conference on Numerical Methods in Geomechanics, pp. 529-536, Innsbruck, Austria (1988).

Glenn A. Hazen and Shad M. Sargand, *Methods for Assessing Effects of Longwall Mining on Surface Subsidence*, SME-AIME Annual Meeting, pp. 451-454, Denver, CO (1988).

Shad M. Sargand and Glenn A. Hazen, *Deformation Behavior of Shales*, International Journal of Rock Mechanics and Mining Sciences, Vol. 24, No. 6, pp. 365-370 (December 1987).

Shad M. Sargand, Z. Zheng, and Yellappa C. Das, *Free Vibration of Circular Footing on Elastic Foundation*, Journal of Sound and Vibration, Vol. 118, No. 1, pp. 141-149 (October 1987).

Glenn A. Hazen and Shad M. Sargand, *The Effect of Longwall Mining on Surface Subsidence of Highways and Bridges*, SME (February 1987).

John Collier, Jay Gunasekera, and Shad M. Sargand, *Streamlined Profile Extrusion Dies*, SPE RETEC-Profile Extrusion, pp. 8-14, Marriott Inn, Columbus, OH (February 1987).

Shad M. Sargand, Glenn A. Hazen, and K.M. Miller, *Deformation Behavior of Shales*, 66th Annual Transportation Research Board Meeting, Washington, DC (January 1987).

Glenn A. Hazen and Shad M. Sargand, *The Effect on Highways of Surface Subsidence Resulting from Longwall Coal Mining*, Proceedings of the 38th Annual Highway Geology Symposium, pp. 163-170, Pittsburgh, PA (May 11-13, 1987).

Shad M. Sargand, Glenn A. Hazen, and H. Zheng, *Application of Hybrid Finite Element Model to the Fracture of Concrete*, 20th Midwestern Mechanics Conference, Vol. 14(b), pp. 741-747 (August 31-September 2, 1987).

Shad M. Sargand and Glenn A. Hazen, *Multiaxial Testing of Compacted Shales*, International Symposium on Prediction and Performance in Geotechnical Engineering, pp. 377-383, Calgary, Alberta, Canada (June 17-19, 1987).

John Collier, Jay Gunasekera, and Shad M. Sargand, *Streamlined Dies and Profile Extrusion*, SPE ANTEC, pp. 203-205, Los Angeles, CA (May 4-7, 1987).

Shad M. Sargand and Z. Sheng, *Dynamics of Circular Footing on Elastic Foundations*, 23rd Meeting of the Society of Engineering Science, State University of New York at Buffalo, Buffalo, NY (August 25-27, 1986).

Shad M. Sargand, *Hybrid Stress Model in Geomechanics*, Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Numerical Models in Geomechanics, pp. 769-778, State University of Ghent, Ghent, Belgium (March 31-April 4, 1986).

Shad M. Sargand and R. Janardhanam, *Numerical Study of Soil-Structure Interaction Problems in Loess*, Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Numerical Models in Geomechanics, pp. 511-518, State University of Ghent, Ghent, Belgium (March 31-April 4, 1986).

Shad M. Sargand and Glenn A. Hazen, *Development of a Mathematical Model to Describe the Deformation Behavior of Shales*, Final Report, ODOT/FHWA (1985).

Glenn A. Hazen and Shad M. Sargand, *The Effect of Longwall Mining on Surface Subsidence of Highways and Bridges*, Final Report, ODOT/FHWA (1985).

Yellappa C. Das and Shad M. Sargand, *Beams on Hyperelastic Foundations*, Proceedings of the 1st International Conference on Global Methods, Integral Methods in Science and Engineering, pp. 349-358, University of Texas at Arlington, Arlington, TX (1985).

Shad M. Sargand and Yellappa C. Das, *Discussion on Eigenvalues for Beam-Columns on Elastic Supports*, ASCE Journal of Structural Engineering, Vol. III, No. 6 (June 1985).

John Collier, Jay Gunasekera, and Shad M. Sargand, *CAD/CAM of Streamlined Dies*, The Regional Technical Conference, Engineering Plastics, pp. 155-162, Ohio University, Athens, OH (1985).

Jay Gunasekera, John Collier, Kenneth Halliday, Shad Sargand, Roy Lawrence, Otto Adams, and B. Collier, *Research on CAD/CAM and Robotics at Ohio University*, Proceedings of the International Robotics Conference, University of North Carolina at Charlotte, Charlotte, NC (December 1984).

C.S. Desai and Shad M. Sargand, *Hybrid FE Procedure for Soil-Structure Interaction*, Journal of Geotechnical Engineering, ASCE, Vol. 110, No. 4, pp. 473-486 (April 1984).

C.S. Desai, J. Lightner, and Shad M. Sargand, *Mixed and Hybrid Procedures for Nonlinear Problems in Geomechanics*, Proceedings of the International Conference on Numerical Methods in Geomechanics, pp. 1099-1116, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Canada (May 31-June 4, 1982).

Books

Gayle F. Mitchell, Shad M. Sargand, and Kevin White, Editors, Proceedings of the Conference on Structural Performance of Pipes 1998, Ohio University, Athens, OH (March 22-25, 1998).

Shad M. Sargand, Gayle F. Mitchell, and John O. Hurd, Editors, Proceedings of the Conference on Structural Performance of Pipes 1993, Worthington, OH (March 14-17, 1993).

Shad M. Sargand, Gayle F. Mitchell, and John O. Hurd, Editors, Proceedings of the Conference on Flexible Pipes, Columbus, OH (October 21-23, 1990).

Thesis or Special Projects Directed:

Bradley Jacobs, Evaluation of Performance of Composite Bridge Deck Panels Under Static and Dynamic Loading and Environmental Conditions, M.S. Thesis (2001).

Santosh Salimath, Evaluation of Soil Stiffness, M.S. Thesis (2000).

Songwut Hengprathanee, Evaluation of the Stability of the Profile of High Density Polyethylene Pipes, M.S. Thesis (2000).

Elizabeth Kelley, The Effects of Soil Moisture on Pavement Systems, M.S. Thesis (1999).

Shane Walters, Field Performance of Dowel Bars, M.S. Thesis (1999).

Daniel Wasniak, Subgrade and Base Variability on the Ohio SHRP Test Road, M.S. Thesis (1999).

Pongsak Suriyavangul, Characterization of Pavement Structure on the OH-SHRP Test Road Using Spectral-Analysis-of-Surface-Waves-Method, Ph.D. Dissertation (June 1998).

Ryan Ball, Experimental Analysis of Composite Reinforced Beams, M.S. Thesis (1998).

David Beegle, Three-Dimensional Modeling of Rigid Pavement, M.S. Thesis (1998).

Daniel E. Boltz, Early Performance of Concrete Pavement Containing Ground Granulated Blast Furnace Slag, M.S. Thesis (1998).

Benjamin Goldsberry, Thermal Effect Curling of Concrete Pavements on U.S. 23 Test Road (DEL-23-17.28), M.S. Thesis (1998).

Jiayan Liu, Database Design of Ohio SPS Test, M.S. Thesis (1997).

Jeffrey VonHandorf, Pavement Response to Environmental Factors, M.S. Thesis (1997).

Edward Cinadr, True Forces in Dowels in Rigid Pavement Joints, M.S. Thesis (1997).

Damon Macioce, Performance of Instrumented Flexible Pavements, M.S. Thesis (1997).

Anthony Sharkins, Instrumentation for SPS-2, M.S. Thesis (1996).

Huntae Kim, Anisotropic Properties of Compacted Silty Clays, M.S. Thesis (1996).

Teruhisa Masada, Structural Performance of Profile Wall Plastic Pipe Under Relatively Shallow Soil Cover and Subjected to Large Surface Load, Ph.D. Dissertation (November 1996).

Christopher C. Bazeley, Route 2 Rigid Pavement Project: Placement, Testing, and Data Analysis of Instrumentation on Slabs 1 Thru 9, M.S. Thesis (1995).

Sedtha Mahasantipiya, Analysis of the Behavior of Spread Footing for Highway Bridge Foundation, M.S. Thesis (1995).

Baimin Mao, Resilient Modulus Testing of Highway Subgrade Soils in Ohio, M.S. Thesis (1995).

Jason L. McCauley, Structural Performance of a Flexible Pavement Due to Various Bases, M.S. Thesis (1995).

Andrew T. Metzger, Measurement of the Abutment Forces of a Skewed Semi-Integral Bridge as a Result of Ambient Temperature Change, M.S. Thesis (1995).

Daniel J. Schweiger, Instrumentation of Flexible Pavement, M.S. Thesis (1995).

Joel R. Copley, Route 2 Rigid Pavement Instrumentation Project: Installation and Testing of Selected Instruments and Data Analysis for Slabs 3, 4, 6, 7, and 9, M.S. Thesis (1994).

Michael George, Route 2 Rigid Pavement Instrumentation Project: Installation of Instruments, Testing, and Data Analysis of Slabs 1, 2, 5, and 8, M.S. Thesis (1994).

- Rajesh Kalra, Structural Performance of Jointed Plastic Pipes Under a Simulated High Landfill, M.S. Thesis (1994).
- Xuegan Liu, Experimental and Theoretical Analysis of Buried Corrugated Plastic Pipe, M.S. Thesis (1994).
- Issam Khoury, Load Transfer Mechanism in Rigid Pavement, M.S. Thesis (1993).
- Chelliah Devarajan, Experimental and Numerical Analysis of a Pipe Arch Culvert Subjected to Exceptional Live Load, M.S. Thesis (1992).
- M.E.R. Fernando, Field Performance of Corrugated Plastic Pipes Under Simulated High Soil Cover, M.S. Thesis (1992).
- Wadu M.L. Jayasuriya, Dynamic Analysis of Circular Plate on Elastic Foundation Using Modified Vlasov Model, M.S. Thesis (1992).
- Aamir S. Butt, Finite Element Analysis of Y and YU Type Contraction Joints for Concrete Pavements Using Steel Dowels as Load Transfer Devices, M.S. Thesis (1991).
- Hua Chen, Vibration of Pipe Line Containing Fluid Flow with Elastic Support, M.S. Thesis (1991).
- Johanes Hatmoko, Experimental and Field Study on the Behavior of Highway Spread Footing on Cohesionless Soil, M.S. Thesis (1991).
- Anita Amla, Finite Element Study and Comparison with Field Data of a Corrugated Steel Box-Type Culvert, M.S. Thesis (1990).
- Tod Bosel, Finite Element Analysis of Y and YU Type Contraction Joints in Concrete Pavements, M.S. Thesis (1990).
- Alan F. Rauch, Experimental and Numerical Investigation of a Deep-Corrugated Steel, Box-Type Culvert, M.S. Thesis (1990).
- A.M.M. Jayasuriya, Finite Element Modeling of Blast Vibrations and Study of Vibration Control Criteria, M.S. Thesis (1989).
- Douglas Lindsay, An Investigation of Blasting Criteria for Structural Ground Vibrations, M.S. Thesis (1989).
- St. Roesyanto Suhardjo, Analysis of a Corrugated Aluminum Box Culvert, M.S. Thesis (1989).
- B. Vishwanathan, Evaluation of Resilient Modulus of Flexible Pavements by Back-Calculation Technique, M.S. Thesis (1989).
- Bryan E. Wilson, A Laboratory Investigation of the Resilient Response Characteristics of Subgrade Materials, M.S. Thesis (1989).
- A. Abdel-Haq, Analysis and Design of Box Culverts, M.S. Thesis (1987).
- J. Byrne, Analysis of a Corrugated Steel Box-Culvert, M.S. Thesis (1987).

H. Zheng, Application of the Hybrid Finite Element Procedure to Crack Band Propagation, M.S. Thesis (1987).

T. Masada, Constitutive Relations for Shales, M.S. Thesis (1985).

Z. Sheng, Dynamic Analysis of Circular Footing on Elastic Foundations, M.S. Thesis (1985).

I. Harahap, Calculation of Ultimate Capacity of Axially Loaded Single Pile on Loess, M.S. Thesis (1984).

E. Day, Analysis of Underground Structures by Finite Element Methods, M.S. Special Project (1983).

S. Tzimas, An Assumed Stress Hybrid Finite Element Model for the Analysis of Axisymmetric Thick Walled Pressure Structures, M.S. Special Project (1983).

K. Bral, A Constitutive Law for Loess at its Natural Moisture Content and Low-Confining Pressures, M.S. Thesis (1982).

A. Mohmoud, Analysis of a Friction Pile in Loess, M.S. Special Project (1982).

Research Projects:

Shad M. Sargand, *Pressure Distribution Around a Pipe Under Deep Cover*, ODOT/FHWA (2001-2002) (\$25,514).

Shad M. Sargand and William Edwards, *Evaluation of Pavement Performance on DEL-23*, ODOT/FHWA (2001-2006) (\$849,931).

Shad M. Sargand and Teruhisa Masada, *Material Properties for Implementation of Mechanistic-Empirical (M-E) Pavement Design Procedures*, ODOT/FHWA (2001-2003) (\$142,570).

Shad M. Sargand and William Edwards, *Truck/Pavement/Economic Modeling and in-Situ Field Test Data Analysis Application*, ODOT/FHWA (2001-2005) (\$394,299).

Shad M. Sargand and William F. Edwards, *Accelerated Testing of Ohio SHRP Sections 390101, 390102, 390105 and 390107*, ODOT/FHWA (2000-2002) (\$187,722).

Shad M. Sargand and William F. Edwards, *Determination of Pavement Layer Stiffness on the Ohio SHRP Test Road Using Non-Destructive Testing Techniques*, ODOT/FHWA (2000-2001) (\$60,289).

Shad M. Sargand and Teruhisa Masada, *Further Use of Spread Footing Foundations for Highway Bridges*, ODOT/FHWA (2000-2003) (\$143,328).

Shad M. Sargand, *Instrumentation of the Savannah-Hardin County Airport*, Applied Research Associates, Inc. (2000-2001) (\$33,008).

Shad M. Sargand, *Evaluation of Forces in Dowel Bars Under Controlled Conditions*, FHWA (1999-2000) (\$116,023).

Shad M. Sargand and Glenn A. Hazen, *Field Performance Evaluation of Multiple Fiber Reinforced Polymer Bridge Deck Systems Over Existing Girders - Phase II*, University of Cincinnati/ODOT/FHWA (1999-2001) (\$83,960).

Shad M. Sargand and Sang-Soo Kim, *Pavement Performance Testing*, ODOT/FHWA (1998-2001) (\$224,916).

Shad M. Sargand, *Ohio/TPI LTPP Hot Weather Load Test*, ODOT/FHWA (1998-2002) (\$74,762).

Eric P. Steinberg and Shad M. Sargand, *Forces Exerted in the Wingwalls of Skewed Bridges*, ODOT/FHWA (1998-2001) (\$82,625).

Shad M. Sargand, *Testing of an Experimental Concrete Slab for Verification of a 3-D Mathematical Model*, Battelle Memorial Institute/FHWA (1998) (\$59,734).

Teruhisa Masada and Shad M. Sargand, *Laboratory Characterization of Materials and Data Management for Ohio-SHRP Project (U.S. 23)*, ODOT/FHWA (1998-2002) (\$121,330).

Shad M. Sargand, *Structural Response of High Performance Concrete Pavement*, ODOT/FHWA (1998-2001) (\$90,543).

Shad M. Sargand, Glenn A. Hazen, and Gayle F. Mitchell, *Field Verification of Structural Performance of Thermoplastic Pipe Under Deep Backfill Conditions*, ODOT/FHWA (1997-2001) (\$331,681).

Shad M. Sargand, Glenn A. Hazen, and Gayle F. Mitchell, *Field Verification of Structural Performance of Thermoplastic Pipe Under Deep Backfill Conditions*, Various Industries (1997-2000) (\$55,000).

Shad M. Sargand and M. Britt Simmons, *Application of High Performance Concrete in the Pavement System*, FHWA (1996-2001) (\$163,476).

Shad M. Sargand and Glenn A. Hazen, *Performance of Dowel Bars and Rigid Pavement*, FHWA (1996-2001) (\$231,344).

Shad M. Sargand, *Continued Monitoring of Instrumented Pavements in Ohio*, ODOT/FHWA (1996-2002) (\$1,113,534).

Teruhisa Masada and Shad M. Sargand, *Construction of a Flexible Pipe System Using Controlled Low Strength Material-Controlled Density Fill (CLSM-CDF)*, ODOT/FHWA (1996-1999) (\$145,882).

Shad M. Sargand and Ryan Ball, *Experimental Analysis of Composite Reinforced Bridge*, ODOT/FHWA (1996-1998) (\$30,740).

Shad M. Sargand and David Beegle, *Three-Dimensional Modeling of Flexible Pavement*, ODOT/FHWA-Ohio University (1996-1998) (\$52,616).

Shad M. Sargand and Gayle F. Mitchell, *Implementation of Superpave at the Accelerated Pavement Load Facility (APLF)*, Ohio University 1804 Fund (1996-1998) (\$30,000).

Shad M. Sargand and Gayle F. Mitchell, *Ohio Infrastructure Institute - Ohio Board of Regents Research Consortia for Excellence Grant*, Ohio Board of Regents (1995) (\$159,946).

Gayle F. Mitchell, Shad M. Sargand, Glenn A. Hazen, William E. Wolfe, and Osama Abdulshafi, *Accelerated Pavement Load Facility*, Ohio Board of Regents (1995) (\$1,350,000).

Shad M. Sargand, *Coordination and Load Response Instrumentation of SHRP Pavement*, ODOT/FHWA (1994-1997) (\$602,502).

Shad M. Sargand and Andrew T. Metzger, *Determination of Abutment Forces Due to Environmental Factors*, ODOT/FHWA (1994-1995) (\$18,456).

Shad M. Sargand and Edward M. Cinadr, *Field Instrumentation of Dowels*, ODOT/FHWA (1994-1995) (\$19,800).

Gayle F. Mitchell and Shad M. Sargand, *Computational Laboratory for Pavement Research*, 1804 Fund Award, Ohio University (1994-1996) (\$115,000).

Shad M. Sargand, *Instrumenting DEL-23*, ODOT/FHWA (1993-1996) \$1,459,403).

Shad M. Sargand, *Development of an Instrumentation Plan for the Ohio SPS Test Pavement*, ODOT/FHWA (1993-1994) (\$38,723).

Gayle F. Mitchell and Shad M. Sargand, *Leachate Collection System Components Research - Phase IV*, Rust Environment and Infrastructure, Inc. (1993) (\$45,000).

Shad M. Sargand and David Beegle, *Three-Dimensional Modeling of Rigid Pavement*, ODOT/FHWA (1992-1994) (\$9,000).

Shad M. Sargand, *Resilient Modulus Testing for the University of Cincinnati's Breaking and Seating Project*, (ODOT/FHWA) (\$9,511).

Gayle F. Mitchell and Shad M. Sargand, *Performance of the Leachate Collection System in the Landfill*, U.S.EPA (1992-1996) (\$46,387).

Shad M. Sargand and Glenn A. Hazen, *Field Verification of Standard Installation Direct Design (SIDD) Method for Concrete Pipe*, ODOT/FHWA (1992-1997) (\$129,103).

Shad M. Sargand and Glenn A. Hazen, *A Demonstration Project on Instrumentation of a Flexible Pavement*, ODOT/FHWA (1992-1997) (\$323,145).

Shad M. Sargand and Glenn A. Hazen, *Instrumentation of a Rigid Pavement System*, ODOT/FHWA (1992-1997) (\$347,898).

Gayle F. Mitchell and Shad M. Sargand, *Leachate Collection System Components Research - Phase III*, Waste Management of North America, Inc., (1992) (\$60,000).

Gayle F. Mitchell and Shad M. Sargand, *Leachate Collection System Components Research - Phase II*, Waste Management of North America, Inc. (1991) (\$50,000).

Shad M. Sargand and Glenn A. Hazen, *Failure Characteristics of an Arch Plate Pipe Culvert*, ODOT/FHWA (1990-1992) (\$60,042).

Shad M. Sargand and Glenn A. Hazen, *Structural Evaluation and Performance of Plastic Pipes*, ODOT/FHWA (1990-1997) (\$461,801).

Shad M. Sargand and Glenn A. Hazen, *Evaluation of Pavement Joint Performance*, ODOT/FHWA (1990-1992) (\$188,793).

Gayle F. Mitchell and Shad M. Sargand, *Leachate Collection System Components Research*, Waste Management of North America, Inc. (1990) (\$30,000).

Shad M. Sargand and Glenn A. Hazen, *Long-Term Study of Metal Box Culverts*, ODOT/FHWA (1989-1991) (\$74,187).

Shad M. Sargand and Glenn A. Hazen, *Field and Laboratory Performance Evaluation of Spread Footings*, ODOT/FHWA (1989-1999) (\$373,558).

Glenn A. Hazen and Shad M. Sargand, *Development of Blast Standards for Highways and Highway Structures*, ODOT/FHWA (1987-1989) (\$131,195).

Shad M. Sargand, *Development of a Low Cubical Testing Apparatus for Dynamic Loading*, Stocker Fund and Ohio University (1987) (\$20,000).

Shad M. Sargand and Glenn A. Hazen, *Evaluation of Resilient Modulus by Back-Calculation Technique*, ODOT/FHWA (1987-1989) (\$151,000).

Nicholas Dinos and Shad M. Sargand, grant from Ohio University Planning, new faculty position in Materials Engineering, UPAC (1987) (\$45,000).

Shad M. Sargand and Glenn A. Hazen, *Experimental and Analytical Analysis of Box-Type Culverts*, ODOT/FHWA (1985-1987) (\$221,000).

Shad M. Sargand, *Development of Blast Standards for Highways and Highway Structures*, Stocker Fund (1985) (\$3,000).

Shad M. Sargand, *AMIDEAST/PILET Training Program*, AMIDEAST for the partners for International Education and Training (1985) (\$3,800).

Glenn A. Hazen and Shad M. Sargand, *The Effect of Longwall Mining on Surface Subsidence of Highways and Bridges* (1984-1985) (\$74,000).

Shad M. Sargand and Glenn A. Hazen, *Development of a Mathematical Model to Describe the Deformation Behavior of Shales*, ODOT/FHWA (1984-1985) (\$74,511).

Shad M. Sargand, *Experimental and Analytical Analysis of Box-Type Culverts*, Stocker Fund (1984) (\$3,000).

Shad M. Sargand, *Development of a Cubical Testing Apparatus*, Stocker Fund and Ohio University (1983) (\$45,000).

Shad M. Sargand, *Constitutive Relations for Coal*, Stocker Fund (1982) (\$6,000).

Shad M. Sargand, *Constitutive Relations for Loess*, Stocker Fund (1981) (\$6,000).

J. LUDWIG FIGUEROA
C. Paul Stocker Visiting Professor
Department of Civil Engineering
Ohio University
Athens, OH 45701
(740) 593-1465

EDUCATION

1976 to 1979	UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS , Urbana, Illinois Ph.D. Geotechnical and Transportation Materials Engineering, 1979 Advisor: Marshall R. Thompson Dissertation Title: Resilient Based Flexible Pavement Design Procedure for Secondary Roads
1974 to 1976	UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS , Urbana, Illinois M.S. Geotechnical Engineering, 1976
1970 to 1973	UNIVERSITY OF THE ANDES , Bogotá, Colombia B.S. Civil Engineering, 1973
1969 to 1969	INDUSTRIAL UNIVERSITY OF SANTANDER , Bucaramanga, Colombia Undergraduate Studies in Civil Engineering

REGISTRATION

Registered Professional Engineer, State of Ohio and State of Colorado

ACADEMIC AND PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE

2004-present	C. Paul Stocker, Visiting Professor, Department of Civil Engineering OHIO UNIVERSITY Athens, Ohio
1996-2004	Professor of Civil Engineering CASE WESTERN RESERVE UNIVERSITY Cleveland, Ohio
1988-1996	Associate Professor of Civil Engineering (Tenured, July 1, 1992) CASE WESTERN RESERVE UNIVERSITY Cleveland, Ohio
1986-1988	Director of Material Services and Geotechnical Research, RESOURCE INTERNATIONAL INC. Westerville, Ohio
1984-1985	Associate Professor of Civil and Architectural Engineering (Tenured, May 1985) Associate Professor of Ocean Engineering (Secondary Appointment) UNIVERSITY OF MIAMI , Coral Gables/Miami, Florida
1980-1984	Assistant Professor of Civil and Architectural Engineering UNIVERSITY OF MIAMI , Coral Gables, Florida
1983-1984	Assistant Professor of Ocean Engineering (Secondary Appointment) UNIVERSITY OF MIAMI , Miami, Florida
1979-1980	Assistant Professor of Civil Engineering SYRACUSE UNIVERSITY , Syracuse, New York
1976-1979	Research Assistant in Civil Engineering UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS , Urbana, Illinois
1976	Project Director and Geotechnical Engineer CORPORACION DE LA DEFENSA DE LA MESETA DE BUCARAMANGA (Government Agency)

1975 Bucaramanga, Colombia
 Research Assistant in Civil Engineering
UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS, Urbana, Illinois

1973-1974 Project Engineer (Foundation & Structural
 Design & Highway Rehabilitation)
A. ESPINOSA & ASSOC., SOILS ENGINEERS
 Bogotá, Colombia

1970-1972 Part-time Engineering Assistant, while an
 undergraduate student for several engineering
 companies in Bogotá, Colombia

INVITED SUMMER INTERNSHIPS

UNIVERSIDAD INDUSTRIAL DE SANTANDER, Bucaramanga, Colombia. Invited Lecturer.
 Pavement Evaluation and Overlay Design, May 1990.

UNIVERSIDAD CATOLICA DE CHILE, Santiago, Chile. Invited Lecturer on Soil Stabilization,
 Blasting and Blasting Vibrations, and Rock Bolts, July 1983.

UNIVERSIDAD DE VALPARAISO, Valparaíso, Chile. Invited Lecturer on Soil Stabilization, July
 1983.

LASPAU (Latin American Scholarship Program of American Universities, Inc.) **Fellow**. Traveled
 to Panama, Costa Rica and Nicaragua and interviewed engineering candidates for graduate
 study fellowships in the United States, August 1980.

PROFESSIONAL AFFILIATIONS

- ASCE - Associate Member 1979-1989, Member 1989-present
- International Society of Soil Mechanics and Foundation Engineering - Member 1984 to present
- Faculty Member ASFE/The Association of Engineering Firms Practicing in the Geosciences 1991-1992
- Sigma Xi - Full Member 1982-1983
- Chi Epsilon - Honorary Member 1980

REFEREED PUBLICATIONS

- H.M. Dief and J.L. Figueroa "Shake Table Calibration and Specimen Preparation for Liquefaction Studies in the Centrifuge," ASTM Geotechnical Testing Journal, Vol 26, Number 4, December, 2003. pp. 402-409.
- A.S. Saada, L. Liang, J.L. Figueroa and C.T. Cope "Bifurcation and Shear Band Propagation in Sands," Geotechnique 49, No. 3, pp.367-385, 1999.
- J.L. Figueroa, A.S. Saada, D. Kern, and L. Liang "Shear Strength and Shear Modulus Degradation Models Based on the Dissipated Unit Energy" Proceedings, XIVth International Conference on Soil Mechanics and Foundation Engineering, Hamburg, Germany, Sept. 1997, pp. 291-294.
- L. Liang, A.S. Saada, J.L. Figueroa and C.T. Cope "The Use of Digital Processing in Monitoring Shear Band Development," ASTM Geotechnical Testing Journal, Vol 20, Number 3, September, 1997, pp.324-339.
- J.L. Figueroa, A.S. Saada, and L. Liang, "Evaluation of Soil Liquefaction by Energy Principles," Closure. Journal of the Geotechnical Engineering Division, ASCE, Vol. 122, No. 3, pp. 244, 1996.
- J.A. Acosta, J.L. Figueroa and R.L. Mullen, "Algorithms for Pavement Distress Classification by Video Image Analysis," Transportation Research Record 1505, Transportation Research Board, National Academy of Sciences, pp. 27-38, 1995.

- A. Othman, J.L. Figueroa and H. Aglan, "Fatigue Behavior of SBS Modified Asphaltic Mixtures Exposed to Low Temperature Cyclic Aging," Transportation Research Record 1492, Transportation Research Board, National Academy of Sciences, pp. 129-134, 1995.
- L. Liang, J.L. Figueroa, and A.S. Saada, "Liquefaction Under Random Loading: A Unit Energy Approach," Journal of the Geotechnical Engineering Division, ASCE, Vol. 121, No. 11, Proc. Paper 8034, pp. 776-781, 1995.
- H. Aglan, A. Othman, and J.L. Figueroa, "The Specific Energy of Damage as a Fracture Criterion for Asphaltic Pavements," Transportation Research Record 1449, Transportation Research Board, National Academy of Sciences, pp. 57-63, 1994.
- H. Aglan, A. Othman, and J.L. Figueroa, "Processing Conditions - Fracture Toughness Relationships of Asphalt Concrete Mixtures," Journal of Materials Science 29 - Chapman & Hall, pp. 4786-4792, 1994.
- J.L. Figueroa, A.S. Saada, L. Liang, and N.M. Dahisaria "Evaluation of Soil Liquefaction by Energy Principles," Journal of the Geotechnical Engineering Division, ASCE, Vol. 120, No. 9, Proc. Paper 1841, pp. 1554-1569, 1994.
- H. Aglan, A. Othman, J.L. Figueroa, and R. Rollings, "Effect of Styrene-Butadiene-Styrene Block Copolymer on Fatigue Crack Propagation Behavior of Asphalt Concrete Mixtures," Transportation Research Record 1417, Transportation Research Board, National Academy of Sciences, pp. 178-186, 1993.
- H. Aglan and J.L. Figueroa, "Damage-Evolution Approach to Fatigue Cracking in Pavements," Journal of Engineering Mechanics, ASCE, Vol. 119, No. 6, pp. 1243-1259, 1993.
- H. Aglan, I. Shehata, J.L. Figueroa and A. Othman, "Structure-Fracture Toughness Relationships of Asphalt Concrete Mixtures," Transportation Research Record 1353, Transportation Research Board, National Academy of Sciences, pp. 24-30, 1992.
- J.A. Acosta, J.L. Figueroa and R.L. Mullen, "A Low Cost Video Image Processing System for Evaluating Pavement Surface Distress," Transportation Research Record 1348, Transportation Research Board, National Academy of Sciences, pp. 63-72, 1992.
- J.A. Acosta, R.L. Mullen and J.L. Figueroa, "Digital Imaging Concepts and Applications in Pavement Management," Discussion, Journal of Transportation Engineering, ASCE, Vol. 118, No. 4, pp. 602-603, Jul./AUG. 1992.
- A. Tavakoli, M.S. Lapin and J.L. Figueroa, "PMSC: A Pavement Management System for Small Communities," Journal of Transportation Engineering, ASCE, Vol. 118, No. 2, pp. 270-280, MAR./APR. 1992.
- J.L. Figueroa and K. Majidzadeh, "Comparative Study Between Manganese-Treated and Conventional Asphalt Concrete Mixtures and Pavements," Transportation Research Record 1269, Transportation Research Board, National Academy of Sciences, pp. 69-78, 1990.
- J.L. Figueroa, L. Zhou and W.F. Chang, "Use of Phosphate Mining Waste in Secondary Road Construction", Transportation Research Record 1106, Transportation Research Board, National Academy of Sciences, Vol. 1, pp. 59-64, 1987.
- T. Yamamoto, T. Nagai and J.L. Figueroa, "Experiments on Wave Soil Interaction and Wave-Driven Soil Transport in Clay Beds", Journal of Continental Shelf Research, Pergamon Press Ltd. Vol. 5, No. 4, pp. 521-540, 1986.
- T. Yamamoto and J.L. Figueroa, "Wave Induced Soil Motion and Inverted In-Situ Shear Modulus", Proceedings (Refereed) of the XI International Conference of Soil Mechanics and Foundation Engineering, San Francisco, California Vol 2. pp. 951-954. 1985.
- J.L. Figueroa, T. Yamamoto and T. Nagai, "Inverted Shear Modulus from Wave-Induced Soil Motion", Journal of Geotechnical Engineering, ASCE, Vol. 111, No. 1, pp. 115-132, 1985.

- T. Nagai, T. Yamamoto and J.L. Figueroa, "A Laboratory Experimentation on the Interaction Between Water Waves and Soft Clay Beds", Japan Society of Civil Engineers (JSCE) Journal - Coastal Engineering in Japan, Vol. 27 (English Version), 1985.
- T. Nagai, T. Yamamoto and J.L. Figueroa, "Experiments on the Interaction Between Waves and Soft Bottom Clays", Proceedings of the Japan Society of Civil Engineers, 1983. (Printed in Japanese).
- J.L. Figueroa, "Determination of a Composite Modulus of Subgrade Reaction by the Finite Elements Technique", Transportation Research Record 930, Transportation Research Board, National Academy of Sciences, 1983.
- J.L. Figueroa and J.E. Friedenberg, "Foreign Engineering Students: Problems and Suggestions", Engineering Education, Vol. 73, No. 2, pp. 183-185, 1982.
- J.L. Figueroa and M.R. Thompson, "Simplified ILLI-PAVE-Based Structural Analyses of Flexible Pavements for Secondary Roads", Transportation Research Record 766, Transportation Research Board, National Academy of Sciences, pp. 5-10, 1980.
- M.R. Thompson and J.L. Figueroa, "Mechanistic Thickness Design Procedure for Soil-Lime Layers", Transportation Research Record 754, Transportation Research Board, National Academy of Sciences, pp. 32-36, 1980.
- L. Raad and J.L. Figueroa, "Load Response of Transportation Support Systems", Journal of the Transportation Engineering Division, ASCE, Vol. 106, No. TE1, pp. 111-128, 1980.

CONFERENCE PROCEEDINGS

- M. Tao, J.L. Figueroa, and A.S. Saada. "Field Verification of the Energy-based Procedure to Predict the Liquefaction Potential of Soil Deposits," accepted for presentation and publication in the Proceedings of the Fifth International Conference on Case Histories in Geotechnical Engineering," New York, NY, April 13-17, 2004.
- M. Tao, J.L. Figueroa, and A.S. Saada. "Validation of the Energy Method to Predict the Liquefaction Potential of Soil Deposits through Case History Analysis," accepted for presentation and publication in the Proceedings of the International Workshop on Seismic Stability of Tailing Dams," Cleveland, OH, November 10-11, 2003.
- C. A. Alvarez, and J.L. Figueroa "Back Calculation of Mechanical Properties of Subgrade Soils for Ohio Test Road Pavement Sections from the Maximum FWD Deflection," Proceedings of the International Conference on Highway Pavement Data, Analysis and Mechanistic Design Applications, Vol II, pp. 57-68. Columbus, OH Sept. 8-10, 2003.
- X. Zeng, J. L. Figueroa and L. Fu, "Measurement of Base and Subgrade Layer Stiffness During and After Construction Using a Cone Penetrometer Equipped with Piezoelectric Sensors," Proceedings of the International Conference on Highway Pavement Data, Analysis and Mechanistic Design Applications, Vol II, pp. 169-174. Columbus, OH Sept. 8-10, 2003.
- H. M. Dief, and J.L. Figueroa "Comparative Study of the Level of Energy to Liquefaction Determined from Torsional Shear and Centrifuge Tests," Proceedings of the International Conference on Physical Modeling in Geotechnics, St John's, Newfoundland, Canada, pp. 513-518. July 2002.
- X. Zeng, J. L. Figueroa, and L. Fu "Measurement of Base and Subgrade Layer Stiffness Using Bender Element Technique," Proceedings of the 15th ASCE Engineering Mechanics Conference, Columbia University, New York, NY. June 2-5, 2002.
- H. M. Dief, and J.L. Figueroa "Dynamic Behavior of Liquefiable Sand Deposit with Gravel Drains in the Centrifuge," accepted for presentation and publication in the Proceedings of the MTC Fourth International Conference On Civil & Architecture Engineering (Geotechnical Engineering Topic) Cairo, Egypt, May 14-16, 2002.
- J.L. Figueroa, L. Liang and H. M. Dief "Energy-Based Simulation of the Seismic Response of Horizontal Soil Layers," Poster Session, International Symposium on Geotechnical Centrifuge Modeling and Networking. The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, Hong Kong, China. December 8-9, 2001.

- H. M. Dief, and J.L. Figueroa "Liquefaction Assessment by the Energy Method through Centrifuge Modeling," Proceedings of the International Workshop on Earthquake Simulation in Geotechnical Engineering, Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, OH. November 2001.
- H. M. Dief, J.L. Figueroa and A.S. Saada "Validation of the Energy-Based Method for Evaluating Soil Liquefaction in Centrifuge," Proceedings of the Fourth International Conference on Recent Advances in Geotechnical Earthquake Engineering and Soil Dynamics, San Diego, CA. March, 2001.
- H. M. Dief and J.L. Figueroa, "Evaluation of Soil Liquefaction by Energy Principles through Centrifuge Tests," Proceedings of GeoEng2000, Melbourne, Australia, November, 2000.
- J.L. Figueroa, A.S. Saada, M.D.Rokoff and L. Liang, "Influence of Grain-Size Characteristics in Determining the Liquefaction Potential of a Soil Deposit by the Energy Method" Proceedings of the International Workshop on the Physics and Mechanics of Soil Liquefaction. The Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD, September 10-11, 1998. Edited by Poul V. Lade and Jerry A. Yamamuro, pp. 237-245. 1999. Invited Paper.
- J.L. Figueroa A.S. Saada, H. Dief and C. P. Dietz "Development of the Geotechnical Centrifuge at Case Western Reserve University," Proceedings of the International Conference: CENTRIFUGE 98, pp. 3-9.Tokyo, Japan, Sept. 1998.
- J.L. Figueroa A.S. Saada and L. Liang, "The Ground Deformations and Failures in the Van Norman Complex after the 1994 Northridge Earthquake. Part III - Laboratory Investigation, Soil Characterization and Evaluation of Liquefaction Potential Using the Unit Energy Method." Proceedings of the NEHRP Conference and Workshop on Research on the Northridge, California Earthquake of January 17, 1994. Vol II - Earth Sciences, pp II-108 to II 116. Oct. 1998.
- L. Liang, J.L. Figueroa and A.S. Saada, "Effect of the Amplitude of Shear Strain on the Energy Density at the Onset of Liquefaction" Proceedings of the 7th International Conference in Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering, pp. 133-146, Crete, Greece, May, 1995.
- J.L. Figueroa A.S. Saada and L. Liang, "Effect of the Grain Size on the Energy per Unit Volume at the Onset of Liquefaction," Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference on Recent Advances in Geotechnical Earthquake Engineering and Soil Dynamics, Vol. I, pp. 197-202, St. Louis, MO, April, 1995.
- J.L. Figueroa, A.S. Saada and L. Liang, "Review of Energy-Based Liquefaction Research at Case Western Reserve University," Proceedings from the 5th U.S.-Japan Workshop on Earthquake Resistant Design of Lifeline Facilities and Countermeasures Against Soil Liquefaction, National Center for Earthquake Engineering Research, pp. 301-314, Salt Lake City, UT, September, 1994.
- R.B. Ballarini, G. Ferrante and J.L. Figueroa, "Analytical and Experimental Analyses of Fatigue Crack Propagation in Asphalt Concrete Overlays," Proceedings of the XII Structures Congress, ASCE, Atlanta, GA, April, 1994.
- J.L. Figueroa, "Unit Energy Level Associated with Pore Pressure Development During Liquefaction," Proceedings of the 6th International Conference in Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering, pp. 413-427, Bath, U.K., June, 1993.
- J.L. Figueroa, R.L. Mullen and J.A. Acosta, "A Low Cost Pavement Distress Survey and Analysis System," Proceedings of the 2nd International Symposium on Road Surface Characteristics, pp. 528-549, Berlin, Germany, June, 1992.
- J.L. Figueroa and N. Dahisaria, "An Energy Approach in Defining Soil Liquefaction," Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Recent Advances in Geotechnical Earthquake Engineering and Soil Dynamics, Vol. I pp. 407-410, St. Louis, MO, March, 1991.

- J.L. Figueroa, "A Method for Evaluating Soil Liquefaction by Energy Principles," Proceedings of the Fourth U.S. National Conference on Earthquake Engineering, Vol. 3 pp. 695-704, Palm Springs, CA., May, 1990.
- J.L. Figueroa, C. Conner and T. Yamamoto, "Determination of the Shear Modulus Profile of Marine Soils Based on Measured Surface Displacements", Proceedings of the VIII Panamerican Conference on Soil Mechanics and Foundation Engineering, pp. 243-256. Cartagena, Colombia 1987.
- J.L. Figueroa and T. Yamamoto, "Microcomputer Data Processing from Dynamic Tests on Soils and Rocks", Proceedings of the 3rd National Conference on Microcomputers in Civil Engineering, pp. 35-38. Orlando, Florida 1985.
- J.L. Figueroa, M. Maffessanti and K. Tota, "The Microcomputer: A Powerful Tool in Soil Laboratory Data Collection and Reduction", Proceedings of the 93rd ASEE Annual Conference, 6 pages. Atlanta, Georgia 1985.
- L. Zhou, J.L. Figueroa and W.F. Chang, "Phosphogypsum and Sand Mixtures Used in Secondary Road Construction", Proceedings of the Third Seminar on Phosphogypsum, Florida Institute of Phosphate Research - University of Miami, Tampa, Florida 1985.
- J.L. Figueroa and K. Tota, "Automatic Soil Laboratory Test Data Collection and Reduction", Proceedings of the 2nd National Conference on Microcomputers in Civil Engineering, pp. 14-18. Orlando, Florida, 1984.
- J.L. Figueroa and W.F. Chang, "Bartow Test Road Utilizing Phosphogypsum and Phosphogypsum Mixtures", Proceedings of the Second Seminar on Phosphogypsum, Florida Institute of Phosphate Research - University of Miami, pp. 215-237. Miami, Florida 1984.
- K.T. Lin, J.L. Figueroa and W.F. Chang, "Engineering Properties of Phosphogypsum", Proceeding of the Second Seminar on Phosphogypsum, Florida Institute of Phosphate Research - University of Miami, pp. 49-59. Miami, Florida 1984.
- H. Velarde, J.L. Figueroa and W.F. Chang, "Engineering Properties of Phosphogypsum, Flyash and Lime Mixtures", Proceedings of the Second Seminar on Phosphogypsum, Florida Institute of Phosphate Research - University of Miami, pp. 79-97. Miami, Florida 1984.
- A.K. Maji, J.L. Figueroa and W.F. Chang, "Engineering Properties of Phosphogypsum, Sand and Clay Mixtures," Proceedings of the Second Seminar on Phosphogypsum, Florida Institute of Phosphate Research - University of Miami, pp. 238-266. Miami, Florida 1984.
- J.L. Figueroa, "Microwave Oven Moisture Content Determination", Proceedings of the ASCE 1984 Florida/South Florida Section Annual Meeting, pp. 1-13. Fort Lauderdale, Florida 1984.
- J.L. Figueroa, "State-of-the-Art of Transfer Functions for Flexible Pavement Design of Highways", Proceedings ASCE South Florida Section Annual Meeting, Cape Coral, Florida 1983.

FUNDED RESEARCH

- Principal Investigator. "**Material Properties for Implementation of Mechanistic-Empirical (M-E) Pavement Design Procedures**" Ohio Department. of Transportation, through Ohio University, August. 01 – July 03. **\$30,233.**
- Principal Investigator. " **Long Term Monitoring of Seasonal and Weather Stations and Analysis of Data from SHRP Pavements,**" Ohio Department of Transportation, May 1998 - May 2004. **\$384,049.**
- Principal Investigator. " **Extended Monitoring and Analysis of Moisture-Temperature Data,**" Ohio Department of Transportation, May 1998 - May 2001. **\$79,448.**
- Principal Investigator. "**Resilient Modulus and Fatigue Life Characterization of Asphalt Concrete Mixtures Used in the Ohio Test Road,**" Ohio Department of Transportation, Oct. 1996 - Sept. 1997. **\$9,950.**

CoPrincipal Investigator. (with Prof. A. Saada) "**AASERT Grant**," Funded by the US Air Force, July, 1995-June, 1998 **\$112,841**.

CoPrincipal Investigator. (with Prof. A. Saada) "**Shear Banding and Crack Propagation in Geomaterials Clays and Sands**," Funded by the US Air Force, Jan. 1995-Jan. 1998 **\$239,976**.

Principal Investigator. "**Monitoring and Analysis of Data Obtained from Moisture-Temperature Recording Stations**," Funded by the Ohio Department of Transportation, Aug. 1994- Jan. 1997. **\$48,568**.

Principal Investigator. "**Seasonal Instrumentation of SHRP Pavement-Case Western Reserve University**," Funded by the Ohio Department of Transportation, June 1994-Dec. 1997. **\$140,714**.

Principal Investigator. (with Prof. A. Saada) "**The Ground Deformations and Failures in the Van Norman Complex after the 1994 Northridge Earthquake. Part III - Laboratory Investigation, Soil Characterization and Evaluation of Liquefaction Potential Using the Unit Energy Method**," Funded by the National Science Foundation, Sept. 1994-Aug. 1995 **\$49,046**.

Principal Investigator. (with Prof. A. Saada) "**Preliminary Analysis of Liquefaction During the Northridge Earthquake Using the Unit Energy Method**" Funded by the National Science Foundation, May 1994-April 1995. **\$9,600**.

Principal Investigator. (with Prof. A. Saada) "**The Use of Energy Principles to Evaluate Liquefaction Under Random Shear Loading**" Funded by the National Science Foundation, Dec. 1992-Nov.-1995. **\$207,118**.

Principal Investigator: (with Prof. R.L. Mullen) "**Implementation of the Video Image Processing Technique for Evaluating Pavement Surface Distress in the State of Ohio**," Funded by the Ohio Department of Transportation, Sept. 1991- June. 1994. **\$231,902**.

Principal Investigator: "**Characterization of Ohio Subgrade Types**," Funded by the Ohio Department of Transportation, May 1991-Aug. 1994. **\$163,025**.

Principal Investigator: "**Structure-Fracture Toughness Relationships of Asphalt Concrete Mixtures Under Fatigue Loading**," Funded by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Aug. 1990- March 1993. **\$116,030**.

Principal Investigator: (with Prof. R.B. Ballarini) "**Reflection Cracking and Effectiveness of Joint Repair Techniques Analysis through Fracture Mechanics**," Funded by the Ohio Department of Transportation Nov. 1991 to Oct. 1992. **\$9,900**.

Principal Investigator: (with Prof. R.L. Mullen) "**Feasibility Study to Implement the Video Image Processing Technique for Evaluating Pavement Surface Distress in the State of Ohio**," Funded by the Ohio Department of Transportation Dec. 1989 to August 1991. **\$84,224**.

Principal Investigator: "**Preliminary Study to Determine Soil and Material Properties by the Inverse Theory**" CWRU/RIG, January-December 1989. **\$5,000**.

Principal Investigator: (with Dr. K. Majidzadeh at RII) "**Implementation of the OAF and OAR Programs in the Mechanistic Design of Flexible and Rigid Pavement Overlays in Ohio**," funded by the Ohio Department of Transportation through Resource International, Inc. June 1987 to December 1988. **\$74,910**.

Co-Investigator: (with Dr. K. Majidzadeh at RII) "**Evaluation of Effectiveness of Joint Repair Techniques and Pavement Rehabilitation Using the Dynaflect**," funded by the Ohio Department of Transportation through Resource International, Inc. 1986 to 1988. **\$216,000**.

Co-Investigator: "**A Preliminary Study of Chemcrete Additives**" funded by the Ohio Department of Transportation through Resource International, Inc. January 1986 to June, 1987. **\$68,000**.

Co-Investigator: "**Bottom Interacting Acoustics - Phase II**," funded by ONR, January 1985 to December 1985.

Principal Investigator: "**Energy Methods in the Determination of Soil Liquefaction**," funded by the University of Miami Research Council, May 1985 to December 1985. **\$5,000.**

Co-Investigator: "**Rebuilding a Polk County Road Utilizing a Phosphogypsum Mixture**," funded by Florida Institute of Phosphate Research, 1985. **\$220,225.**

Co-Investigator: "**Response of Sediment Beds to Random Ocean Waves**" Funded by the National Science Foundation, August 1982 to May 1984. **\$75,000** (approx.).

Co-Investigator: "**The Use of Phosphogypsum for Airport and Highway Pavement Base Courses and Building Foundations**" funded by Gardinier, Inc. West Tampa, FL. 1983. **\$5,000** (approx.).

Principal Investigator: "**Laboratory Analysis and Pilot Study to Determine Possible Uses of Limerock Mining Waste**", funded by Sterling Crushed Stone, Co., Miami, FL. 1983. **\$2,900.**

Co-Investigator: "**The Effect of Soil Embedment on the Seismic Analysis of Structures Founded on Rock**." funded by Niagara-Mohawk Power Corporation, Syracuse, NY. 1980 (contributed to the development of the research proposal). **\$135,636.**

OTHER PROFESSIONAL ACTIVITIES

Paper, Book & Proposal Review, Editorial Responsibilities

- Reviewed 8 pavement analysis and design papers submitted to the International Conference on Highway Pavement Data, Analysis and Mechanistic Design Applications, Columbus, OH Sept. 8-10, 2003. Member, organizing Committee.
- ASCE - Reviewer Transportation Engineering Journal, January, 2003.
- The International Conference on Physical Modelling in Geotechnics (ICPMG), St Johns of Newfoundland, Paper reviewer, November 2001
- ASCE - Reviewer Geotechnical Engineering Journal, October, 2001.
- NSF - Reviewer Research Proposal, Division of Geophysics, Sept. 2001
- NSF Review Panel, Exploratory Research Related to the 1999 Earthquakes in Turkey and Taiwan. May, 2000.
- NSF Review Panel, Geoenvironmental and Geohazards Mitigation. January, 2000
- 1999 PanAmerican Conference in Soil Mechanics and Foundation Engineering (Iguazu Falls, Brazil), Paper reviewer. October, 1998.
- Offshore Technology Research Center at University of Texas and Texas A&M University. NSF 9th Year Review Panel Member, Austin, TX, June 11-13, 1997.
- ASCE Specialty Conference on Uncertainty in the Geologic Environment: From Theory to Practice, Reviewer, January, 1996
- Journal of Applied Polymer Science, Reviewer, August, 1994.
- ASCE - Reviewer Journal of Engineering Mechanics, October, April, February, 1996, July, 1994, October, 1993.
- TRB - Reviewer, September 1993 (3 papers)
- NSF - Reviewer Research Proposal, Dec. 1998, July, 1993, 1983 (2)
- Member, Publications Committee, Journal of Geotechnical Engineering, April 1993-Present.
- Prospectus Reviewer "Mechanics of Materials," PWS-Kent Publishing Company, March 1992.
- ASTM - Reviewer Geotechnical Testing Journal, April 1993, October, September and February 1992, June 1991.
- ASCE - Reviewer Geotechnical Engineering Journal, July, (2 papers), 1998 July, 1996 (2 papers), April, February, January, 1995, September, July, May, February (2 papers),

1994 , September, June, 1993, October, September, August, March (two papers), 1991 , February, January, 1990, June 1989, January 1986

- Member, Review Committee, Revista Sismodinámica, 1988-present.
- ONDAC - Chile. Member of Editorial Committee, July, 1985 -present
- Reviewer of Research Proposals, Catholic University of Chile, Fall, 1984 and January, 1986
- University of Miami, Office of Research and Sponsored Program, reviewed patent request, 1983.

Invited Lectures

University of Southern California, Los Angeles "Evaluation of Soil Liquefaction by Energy Principles," February 10, 1994.

Conference Sessions Chaired/Organized

71st Transportation Research Board Annual Meeting (1992), Presided Session 160 (Measurement, Processing and Evaluation of Pavement Data), held in Washington, DC, January 1992.

Second International Conference on Recent Advances in Geotechnical Earthquake Engineering and Soil Dynamics, St. Louis, MO. March, 1991. Co-Chairman, Session IB.

Workshop on Adhesives, Sealants and Coatings in the Transportation Infrastructure, CASC-CWRU, Co-chairman (program), 1989.

Chairman, Structural/Geotechnical Session, ASCE South Florida/Florida Section Annual Meeting, September, 1984.

CONFERENCE PRESENTATIONS

International Workshop on Seismic Stability of Tailing Dams," Cleveland, OH, November 10-11, 2003. Presented one paper.

International Conference on Highway Pavement Data, Analysis and Mechanistic Design Applications, Columbus, OH Sept. 8-10, 2003. Presented one paper.

International Conference on Physical Modeling in Geotechnics, St John's, Newfoundland, Canada, July 2002. Presented one paper.

International Symposium on Geotechnical Centrifuge Modeling and Networking. The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, Hong Kong, China. December 8-9, 2001. Presented one invited paper (Poster Session).

Proceedings of the International Workshop on Earthquake Simulation in Geotechnical Engineering, Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, OH. November 2001. Presented one paper.

Proceedings of GeoEng2000, Melbourne, Australia, November, 2000. Presented one invited paper.

Proceedings of the International Conference: CENTRIFUGE 98, Tokyo, Japan, Sept. 1998. Presented one paper.

Proceedings of the International Workshop on the Physics and Mechanics of Soil Liquefaction. The Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland, September 10-11, 1998. Presented Invited Paper.

Proceedings of the Northridge Earthquake Research Conference - CUREe, Los Angeles, CA, August, 1997. Presented one paper.

7th International Conference in Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering, Crete, Greece, Presented one Paper, May, 1995.

5th U.S.-Japan Workshop on Liquefaction and Large Ground Deformation and Countermeasures for Lifelines, National Center for Earthquake Engineering Research, Snowbird, UT, Presented one Paper, September, 1994

6th International Conference in Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering, Bath, U.K.,
Presented one Paper, June, 1993

72st Transportation Research Board Annual Meeting, Washington, D.C. Co-presented one
paper, January 1993.

71st Transportation Research Board Annual Meeting, Washington, D.C. Co-presented three
papers, January 1992.

The Inversion Technique in the Non-Destructive Testing Evaluation of Flexible Pavements,"
Presented at the ASCE Annual Conference, Orlando, FL, October, 1991.

70th Transportation Research Board Annual Meeting, Washington, D.C. Presented one paper,
January 1991.

Fourth U.S. Conference in Earthquake Engineering, Palm Springs, CA. Presented one paper,
May 1990.

Transportation Research Board Annual Meeting, Washington, D.C. Presented one paper,
January 1990.

Workshop on Adhesives, Sealants and Coatings in the Transportation Infrastructure, CASC-
CWRU, Presented One Paper. March, 1989.

XI International Conference of Soil Mechanics and Foundation Engineering, San Francisco, CA,
August 1985. Presented One Paper (poster sessions).

Acoustical Society of America, Annual Meeting. Nashville, TN. 1985. Presented One Paper.

93rd ASEE Annual Conference, Atlanta, GA, June, 1985. Presented One Paper.

2nd National Conference on Microcomputers in Civil Engineering, Orlando, FL, October, 1984.
Presented One Paper.

ASCE 1984 Florida/South Florida Section Annual Meeting, Fort Lauderdale, Fl. September, 1984.
Presented One Paper.

First Annual Seminar on Phosphogypsum, Florida Institute of Phosphate Research - University
of Miami, Miami, Florida, April, 1984. Presented Two Tapers.

Division of Ocean Engineering - University of Miami. Presented Seminar, January 30, 1984.

ASCE 1983 South Florida Annual Meeting, Cape Coral, Fl. Presented One Paper, September,
1983.

Transportation Research Board Annual Meeting, Washington, D.C. Presented paper, January
1983.

ASCE 1982 Joint Florida/South Florida Annual Meeting, Orlando, Fl. Presented One Paper,
September 1982.

Transportation Research Board Annual Meeting, Washington, D.C. Presented One Paper and
Co-presented Second, January 1980.

RESUME

NAME AND TITLE

Gayle F. Mitchell, Ph.D., P.E., Neil D. Thomas Professor of Civil Engineering; Chair, Civil Engineering Department; and Director, Ohio Research Institute for Transportation and the Environment, Ohio University

POSITIONS HELD

2000-2005 Neil D. Thomas Professor
1995-Present Chair, Civil Engineering Department, Ohio University
1994-1999 Russ Professor, Civil Engineering Department, Ohio University
1992-1994 Professor, Civil Engineering Department, Ohio University
1987-present Director, Ohio Research Institute for Transportation and the Environment
(formerly Center for Geotechnical and Environmental Research)
1986-1992 Associate Professor, Civil Engineering Department, Ohio University
1982-1986 Associate Professor, Civil Engineering Department, Mississippi State University
(tenured)
1978-1982 Assistant Professor, Civil Engineering Department, Mississippi State University
Coordinator of Cooperative Education, Mississippi State University
1966-1968 Co-op Trainee, George C. Marshall Space Flight Center, NASA, 1966-1968

EDUCATION

B.S. Electrical Engineering, Mississippi State University, 1971
M.S., Electrical Engineering, Mississippi State University, 1972
Ph.D., Civil (Environmental) Engineering, Mississippi State University, 1978

TEACHING EXPERIENCE

1992-present Professor, Civil Engineering Department, Ohio University
1986-1992 Associate Professor, Civil Engineering Department, Ohio University
1982-1986 Associate Professor, Civil Engineering Department, Mississippi State University
1978-1982 Assistant Professor, Civil Engineering Department, Mississippi State University
Graduate Assistant, Civil Engineering Department, Mississippi State University
1970-71 Graduate Assistant, Electrical Engineering Department, Mississippi State University

ADMINISTRATIVE EXPERIENCE

1995- Present Chair, Civil Engineering Department, Ohio University
-Supervise department staff that consists of Assistant Chair, Department Administrator, Administrative Associate, laboratory coordinator and technician
-Provide leadership for the Civil Engineering Department
-Obtain endowment funding for the department
-Represent the Department on the Russ College of Engineering and Technology Administrative Council
-Lead the department to continued EAC/ABET accreditation
-Review in concert with the Assistant Chair faculty teaching assignments
-Prepare mission oriented reports, documents and data
-Plan and Chair five to six department meetings per quarter of the academic year
-Conduct strategic planning
-Plan and monitor the curriculum and solve curriculum issues
-Represent the Department inside and outside the University
-Prepare and manage departmental budget of about \$1.5 million and authorize expenditures

- Write contracts for faculty, staff and graduate research and teaching associates
- Plan, host and Chair two annual Civil Engineering Advisory Board Meetings and two annual Construction Engineering Management Advisory Board meetings, one Alumni Breakfast and other similar meetings

- Develop and maintain benchmarking data
- Mentor faculty and students
- Perform faculty and staff annual evaluations

1987-Present Director, Ohio Research Institute for Transportation and the Environment (ORITE)

- Supervise the ORITE staff of one Communications Coordinator, one Administrative Associate, three research engineers, and one technician

- Promote research with funding agencies

- Prepare and manage budgets (active projects averaging \$5 million; expenditures averaging about \$1 million per year)

- Develop and present technical and professional presentations
- Direct the research of graduate students
- Direct and manage research on environmental projects
- Purchase equipment and supplies for research projects
- Prepare research reports
- Prepare miscellaneous research related material
- Plan, schedule and manage workshops, conferences and short courses
- Prepare promotional materials such as brochures and video
- Prepare mission oriented reports, documents and data
- Conduct strategic planning
- Assist faculty in research endeavors

1972-1974 Coordinator, Cooperative Education, Engineering Extension, Mississippi State University

REGISTRATIONS

Registered Professional Engineer - State of Mississippi

Registered Professional Engineer - State of Ohio

REVIEWER

American Society of Civil Engineers

International Erosion Control Association

John Wiley and Sons, Inc.

National Research Council - Transportation Research Board

National Science Foundation

MEMBERSHIPS

American Society for Civil Engineers

American Society for Engineering Education

American Water Works Association

International Erosion Control Association

Water Environment Federation

HONORS

Neil D. Thomas Professor (2000-2005)

Russ Professor (1994-1999)
Chi Epsilon
Eta Kappa Nu
NDEA Fellowship (1971-1972)
Outstanding Young Woman of America (1978-1980)
Phi Kappa Phi
Sigma Xi
Tau Beta Pi

RESEARCH PROJECTS/GRANTS

Gayle F. Mitchell, *Evaluation of ODOT Roadway/Weather Sensor Systems for Snow & Ice Removal Operations-Optimization of Pretreatment or Anti-icing Protocol for Snow/Ice RWIS Phase IV*, FHWA/ODOT, November 15, 2003 to November 15, 2005, (\$153,960)

Gayle F. Mitchell, Teruhisa Masada, and Tiao Chang, *Risk Assessment and Update of Inspection Procedures for Culverts*, ODOT/FHWA (December 2002-November 2004) (\$327,504)

Gayle F. Mitchell, *Optimization of Salt Brine Pre-Treatment Application Rates and Frequency*, ODOT/FHWA, August 1- December 31, 2002 (\$53,632)

Gayle F. Mitchell, *Study of HDPE Devices for Treatment of Storm Water Runoff*, Advanced Drainage Systems, Inc. (January 2001-June 30, 2003) (\$101,179).

Gayle F. Mitchell, *Remote Soil Moisture Monitoring Using Web Browsers for Improved Irrigation Decision Making*, Applied Research Associates, Inc./USDA (August 2000-April 2001) (\$7,280).

Gayle F. Mitchell, *Enhancement to ORITE's CPT - Fuel Fluorescence Detector (FFD)*, Ohio University 1804 Fund Award (1999-2001) (\$23,460).

Shad M. Sargand and Gayle F. Mitchell, *Technical Support for FHWA OTA*, Sub-contractor to Battelle Memorial Institute (July 1998-June 2003) (\$763,231).

Glenn A. Hazen, Shad M. Sargand, and Gayle F. Mitchell, *Field Verification of Structural Performance of Thermoplastic Pipe Under Deep Backfill Conditions*, Advanced Drainage Systems, Inc. (\$57,392), and Lane Enterprises (\$15,000) (1999-2000).

Glenn A. Hazen, Shad M. Sargand and Gayle F. Mitchell, *Field Verification of Structural Performance of Thermoplastic Pipe Under Deep Backfill Conditions*, Ohio Department of Transportation (1997-2000) FHWA/ODOT (\$271,567), FHWA/Pennsylvania Department of Transportation (\$50,000).

Gayle F. Mitchell and Shad M. Sargand, *Implementation of Superpave at the Accelerated Pavement Load Facility (APLF)*, Ohio University 1804 Fund Award (1996-1998) (\$30,000).

Gayle F. Mitchell, *Using Wetlands for Storm Water Management*, Ohio Department of Transportation (1995-1999) (\$101,882).

Teruhisa Masada and Gayle F. Mitchell, *Soil Investigation at the Couladis Wetland Site*, Ohio Department of Transportation, District 10 (1995-1996) (\$15,401).

Shad M. Sargand and Gayle F. Mitchell, *Ohio Infrastructure Institute-Ohio Board of Regents Consortia for Excellence Grant*, Ohio Board of Regents (1995) (\$159,946).

Shad M. Sargand, Gayle F. Mitchell, Glenn A. Hazen, William E. Wolfe, and Osama Abdulshafi, *Accelerated Pavement Load Facility*, Ohio Board of Regents (1995-1996) (\$1,350,000).

Gayle F. Mitchell and Shad M. Sargand, *Computational Laboratory for Pavement Research*, 1804 Fund Award, Ohio University (1994-1996) (\$115,000).

Gayle F. Mitchell, *Teleconference for Implementing Proper Erosion and Sediment Controls*, Ohio Department of Transportation (August 1994-March 1996) (\$32,355).

Gayle F. Mitchell and Shad M. Sargand, *Performance of the Leachate Collection System in the Landfill - Extension*, U.S.EPA (September 1994-September 1995) (\$50,000).

Gayle F. Mitchell and Shad M. Sargand, *Leachate Collection System Components Research, Phase IV*, Rust Environment and Infrastructure, Inc. (March-December 1993) (\$45,000).

Gayle F. Mitchell, *Implementation of Proper Erosion and Sediment Control Practices*, Ohio Department of Transportation (September 1992-June 1994) (\$56,190).

Gayle F. Mitchell and Shad M. Sargand, *Performance of the Leachate Collection System*, U.S.EPA (October 1992-September 1994) (\$96,981).

Gayle F. Mitchell and Shad M. Sargand, *Leachate Collection System Components Research - Phase III*, Waste Management of North America, Inc. (February-December 1992) (\$60,000).

Gayle F. Mitchell and Shad M. Sargand, *Leachate Collection System Components Research - Phase II*, Waste Management of North America, Inc. (July-December 1991) (\$50,000).

Gayle F. Mitchell and Shad M. Sargand, *Leachate Collection System Components Research*, Waste Management of North America, Inc. (November-December 1990) (\$30,000).

Gayle F. Mitchell, *Assessment of Erosion/Sediment Control in Highway Construction Projects*, Ohio Department of Transportation (March 1990-March 1992) (\$74,748).

Gayle F. Mitchell and Shad M. Sargand, *Environmental Geotechnology Program*, 1804 Fund, Ohio University (1989-1992) (\$148,000).

Gayle F. Mitchell, *Assessment and Compilation of Groundwater Quality Data for Mississippi*, Project G1026-23, MS WRI for the U.S. Department of Interior and Mississippi Department of Natural Resources (May 1985-April 1987) (\$52,000). (Moved to Ohio University; project completed by Dennis Truax at Mississippi State University.)

Gayle F. Mitchell, *Treatment of Waste from a Metal Finishing Industry*, Mueller Brass (May-October 1984) (\$2,400).

Gayle F. Mitchell and Dale Krane, *Methodology for a Water Management Plan in a Water Surplus State*, Project G-915-03, MS WRI (May 1984-June 1985) (\$36,738).

Gayle F. Mitchell, *Removal of Color From Drinking Water with Powdered Ion Exchange Resins*, Office of Research and Graduate Studies and Research, Mississippi State University (1982) (\$2,366).

Gayle F. Mitchell and Donald O. Hill, *Methods for Treatment of Color in Groundwater*, OWRT A-132-MISS, Mississippi Water Resources Research Institute (October 1979-September 1981) (\$16,653).

Gayle F. Mitchell and Marvin T. Bond, *The Dewatering of Lime-Algae Sludge on Sand Drying Beds*, OWRT A-110-MISS, Mississippi Water Resources Research Institute (October 1977-September 1978) (\$15,000).

Internal

Gayle F. Mitchell, *Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy*, RCENT Stocker Research Proposal, (2001)(\$35,355).

Gayle F. Mitchell, *Electronic Communication System for the APLF*, RCENT Stocker Research Proposal (2000)(\$28,145).

Gayle F. Mitchell and Shad M. Sargand, *Design Manual for Leachate Collection Pipe*, Research Challenge, Ohio University (1994) (\$6,000).

Gayle F. Mitchell, Shad M. Sargand, and Kenneth B. Edwards, *In-Situ Monitoring of Clogging of the Landfill Drainage Layer*, Research Challenge, Ohio University (1994) (\$6,000).

Shad M. Sargand and Gayle F. Mitchell, *Post Doctoral Position in CGER*, Office of Research and Graduate Programs, Ohio University (August 1989-August 1991) (\$10,000).

Gayle F. Mitchell and Shad M. Sargand, *A Pilot Project for Technology Transfer in Solid Waste Management*, Research Challenge, Ohio University (1989-1990) (\$10,000).

Gayle F. Mitchell and Shad M. Sargand, *Environmental Geotechnology Faculty Position in Civil Engineering*, UPAC (1989) (\$53,000).

Gayle F. Mitchell, Women's Studies Summer Stipend, *Integrating General Scholarship; Toward an Inclusive Curriculum* (Summer 1988) (\$1,250).

Gayle F. Mitchell, *Establishment of the Ground Water Research Institute at Ohio University* (to later become the Center for Geotechnical and Groundwater Research, then the Center for Geotechnical and Environmental Research), Research Challenge Pattern 3, Ohio University (January 1988-June 1988) (\$13,492).

PUBLICATIONS

Journals/Proceedings

Christopher L. Hunt, Gayle F. Mitchell, and Wallace Richardson, *Field Persistence of Anti-icing Sodium Chloride Residuals*, **Proceedings of the Sixth International Symposium on Snow Removal and Ice Control Technology**, Spokane, Washington (June 7-9, 2004), accepted

Gayle F. Mitchell, Christopher L. Hunt, and Wallace Richardson, *Prediction of Brine Application for Pretreatment/Anti-icing*, **Proceedings of the 83rd Transportation Research Board** (January 11-15, 2004)

Gayle F. Mitchell and Yuming Su, *Using First Order Modeling to Describe First Flush Effects of Storm Water Runoff*, **Proceedings of 2003 StormCon**, San Antonio, TX, (July 28-31, 2003)

Gayle F. Mitchell and Yuming Su, *Using a Pipe as a Storm Water Treatment Device*, **Proceedings of the 2002 StormCon Conference**, Marco Island, FL (August 12-15, 2002).

Christopher L. Hunt, Gayle F. Mitchell, and Yuming Su, *Investigation of Highway Runoff First Flush Effects*, **Proceedings of the 2002 StormCon Conference**, Marco Island, FL (August 12-15, 2002).

Gayle F. Mitchell, James Shinn, Jr., and Kwame Adu-Gyamfi, *An Innovative Soil Moisture Probe for In Situ Subsurface Investigations*, **Proceedings of Transportation Research Board 80th Annual Meeting**, Washington, DC (January 2002).

Gayle F. Mitchell, Christopher L. Hunt, and Yuming Su, *Mitigating Highway Runoff Constituents Via a Wetland*, **Proceedings of Transportation Research Board 80th Annual Meeting**, Washington, DC (January 2002) and selected by TRB and published in **Transportation Research Record No. 1808, Soil Mechanics 2002**, pp 127-133

Gayle F. Mitchell, Christopher L. Hunt, and Yuming Su, *Using a Wetland to Mitigate Highway Storm Water Runoff*, **Proceedings of the World Water & Environmental Resources Congress**, ASCE/EWRI, Orlando, Florida (May 21-23, 2001).

Christopher Hunt and Gayle F. Mitchell, *Characterization of Low Traffic Volume Highway Stormwater Runoff*, **Proceedings of the 31st International Erosion Control Association**, Palm Springs, CA (February 21-25, 2000).

Ray Hyland, Gayle F. Mitchell, and James D. Shinn III, *Real Time Soil Water Dynamics in Pavement Systems Using a Capacitance Probe*, **Proceedings of the Transportation Research Board 79th Annual Meeting**, Washington, DC (January 9-12, 2000).

Gayle F. Mitchell, *Effect of Iron Filings and Carbonate Content on the Landfill Drainage Layer*, **Proceedings of the 20th International Madison Waste Conference**, Madison, Wisconsin (April 1-2, 1998).

James D. Shinn II, David A. Timian, Rex M. Morey, Gayle Mitchell, Chad Antle and Rocky L. Hull, *Development of a CPT Probe to Determine Volumetric Soil Moisture Content*, **Field Analytical Chemistry and Technology Journal**.

Gayle Mitchell and James D. Shinn II, *Innovative Use of the CPT for Highway Environmental Site Characterization and Monitoring*, **Proceedings of the 77th Annual Transportation Research Board** (January 12-17, 1998) and **Transportation Research Record** (1998).

Teruhisa Masada, Gayle F. Mitchell, Shad M. Sargand, and Bangalore Shashikumar, *Modified Direct Shear Study of Clay Liner/Geomembrane Interfaces Exposed to Landfill Leachate*, **Geotextiles and Geomembranes**, Vol. 13, No. 3, p 165 (1994).

Shad M. Sargand, Gayle F. Mitchell, and Teruhisa Masada, *Structural Performance of an HDPE Leachate Collection Pipe*, The Solid Waste Association of North America, **Proceedings of the 31st Annual International Solid Waste Exposition**, San Jose, California (August 2-5, 1993).

Gayle F. Mitchell and Shad M. Sargand, *Integrated Engineering Programs: Focus on Geoenvironmental*, **Proceedings of the American Society for Engineering Education 100th Annual Conference**, University of Illinois, Champaign-Urbana, Illinois (June 20-23, 1993).

Robert L. Kastner, Shad M. Sargand, and Gayle F. Mitchell, *Structural Performance of PVC Leachate Collection Pipe*, **Proceedings of the 1993 Conference on Structural Performance of Pipes**, Columbus, Ohio (March 14-17, 1993).

Gayle F. Mitchell and Teruhisa Masada, *A Review of Erosion and Sediment Control Specifications of Departments of Transportation*, **Proceedings of the 24th International Erosion Control Association**, Indianapolis, Indiana (February 23-26, 1993).

Gayle F. Mitchell, Shad M. Sargand, and Julian Rueda, *Permeability of the Drainage Layer in the Leachate Collection System*, **Proceedings of WasteTech '93 Conference**, Marina Del Ray, California (January 14-15, 1993).

Gayle F. Mitchell, Shad M. Sargand, and Julian Rueda, *Clogging of the Drainage Blanket in the Landfill*, **Proceedings of Geo-Filters '92 International Conference on Filters and Filtration Phenomena in Geotechnical Engineering**, Karlsruhe, Germany (October 20-22, 1992).

Gayle F. Mitchell and Shad M. Sargand, *Leachate Collection System Components Research*, **1992 Waste Management, Inc. Fall Technology Conference**, Oak Brook, Illinois (September 28-30, 1992).

Gayle F. Mitchell and Shad M. Sargand, *Analysis of Erosion and Sediment Control Practices of State Departments of Transportation*, **Proceedings of the 23rd International Erosion Control Association Conference**, Reno, Nevada (February 18-21, 1992).

Shad M. Sargand, Gayle F. Mitchell, and Robert C. Rogers, *Monitoring of Strains in Small Diameter Pipes*, **Experimental Techniques** 16:1 (January/February 1992).¹

Shad M. Sargand, Gayle F. Mitchell, Robert Kastner, and Julian Rueda, *Structural Performance of a Perforated PVC Pipe*, **Proceedings of the Transportation Research Board** (January 12-16, 1992).

Teruhisa Masada, Gayle F. Mitchell, and Shad M. Sargand, *Characteristics of Geotextile/HDPE Geomembrane Interface Under Direct Shear Conditions*, **Proceedings of the Ohio River Valley Soils Seminar XXII**, Conference Proceedings, Lexington, Kentucky (October 18-19, 1991).

Gayle F. Mitchell, Teruhisa Masada, and Shad M. Sargand, *Effect of Temperature on Interface Properties Between HDPE Geomembrane and Cohesionless Soils*, **Proceedings of the Canadian Conference on Environmental Geotechnics**, Montreal, Canada (May 14-16, 1991).

Gayle F. Mitchell, Shad M. Sargand, and Teruhisa Masada, *Erosion and Sediment Control Practices in Highway Construction*, **Proceedings of the 22nd Annual Conference of the International Erosion Control Association**, Orlando, Florida (February 20-23, 1991).

¹Selected as the outstanding journal paper for 1992 by the **Society for Experimental Mechanics**.

Gayle F. Mitchell, Shad M. Sargand, and Teruhisa Masada, *Interface Properties Between Cohesionless Soils and Geomembranes*, **Proceedings of the HMC-Great Lakes '90 Conference**, Cleveland, Ohio (September 26-28, 1990).

Gayle F. Mitchell and Dale Krane, *Perspective on Water Management in Mississippi based on Comparisons with Other States and a Survey of In-State Water Managers*, **Proceedings of Sixteenth Mississippi Water Resources Conference**, Water Resources Research Institute, Mississippi State University (1986).

Keith Lowery and Gayle F. Mitchell, *Application of Ion Exchange and Ultrafiltration for Color Removal from Groundwater*, **Proceedings of the American Water Works Association 1981 Annual Conference**, St. Louis, Missouri (June 7-11, 1981).

Gayle F. Mitchell, Robert H. Seyforth, and Felon R. Wilson, *Effect of Alum Addition on Aerobic Digestion of Activated Sludge*, **Water and Sewage Works**, 124:7:58 (July 1977).

Significant Reports

Gayle F. Mitchell, Christopher Hunt and Wallace Richardson, **Evaluation of ODOT Roadway/Weather Sensor Systems for Snow and Ice Removal Operations-Part III: Optimization of Salt Brine Pretreatment Application Rates and Frequency**, Final Report, FHWA/ODOT-2003/008C, (September 2003) 300 pages

Gayle F. Mitchell and Christopher Hunt, **Using Wetlands for Storm Water Management**, Final Report, ODOT/FHWA (December 1999).

Teruhisa Masada, Shad M. Sargand and Gayle F. Mitchell, **Performance of the Leachate Collection System in the Landfill**, Final Report, U.S. EPA Cincinnati-RREL (October 1996).

Teruhisa Masada and Gayle F. Mitchell, **Wetland Mitigation Project ATH-50-18.58**, Final Project Report, Ohio Department of Transportation District 10 (March 1996).

Gayle F. Mitchell, **Teleconference on Implementation of Proper Erosion and Sediment Controls**, Final Report, Ohio Department of Transportation (January 1996).

Gayle F. Mitchell and Shad M. Sargand, **Performance of the Leachate Collection System in the Landfill, Part 1**, U.S. EPA Cincinnati-RREL (August 1994).

Gayle F. Mitchell and Shad M. Sargand, **Leachate Collection System Components Research, Phase IV - Drainage Blanket Compatibility/Clogging, Final Report**, Rust Environment and Infrastructure, Inc. (formerly Waste Management of North America, Inc.) (March 1994).

Gayle F. Mitchell, Shad M. Sargand, and Teruhisa Masada, **Leachate Collection System Components Research, Phase IV - Structural Performance of Leachate Collection Pipe, Final Report**, Rust Environment and Infrastructure, Inc. (formerly Waste Management of North America, Inc.) (March 1994).

Gayle F. Mitchell and Shad M. Sargand, **Leachate Collection System Components Research, Phase III, Final Report**, Waste Management of North America, Inc. (January 1993).

Gayle F. Mitchell, **Assessment of Erosion and Sediment Control in Highway Construction Projects**, Final Report, Ohio Department of Transportation (December 1992).

Gayle F. Mitchell and Shad M. Sargand, **Leachate Collection System Components Research, Phase II**, Final Report, Waste Management of North America, Inc. (February 1992).

Gayle F. Mitchell and Shad M. Sargand, **Leachate Collection System Components Research, Phase II**, Interim Report, Waste Management of North America, Inc. (July-September 1991).

Gayle F. Mitchell and Shad M. Sargand, **Leachate Collection System Components Research**, Final Report, Waste Management of North America, Inc. (June 1991).

Gayle F. Mitchell and Shad M. Sargand, **Leachate Collection System Components Research**, Interim Report, Waste Management of North America, Inc. (January 1991).

Gayle F. Mitchell, **Survey of States' Water Resources Data Bases**, Report of the Subcommittee for States' Survey of the Mississippi Water Resources Data Base Committee, WRRRI Report D-027-MS (July 1986).

Gayle F. Mitchell, **Methodology for a Water Management Plan in a Water Surplus State**, Final Report, Project No. G-915-03 (July 1986).

Gayle F. Mitchell, **Assessment and Compilation of Groundwater Quality Data for Mississippi**, Interim Report, Project No. G10-25-23 (September 1985).

Gayle F. Mitchell and Donald O. Hill, **Methods for Treatment of Color in Groundwater**, OWRT A-132-MISS, Mississippi Water Resources Research Institute (September 1981).

Marvin T. Bond and Gayle F. Mitchell, **The Dewatering of Lime-Algae Sludge on Sand Drying Beds**, Water Resources Research Institute, OWRT A-110-MISS (September 1978).

Other Publications

Gayle F. Mitchell, The Tie that Binds, **Erosion Control Journal**, 11:1:10 (January/February 2003)

Gayle F. Mitchell, *Director's Message*, **Erosion Control Journal**, 6:1:10, (January/February 1999).

Gayle F. Mitchell, Shad M. Sargand, and Kevin White, Editors, **Proceedings of the Conference on Structural Performance of Pipes 1998**, Athens, Ohio (March 22-25, 1998).

Shad M. Sargand, Gayle F. Mitchell, and John O. Hurd, Editors, **Proceedings of the Conference on Structural Performance of Pipes 1993**, CGER/ODOT, Worthington, Ohio (March 14-17, 1993).

Shad M. Sargand, Gayle F. Mitchell, and John O. Hurd, Editors, **Proceedings of the Conference on Flexible Pipes**, CGER/ODOT, Columbus, Ohio (October 21-23, 1990).

Gayle F. Mitchell, Nancy Manring, and Geoffrey Smith, **Training of Rural Officials - Environmental Management, Solid Waste Management**, (Manual), W.K. Kellogg Foundation (1989).

Joaquin Henriquez and Gayle F. Mitchell, *Physical-Chemical Treatment of Metal finishing Waste Water*, Mississippi Academy of Science, Abstract, Vol. XXX, 65 (1985).

Donald O. Hill, Gayle F. Mitchell, Stephen Pranger, Marion W. Corey, James W. Epps, Patricia A Sparneard, and M. John Culliname, Jr., **Process Design and Cost Estimating Algorithms for the Cost Estimating for Industrial Treatment Systems (CENT\$) Computer Model**, IAO 81-D-31, Environmental Laboratory, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers-Waterways Experiment Station, Vicksburg, Mississippi (January 1983).

Gayle F. Mitchell and Marvin T. Bond, *Investigation of Sand Drying Beds for Dewatering of Algae Sludge*, Mississippi Academy of Science, Abstracts, Vol. XXIV, 97 (1979).

Gayle F. Mitchell and Marvin T. Bond, *Application of Sludge Recycle to Removal of Iron from Mississippi Groundwater*, Mississippi Academy of Science, Abstract, Vol. XXIII (March 1978).

Gayle F. Mitchell and Marvin T. Bond, *An Innovative Approach for Removal of Iron from Mississippi Groundwater*, **Proceedings of Mississippi Water Resources Conference 1978**, Water Resources Research Institute, Mississippi State University (September 1978).

James Lowery and Gayle F. Mitchell, *Investigation of the Alstan 70 Process for the Preparation of Aluminum and Aluminum Alloys for Plating*, NASA Internal Note (September 16, 1968).

James Lowery and Gayle F. Mitchell, *Investigations of Electroplating Procedures for Magnesium Lithium Alloys*, NASSA TM X-53805 (December 5, 1968).

Thesis/Dissertations

Gayle F. Mitchell, **An Innovative Approach to Iron Removal from Groundwater Using Iron Sludge Recirculation**, Ph.D. Dissertation, Mississippi State University (August 1978).

Gayle F. Mitchell, **Acoustical Remote Sensing of Meteorological Effects in the Atmosphere**, M.S. Thesis, Mississippi State University (August 1972).

PRESENTATIONS

Technical Papers

Using First Order Modeling to Describe First Flush Effects of Storm Water Runoff, **2003 StormCon Conference**, San Antonio, TX, (July 28-31, 2003)

Using a Pipe as a Storm Water Treatment Device, **2002 StormCon Conference**, Marco Island, FL (August 12-15, 2002).

Mitigation of Constituents in Storm Water Runoff from a Trafficked Area, **Hydrology, Hydraulics and Water Quality for Transportation Systems Symposium**, Puerto Rico (July 8-9, 2002)

Economics of Erosion and Sediment Control in DOT's, Invited Paper, **33rd International Erosion Control Association Conference**, Orlando, FL (February 2002)

An Innovative Soil Moisture Probe for In Situ Subsurface Investigations, **Transportation Research Board 80th Annual Meeting**, Washington, DC (January 2002).

Mitigating Highway Runoff Constituents Via a Wetland, **Transportation Research Board 80th Annual Meeting**, Washington, DC (January 2002).

Mitigation of Pollutants from Highway Storm Water Runoff, Transportation Research Board 2001 Summer Workshop, Discover the Past, Plan for the Future, Committees A1F05 and A1F07, Key West, Florida (July 7-10, 2001).

Using a Wetland to Mitigate Highway Storm Water Runoff, **World Water & Environmental Resources Congress**, ASCE/ EWRI, Orlando, Florida (May 21-23 , 2001).

Characterization of Low Traffic Volume Highway Stormwater Runoff, **31st International Erosion Control Association Conference**, Palm Springs, California (February 23, 2000).

Effect of Iron Filings and Carbonate Content on the Landfill Drainage Layer, **20th International Madison Waste Conference**, Madison, Wisconsin (April 1-2, 1998).

Innovative Use of the CPT for Highway Environmental Site Characterization and Monitoring, **77th Annual Transportation Research Board**, Washington, DC (January 12-17, 1998).

Study of Clogging of the Landfill Drainage Layer, **The Thirteenth International Conference on Solid Waste Technology and Management**, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania (November 16-19, 1997).

Implementation and Assessment of Erosion and Sediment Control Practices on Highway Construction Projects, **48th Annual Ohio Transportation Engineering Conference**, Columbus, Ohio (November 28-30, 1994).

Research in the Center for Geotechnical and Environmental Research (CGER) on the Municipal Solid Waste Landfill, **Field Hydrology Workshop**, Ohio University, Athens, Ohio (July 1994).

A Review of Erosion and Sediment Control Specifications of Departments of Transportation, **24th Annual International Erosion Control Association Conference**, Indianapolis, Indiana (February 23-26, 1993).

Permeability of the Drainage Layer in the Leachate Collection System, **WasteTech '93 Conference**, Marina Del Ray, California (January 14-15, 1993).

Clogging of the Drainage Blanket in Landfills, **International Conference on Filters and Filtration Phenomena in Geotechnical Engineering**, Karlsruhe, Germany (October 20-22, 1992).

Leachate Collection System Components Research, **1992 Waste Management, Inc. Fall Technology Conference**, Oak Brook, Illinois (September 28-30, 1992).

Analysis of Erosion and Sediment Control Practices of State Departments of Transportation, **23rd International Erosion Control Association Conference**, Reno, Nevada (February 18-21, 1992).

Assessment of Erosion Control in Highway Construction, **22nd International Erosion Control Association Conference**, Orlando, Florida (February 20-23, 1991).

Integrated Solid Waste Management, Panel Member, **Municipal Solid Waste Technology Conference**, U.S. EPA, San Diego, California (January 28-February 1, 1989).

Perspective on Water Management in Mississippi Based on Comparisons with Other States and a Survey of In-State Water Managers, **Mississippi Water Resources Conference**, Jackson, Mississippi (February 12-13, 1986).

Removal of Color from Mississippi Groundwater Supplies by Ion Exchange, **American Society of Civil Engineers - Mississippi Section**, Spring Meeting (May 7-8, 1981).

Investigation of Sand Drying Beds for Dewatering of Algae Sludge, **Mississippi Academy of Science, Annual Conference**, Jackson, Mississippi (March 1979).

Water Quality, **Society of Women Engineers Annual Conference**, San Francisco, California (June 1978).

Application of Sludge Recycle to Removal of Iron from Mississippi Groundwater, **Mississippi Academy of Science, Annual Conference**, Biloxi, Mississippi (March 1978).

Removal of Soluble Iron from Groundwater by Sludge Recycle, **Fifth Annual Engineering Research Seminar**, Mississippi State University (December 14, 1977).

Technical Posters

Performance of Thermoplastic Pipe Under Deep Backfill Conditions, **Ohio Transportation Environmental Conference**, Columbus, Ohio (November 19, 1999).

Effect of Temperature on the Interface Properties of Geomembranes and Cohesionless Soils, **Canadian Conference on Environmental Geotechnics**, Montreal, Quebec, Canada (May 14-16, 1991).

The Effect of Water Characteristics on Lead Content in Potable Water, **AWWA Annual Conference**, Orlando, Florida (June 19-23, 1988).

Professional Papers

Gayle F. Mitchell and Shad M. Sargand, *Integrated Engineering Programs: Focus on Geoenvironmental*, **American Society for Engineering Education 100th Annual Conference**, University of Illinois, Champaign-Urbana, Illinois (June 20-23, 1993).

Gayle F. Mitchell, *A Survey of Civil Engineering Design Instructors*, **American Society for Engineering Education 1988 Annual Conference**, University of Portland, Portland, Oregon (June 19-23, 1988).

Gayle F. Mitchell, *Promotion and Tenure in a Dual Career Family*, **American Society for Engineering Education 1988 Annual Conference**, University of Portland, Portland, Oregon (June 19-23, 1988).

Speeches/Presentations/Panelist

EC 2000 and New Research Initiatives, **OTEC Conference**, Alumni Breakfast, Columbus, OH (October 2002; October 23, 2001; October 31, 2000).

The Civil Engineering Profession and Civil Engineering at Ohio University, **Third ASCE Civil Engineering Career Day**, Columbus, Ohio (May 3, 2001).

The Role and Future of Research and Development at ODOT, Panel Member, **2000 Ohio Transportation Engineering Conference**, Columbus, OH (October 31, 2000)

Strategic Planning for Economic Research on Erosion and Sediment Control, Group Leader, **Economic Research Council Meeting**, New Orleans, LA (November 29-December 3, 2000).

Activities in the IECA, *International Landscape Conference, Leura NSW Australia (October 16-20, 2000)*

State of the Industry on Economic Research, 31st International Erosion Control Association Conference, Palm Springs, CA (February 23, 2000).

Economic Research, 31st International Erosion Control Association Conference, Palm Springs, CA (February 24, 2000).

Careers for CE Graduates, ASCE Engineering Career Day, Luncheon Speaker, Buckeye Hall of Fame Café, Columbus, Ohio (May 4, 2000 and April 29, 1999).

Training for Chapter Officers and Board of Directors, International Erosion Control Association 30th Annual Conference, Nashville, TN (February 25, 1999).

Ribbon Cutting Ceremony for the Accelerated Pavement Load Facility, Emcee, OU-Lancaster Branch Campus (June 11, 1997).

Groundbreaking Ceremony for the Accelerated Pavement Load Facility, Emcee, OU-Lancaster Branch Campus (May 10, 1996).

The Impact of 1804 Funding on the Development of the Center for Geotechnical and Environmental Research, Presentation to the Ohio University Foundation Board of Trustees, Athens, Ohio (July 19, 1996).

Graduate School: Is it for me? Regional Society of Women Engineers Conference, Ohio University, Athens, Ohio (November 4, 1995).

Overview of the Center for Geotechnical and Environmental Research - Research and Development Focus and Capacity, Presentation to the Systech Environmental Corporation, Ohio University, Athens, Ohio (November 1, 1995).

The Civil Engineering Department and the Center for Geotechnical and Environmental Research (CGER), Presentation to the Fritz J. and Dolores H. Russ College of Engineering and Technology Board of Visitors, Ohio University, Athens, Ohio (October 13, 1995).

Accelerated Pavement Load Facility, Presentation to the Ohio Board of Regents Review Panel, Columbus, Ohio (1995).

Environmental Compliance at Transportation Agencies, Moderator for Panel, **Transportation Research Board's Mid-Year Workshop**, Austin, Texas (July 31-August 4, 1995).

Discussion of Center for Geotechnical and Environmental Research (CGER) Research Projects, The PartyLine, WATH/WXTQ Radio, Athens, Ohio (July 18, 1995).

Overview of CE Graduate Program, Graduate Admission Requirements, Fellow Scholarships, GA's and RA's, Presentation to Swiss Engineering Educator's Workshop, Stocker Center, Ohio University (May 5, 1995).

Research in the Center for Geotechnical and Environmental Research (CGER), College Overview, Stocker Center, Ohio University (December 14, 1994).

Geotechnical and Environmental Research, Presentation to the Ohio University Foundation Board of Trustees, Ohio University, Athens, Ohio (October 28, 1994).

Activities in the Center for Geotechnical and Environmental Research (CGER), Sigma Xi Lecture, Ohio University, Athens, Ohio (May 10, 1994).

1994 Women in Engineering Workshop, Ohio University (July 19, 1994).

Engineering Careers, Women in Science and Engineering Workshop, Ohio University (April 1987, April 1988, April 1990, April 1991, April 1992, April 1993, April 1994, April 1996, April 1998).

Integrated Solid Waste Management - Focus on the Landfill, **16th Annual Alumni College**, Athens, Ohio (July 15-18, 1993).

Preview of 1993 Conference on Structural Performance of Pipe, **46th Annual Ohio Transportation Engineering Conference**, Columbus, Ohio (December 1-2, 1992).

Center for Geotechnical and Environmental Research (CGER) Activities, College Overview, Third Century Campaign, Ohio University (May 22, 1992).

Managing for the Future: Challenges and Opportunities for Higher Education in Ohio, Presentation to the Ohio Board of Regents Task Force (November 19, 1992).

Why Attend Graduate School? College of Engineering and Technology, Stocker Center, Ohio University, Athens, Ohio (October 16, 1991).

WINGES Upward Bound Seminar, Panelist, Columbus, Ohio (October 11-12, 1991).

Research Activities in the Center for Geotechnical and Groundwater Research (CGER), Presentation to Columbia Gas Systems Service Corp., College of Engineering and Technology, Stocker Center, Ohio University, Athens, Ohio (February 28, 1991).

Research in Infrastructure and the Environment, Significant New Developments in Health and Science: The Implications for Ohio; as part of the Conference Ohio and the World, the Next Two Years, presented to the Ohio Legislators (December 7, 1990).

Changing Role of Women in Engineering - 2, Presentation as keynote address, **Society of Women Engineers, Third Annual Spring Banquet**, University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, Ohio (May 17, 1988).

Careers in Engineering, Be All You Can Dream Seminar, Tri-County Career Development Program, Nelsonville, Ohio (May 6, 1988).

Innovations in Engineering, **Athens League of Women Voters, Annual Banquet**, Athens, Ohio (April 29, 1988).

Changing Role of Women in Engineering - 1, 1987 Region G, **Society of Women Engineers Conference**, Banquet speaker, Ohio University, Athens, Ohio (November 14, 1987).

PATENTS

Patent Application for Stormwater Pollutant Separation System and Method of Stormwater Management, Patrick Collings, Gayle F. Mitchell and Yuming Su, AES 115 P2, 2002

THESES DIRECTED

Field Evaluation of a Multi Chamber Pipe Device for Storm Water Treatment, Shachi Sant, (Fall 2003)

Storm Water Runoff Treatment with Multi Chamber Pipes, Yuming Su, M.S. (November 2002)

Laboratory Calibration of Soil Moisture, Resistivity, and Temperature Probe-Capacitance Probe, Kwame Adu-Gyamfi, M.S. (November 2001)

Soil Moisture Determination Using a Multisensor Capacitance Probe: A Laboratory Calibration, Ray Hyland, M.S. (November 1999).

Structural Behavior of Jointed Leachate Collection Pipes, (co-advisor with Teruhisa Masada), Ramesh Shimoga, M.S. (August 1999).

Treatment of Highway Storm Water Runoff by Constructed Wetlands: Analytical Analysis and Design Model, Christopher L. Hunt, M.S. (November 1997).

Soil Moisture Determination by Frequency and Time Domain Techniques, Chad Antle, M.S. (March 1997).

The Use of Time Domain Reflectometry (TDR) to Determine and Monitor Non-Aqueous Phase Liquids (NAPLs) in Soils, Nabil Quafisheh (March 1997)

Study of Permeability of Pavement Base Materials, Rawee Tangpithakkul, M.S. (March 1997)

Wetland Pollutant Removal Effectiveness and Mitigation Related to Ohio Highways, Daniel G. Hall, M.S. (August 1996).

Permeability Reduction in Landfill Drainage Layer - Effect of Carbonate Materials, Chun Lei Wang, M.S. (March 1995).

Application of Permeability Similitude Method to Centrifuge Test, Young-Tae Song, M.S. (August 1994).

Permeability Variation Due to Clogging in a Simulated Landfill Drainage Layer, Ibrahim Mohammed, M.S. (August 1994).

Clogging of the Drainage Blanket of a Landfill, Reddy Nandela, M.S. (June 1992).

Clogging of the Drainage Blanket of a Landfill Under Variable Hydraulic Gradient, Brad Eisenhart, M.S. (June 1992).

Structural Performance of Leachate Collection Pipes, Robert Kastner, M.S. (June 1992).

Direct Shear Testing of Geomembranes, Bangalore Shashikumar, M.S. (June 1992).

Kinetics of Iron Removal Using Potassium Permanganate and Ozone, Joseph M. Vercellotti, M.S. (June 1988).

Dissolution of Metal Ions in Home Water Supplies from Copper Plumbing Composed of Lead Soldered Joints, Keith Allen, M.S. (May 1987).

A Gamma Radiation Dose Model for Comparison of Normal Risk Between Alternative Routes for Highway Transportation of Radioactive Materials and Wastes, Guy R. Wilson, M.S. (December 1985).

Water Supply Treatment Technologies in Developing Countries - Application to Nepal, Arun K. Ranjitkar, M.S. (May 1985).

Physical-Chemical Treatment of Copper Wastewaters, Joaquin V. Henriquez, M.S. (May 1985).

Removal of Color from Groundwater Using Powdered Ion Exchange Resins, Ahmed A. Kahn, M.S. (May 1982).

Ultrafiltration of Spent Stillage for Water Reuse and By-Product Recovery, Nolis Cezair, M.S. (December 1981).

Color Removal from Groundwater by Ion Exchange and Sludge Recycle Techniques, Bobby Ficquette, M.S. (December 1981).

Application of Ion Exchange and Ultrafiltration for Removal of Color from Groundwater, Keith J. Lowery, M.S. (May 1981).

An Evaluation of Lime Treatment and Sand Drying Beds for Upgrading Stabilization Pond Effluent, James Taylor, M.S. (August 1980).

M.S. DESIGN PROJECTS DIRECTED (Non-Thesis Requirement for Master of CE)

Computer Program for Soil Erosion and Sediment Control, Hulugundi N. Dwarakanath, M.S. (March 1996).

Water Usage in Mississippi by Public Water Supplies, Lee Jones, MSCE (December 1983).

A Practical Guide for the Hydraulic Design of Rural Water Systems, William Wall, MSCE (December 1983).

COURSES TAUGHT

Undergraduate

Mississippi State University

CE 3803	Water Supply
CE 3801	Water and Wastewater Treatment Laboratory
CE 3813	Wastewater Treatment and Disposal
CE 4833	Sanitary Engineering Comprehensive
CE 5993	Environmental Policy and Control
EE 2121	Networks I Laboratory
EM 2113	Statics

Ohio University

ET 180	Problem Solving for Engineers
--------	-------------------------------

ET 280	Engineering and Technology: An Overview
CE 220	Statics
CE 450	Water Treatment
CE 451	Wastewater Treatment
CE 452	Water and Wastewater Analysis
CE 453	Solid/Hazardous Waste Management
CE 491B	Senior Design - Environmental/Water Resources

Graduate

Mississippi State University

CE 8803	Unit Processes and Operations in Sanitary Engineering I
CE 8802	Unit Processes and Operations in Sanitary Engineering Laboratory
CE 8811	Sanitary Engineering Seminar
CE 8843	Water Treatment Plant Design
CE 8933	Industrial Waste Treatment

Ohio University

CE 553	Solid/Hazardous Waste Management
CE 555	Advanced Water Treatment
CE 556	Advanced Wastewater Treatment
CE 559	Surface Water Quality Modeling
CE 691	Civil Engineering Seminar

COURSES DEVELOPED

Undergraduate

CE 5993	Environmental Policy and Control
---------	----------------------------------

Graduate

CE 553	Solid/Hazardous Waste Management
CE 559	Surface Water Quality Modeling
CE 691	Civil Engineering Seminar
CE 750	Design of Water Treatment Facilities
CE 751	Sludge Treatment Processes
CE 752	Industrial Waste Treatment

SHORT COURSES (Developed and Taught)

Water Treatment Plant Design for Mississippi Engineering Society

Water Laboratory for Mississippi Water and Pollution Control Operators

Review for the PE Exam for Waterways Experiment Station

WORKSHOPS

Workshops Developed and Taught

Teleconference for Implementing Proper Erosion and Sediment Controls, One-day training, Broadcast live via satellite to five ODOT districts, Ohio University (January 12, 1995).

Implementation of Proper Erosion and Sediment Control Practices Training Session, Bowling Green, Ohio; Cleveland, Ohio; New Philadelphia, Ohio; Waverly, Ohio (February/March 1994).

Training of Rural Officials - Environmental Management, Solid Waste Management, Ohio University Branch Campuses - Lancaster, Chillicothe, and Belmont, W.K. Kellogg Foundation (August 1988-January 1990).

Workshops/Short Courses Attended

ASEE Outcomes Assessment, Washington, DC (October 1997).

Transportation Research Board Mid-Year Workshop, Waste Management in Transportation, Austin, Texas (August 2-3, 1995); Asheville, North Carolina (July 28-31, 1997).

Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) Laboratory Standards, Ohio University, Athens, OH (September 20, 1995).

Erosion and Sediment Control, Short Course, 1991 International Erosion Control Association Conference, Orlando, Florida (February 20, 1991).

Hazardous Waste, Workshop sponsored by the American Water Works Association (June 19, 1988).

A Short Course on KYPIPE, PIPEVIEW and RPP, University of Kentucky (May 9-11, 1988).

Workshops Attended with Participants Competitively Selected (stipend received)

Faculty Institute - Organic Pollutants in Water, Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, Illinois (July 16-20, 1979).

FEMA-NASPAA Public Administration Faculty Workshop on Emergency Management, NETC, Emitsburg, Maryland (May 20-June 2, 1984).

Workshops Organized

Improving the Structural Performance of Highway Pavements, Co-Organizer, The Granville Inn, Granville, Ohio (May 30-31, 2001).

The Ohio Strategic Highway Research Program Specific Pavement Studies (OH-SHRP SPS) Test Road Pavement Instrumentation Workshop, Co-Organizer, The Delaware Hotel, Delaware, Ohio (June 17-19, 1996).

Ohio Backcalculation Training Course, Co-Organizer, Ohio University (October 20-22, 1993).

CONFERENCES

Conferences Organized and Chaired

International Conference on Highway Pavement Data, Analysis and Mechanistic Design Applications, Co-organizer, Hyatt on Capitol Square, Columbus, OH (September 7-10, 2003)

High Performance Concrete Pavement Joint Sealant, Granulate Slag PCC, and Doweled Pavement Experiments Open House and Workshop, Co-organizer, Ohio University Inn, Athens, OH (August 15-16, 2000).

Early Lessons from the SHRP SPS-1 and SPS-2 Pavements Conference, Co-organizer and Chair, Holiday Inn-Worthington, OH (November 1-3, 1999).

Mid-West Civil Engineering Department Heads/Chairs Annual Conference, Organizer and Chair, Ohio University Inn, Athens, OH (March 4-5, 1999).

Midwest Concrete Consortium, Co-organizer, Athens, Ohio (April 1998).

Structural Performance of Pipes '98, Organizer and Conference Chair, Stocker Center, Ohio University, Athens, Ohio (March 22-24, 1998).

International Erosion Control Association, Chair, Technical Program, 27th Annual Conference, Seattle, Washington (February 27-March 1, 1996).

The Ohio Strategic Highway Research Program Specific Pavement Studies (OH-SHRP SPS) Test Road Open House, Co-organizer, Columbus Marriott North, Columbus, Ohio (August 21-22, 1995).

Structural Performance of Pipes '93, Organizer and Conference Chair, Holiday Inn-Worthington, Ohio (March 14-17, 1993).

Conference on Flexible Pipes, Organizer and Conference Chair, Great Southern Hotel, Columbus, Ohio (October 21-23, 1990).

American Society of Civil Engineers - Mississippi Section, Program and Organizing Chair (1981).

Significant Conference Activities

National Research Council Conference on Environmental Research, Invited Participant, Washington, DC (November 13-17, 1996).

International Erosion Control Association 26th Annual Conference, Chair, Continuing Education, Atlanta, Georgia (February 1995); 25th Annual Conference, Chair, Continuing Education, Reno, Nevada (February 1994).

Seventh Annual Baker Peace Conference, *Global Environment, Global Security*, Ohio University, Chair, Focus Session on Energy (April 18-20, 1990).

Mississippi Academy of Science Annual Conference, Conference Program Chair (1980, 1981).

National/Regional Meetings Participated (recent)

ASCE Department Heads Executive Committee, Houston, TX (October 10-14, 2001), Albuquerque, New Mexico (June 22-24, 2001)

Midwest Civil Engineering Department Chair Heads Meetings, Columbus, OH (March 2001) and Ames, IA (March 2000)

International/National Conferences Attended (recent)

International Erosion Control Association, 32nd Annual Conference, Las Vegas, NV, (February 2001), 31st Annual Conference, Palm Springs, CA (February 21-25, 2000), 30th Annual Conference, Nashville, TN (February 1999).

International Landscape Conference, Leura NSW Australia (October 16-20, 2000)

CEREMONIES

Planner/organizer/Master of Ceremonies, Ribbon Cutting Ceremony for the Accelerated Pavement Load Facility, Lancaster, Ohio (June 11, 1997).

Planner/organizer/Master of Ceremonies, Groundbreaking Ceremony for the Accelerated Pavement Load Facility, Lancaster, Ohio (May 10, 1996).

BROCHURES/VIDEOS

Ohio Research Institute for Transportation and the Environment: video (1998), portfolio (1998-1999).

The Ohio Strategic Highway Research Program Specific Pavement Studies Test Road - Instrumentation Plan brochure (1995).

The Ohio-Strategic Highway Research Program Specific Pavement Studies Test Road open house brochure (1995).

The Ohio Strategic Highway Research Program Specific Pavement Studies Test Road brochure (1994).

Center for Geotechnical and Environmental Research - Research, Technology Transfer and Training brochure (1994).

BOARDS

International Erosion Control Association, Board of Directors (one of nine member board), elected by over 1,000 membership (1999-2002, 1996-1999, 1993-1996).

Ohio Environmental Protection Agency Advisory Board of Examiners for Certification of Water and Wastewater Operators (1998-2002) (appointed by the Ohio Director of EPA).

COMMITTEES

International

International Erosion Control Association: Continuing Education (1993-1996); Committee on Standards, Standards Liaison (1996-2002); Economic Research Committee (1998-present).

National

American Society of Civil Engineers, Committee on Curriculum and Accreditation, (2003-present)

American Society of Civil Engineers, Department Heads Council, Executive Committee, (1999-2004)

American Society of Civil Engineers, National Research Policy Committee, (2001-2004)

American Society of Civil Engineers, Task Force on Scholarship Landscape in Civil Engineering (1997-1998)

American Society for Testing Materials, Committee D18.25

Transportation Research Board-Committee on Soils and Rock Instrumentation, (A2K01)(2003-present)

Transportation Research Board - Committee on Waste Management in Transportation (Group 1, Section F, A1F07) (1997-present)

Transportation Research Board - Task Force on Waste Management in Transportation (A1F51) (1995-1996)

State

Education Committee of Flexible Pavements, Columbus, OH (May 13, 1997).

University - Ohio University

Five Year Review of the Edison Biotechnical Institute (2001-2002)
Five Year Review of the Institute for Nuclear and Particle Physics (Winter/Spring 2001)
Baker Fund (1988-1990)
Board of Trustees, Annual Performance Evaluation of the President (June 12, 1997).
Educational Policy Committee (1987-1988)
Finance Committee (1988-1990)
Five Year Review of the Center for Intelligent Chemical Instrumentation (Spring 1997)
Five Year Review Committee(1987-1988)
Search Committee for Director of Innovation Center and Technology Transfer (1990)
Space Advisory Group (1996-1997)
Space Utilization and Management Committee (1991-1992)
University Colloquium (1993-1994)
University Curriculum Council (1987-1988)
University Post Doctoral Fellowship Award Committee (1992-1993)
University Research Award Committee Reviewer (1992-1993)

Fritz J. and Dolores H. Russ College of Engineering and Technology - Ohio University

Administrative Council (1995-present)
Cooperative Education Committee (1986-1987), Chair (1987-1993), (1999-present)
Deans' Evaluation Committee (1987, 1993), Chair (1991)
ENT Lecture Series (1988-1990), Chair
ET 280 Committee (1988-1989)
Executive Council (1996-present)
Graduate Committee (1987-1995)
Ph.D. Integrated Engineering Steering Committee (1990-1995, 1998-present)
Stocker Endowment Fund Committee (1992-1994)
Stocker Enrichment Fund Committee (1987-1990)
Strategic Planning Committee (1996-1998)

Department of Civil Engineering - Ohio University

ABET Committee, Chair (1997-1999)
Curriculum Committee (1996-present)
Graduate Committee (1987-1989), Chair (1990-1995)
Promotion and Tenure Committee (1989-1995)

University - Mississippi State University

Commission on the Status of Women (1980-1982)
Network for Reentry Women (1982)
Residency Appeals Committee (1985-1986), Chair
Search Committee for Vice President for Student Affairs (1984-1985)
Student Evaluation of Teachers Committee (1981-1983)
Who's Who Committee (1982-1983)
Women's Week Committee (1980)

College of Engineering - Mississippi State University
Cooperative Education Committee (1980-1984, 1986-1987)
Engineering Faculty Council (1980-1982, 1985-1986)
Engineering Self-Study Committee (1983)

Mississippi Academy of Science
Constitutional Committee (1983-1984), Chair
Nominating Committee (1980-1981)
Special Committee (1979-1980)

Public Service
City of Athens, Chamber of Commerce, Legislative Affairs, Ohio University, Sampling
and analysis of swimming pool water (1990-1995)

OFFICES

American Society of Civil Engineers - Alternate Representative 1999-2000; elected Representative to the
National Department Heads/Chairs Council - Executive Committee (2000-present)

American Society of Civil Engineers - Mississippi Section
Program Chair Winter Meeting (1981)

EAC/ABAET Evaluator for ASCE

College of Engineering Elected Senator to Ohio University Faculty Senate (1987-1990)

International Erosion Control Association, Elected Board of Directors (1993-1996) (1996-1999) (1999-
2002)

Vice President for Technical (1996-2001)
Chair, Technical Program for annual conference (1995-1996)
Treasurer (1995-1996), Secretary/Treasurer (1994-1995)

Mississippi Academy of Science
State Annual Program Chair (1980-1982)
Chair, Physics and Mechanical, Electrical and Civil Engineering Division (1979-1980)

Mississippi Engineering Society
President Elect (1986-1987) (stepped down from office since moved from state)
Vice President, Region 3 (1983-1986)
General Chair, MES Winter Meeting (1983)
State Chair, Professional Engineers in Education (1982-1983)

Mississippi Water and Pollution Control Operators Association, Inc.
Member of Board of Examiners (1984-1986)

Phi Kappa Phi
Executive Board Member, Ohio University Chapter (1994-1995)

Sigma Xi, National
Member Southeast Nominating Committee (1985-1986)

Society of Women Engineers

MAL Region C Representative (1983-1985)
Member at Large Representative (MAL) (1980-1982)

Tombigbee Chapter of Mississippi Engineering Society
Director (1982-1983)
President (1981-1982)
President Elect (1980-1981)
Secretary/Treasurer (1979-1980)

Teruhisa Masada

Associate Professor of Civil Engineering (Fall 2001 – Present).

Highest Degree: Ph.D. in Integrated Engineering Program (1996)
Russ College of Engineering & Technology, Ohio University.

Relevant Experience: Assistant Professor of Civil Engineering (1995-2001), Ohio University.

Consulting Engineer at Bowser-Morner Associates, Inc. (1986-1989),
Dayton, Ohio.

Recent Journal “Peaking Deflections of Flexible Pipe During Initial Backfilling.” Publications:
Journal of Transportation Engineering, American Society of Civil
Engineers (ASCE), *In Press*, Reston, VA. (submitted in May 2005;
accepted in Nov. 2005).

“Inspection and Risk Assessment of Concrete Culverts Under Ohio’s
Highways.” ASCE Journal of Performance of Constructed Facilities, *In Press*,
Reston, VA. (submitted in July 2005; accepted in Nov. 2005).

“New Inspection and Risk Assessment Methods for Metal Culverts Under Ohio
Highways.” Transportation Research Board, Accepted for Presentation at TRB
2006 Annual Meeting, *In Press*, Reston, VA. (submitted in July 2005; accepted
for presentation in Oct. 2005; accepted for publication in Feb. 2006).

Closure to Discussion on “Field Performance and Analysis of Large Diameter
HDPE Pipe Under Relatively Deep Soil Fill” by ?, Journal of Geotechnical &
Geoenvironmental Engineering, American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE), *In
Press*, Reston, VA.

“Field Performance and Analysis of Large Diameter HDPE Pipe Under
Relatively Deep Soil Fill.” Journal of Geotechnical & Geoenvironmental
Engineering, American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE), Volume 131, No. 1,
January 2005, Reston, VA, pp. 39-51.

“Field Demonstration Test on Construction and Strength of Flexible Pipe
Drainage System Using Flowable Fill.” Journal of ASTM International
(JAI), Volume 1, Issue 6, June 2004.

“The Use of Soil Stiffness Gauge (SSG) in Thermoplastic Pipe
Installation.” Journal of Transportation Engineering, American Society
of Civil Engineers (ASCE), Volume 130, No. 6, 2004, pp. 768-776.

“Evaluation of CPT-Based Settlement Prediction Method for Shallow
Foundations on Cohesionless Soils at Highway Bridge Construction
Sites.” Journal of Geotechnical & Geoenvironmental Engineering,

American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE), Vol. 129, No. 10, New York, 2003, pp. 900-908.

“Soil Arching Above Deeply Buried Thermoplastic Pipe.” Transportation Research Record, No. 1849, National Research Council, Washington, D.C., Dec. 2003, pp. 109-123.

“1050-mm (42-in) Diameter, Profile-Wall HDPE Pipes Under Deep Soil Cover: Comparisons Between Field Performance Data and Analytical Predictions.” Transportation Research Record, No. 1814, National Research Council, Washington, D. C., 2002, pp. 186-196.

“Soil Pressure Measured at Various Fill Heights Above Deeply Buried Thermoplastic Pipe.” Transportation Research Record, No. 1770, National Research Council, Washington, D. C., 2001, pp. 227-235.

“Modified Iowa Formula for Vertical Deflection of Buried Flexible Pipe Problem.” Journal of Transportation Engineering, ASCE, Vol. 126, No. 5, New York, 2000, pp. 440-447.

“Performance of Large Diameter HC-HDPE Pipe Under Highway Embankment.” Canadian Geotechnical Journal, Vol. 37, No. 5, 2000, pp. 1099-1108.

“Spread Footing Foundation for Highway Bridge Applications.” Journal of Geotechnical & Geoenvironmental Engineering, ASCE, Vol. 129, No. 10, New York, 1999, pp. 373-382.

Other Recent Publications:

“Further Use of Spread Footing Foundations for Highway Bridges (State Job No. 14747).” Flagship Project Information Document (requested by ODOT R & D Office), Feb. 2006.

“An Improved Solution for Pipe Stiffness of Flexible Pipe Specimen Measured by ASTM D-2412.” Proceedings of ASCE Pipelines 2006, ASCE, July 2006, Chicago, Illinois.

“New Inspection and Risk Assessment Methods for Highway Metal Culverts in Ohio.” Proceedings of 2006 TRB Annual Meeting, January 2006, Washington, D.C.

“Peaking Deflection of Flexible Thermoplastic Pipe.” Proceedings of ASCE Pipelines 2005, ASCE, Aug. 2005, Huston, Texas.

“Basic Rheology Study of Highway-Grade Asphalt Concrete Material.” Proceedings of Geo-Frontiers, ASCE, Jan. 2005, Austin, Texas.

“Mechanistic Properties of Pavement Materials Utilized in Ohio.” Proceedings of International Conference on Highway Pavement Data, Analysis & Mechanistic Design Applications, Sept. 2003, pp. 131-142.

“Material Properties for Implementation of Mechanistic-Empirical (M-E) Pavement Design Procedures.” Final Report to Ohio Department of Transportation (ODOT), Nov. 2003.

“Field Demonstration Test on Construction & Strength of Flexible Pipe Drainage System Using Flowable Fill.” ASTM STP 1459 (Innovations in Controlled Low-Strength Material), Second International Symposium, June 2003, Denver, Colorado, ASTM International, pp.115-126.

“Thermoplastic Pipe Deep Burial Project in Ohio – Initial Findings.” Proceedings of the ASCE 2003 Pipelines Conference, Baltimore, Maryland, August 2003, pp. 1,288-1,301.

“Field Verification of Structural Performance of Thermoplastic Pipe Under Deep Backfill Conditions.” Final Report to Ohio Dept. of Transportation, Sept. 2002.

“Measured Structural Performance of HDPE Pipe Installed in CLSM-CDF.” Proceedings of the ASCE 2002 Pipelines Conference (CD-ROM Disk), Cleveland, Ohio, August 2002.

“Laboratory Characterization of Materials & Data Management for Ohio-SHRP Projects (U.S. 23).” FHWA/OH-2001/07, Final Report to Ohio Dept. of Transportation, Jan. 2002.

“Construction of a Flexible Pipe System Using CLSM.” FHWA/OH-2001/08, Final Report to Ohio Dept. of Transportation, 2001.

“Variability of Initial Subgrade Modulus at Ohio-SHRP Test Road.” ASTM STP 1384, 2000, pp. 274-289.

Honors & Awards:

Best Teacher Award – CE Dept. (2005)
Best Researcher Award – CE Dept. (2004)
Best Research Paper of Civil Engineering (2003)
Best Researcher Award from Civil Eng. Dept. (2002)
Best Research Paper of Civil Engineering (2002)
Best Research Paper of Civil Engineering (2000)
Best Research Paper of Civil Engineering (1999)

Research Paper “Soil Arching over Buried Thermoplastic Pipe” received the Group 2 Practical Paper Recognition at 2003 TRB Annual Meeting

Research Project “Further Use of Spread Footing Foundations” Selected as a Flagship Project by ODOT R&D Office, Feb. 2006

Seminars Taught: “Cone Penetration Test (CPT).” A 2-Hour Seminar for Ohio EPA Engineers, Columbus, Ohio, 2002.

“Standard Penetration Test (SPT) and Cone Penetration Test (SPT).” A 3-Hour Seminar for Ohio EPA Engineers, Worthington, Ohio, July 19, 2001.

Research Projects: Risk Assessment & Update of Inspection Procedures for Culverts, (Last 6 Years) \$343,129, Research Project for Ohio Department of Transportation, Dec. 2002 to Dec. 2004.

Material Properties for Implementation of M/E Pavement Design Procedures, \$142,570, Research Project for Ohio Department of Transportation, March 2002 to March 2004.

Further Use of Spread Footings for Highway Bridges in Ohio, \$143,328, Research Project for Ohio Department of Transportation, May 2000 to June 2005.

Other Projects: Field Load Testing of Leaching Chamber Products, \$ 22,500, Project (Last 6 Years) Performed for Peninsula Plastics Co. (Auburn Hills, MI), July 2004.

Use of Pressure-Sensitive Film Sensor in Geotechnical Engineering, Initial Concept Development, 2004-2005.

Development of New Formula for Predicting Peaking Deflections of Flexible Pipe Due to Initial Backfilling Process, Theoretical Work, 2003-2005.

Mathematical Modeling of Cone Penetration Process in Sand, Theoretical Investigation, 2004-2005.

Rheological Study of Highway-Grade Asphalt Concrete Material, Theoretical Investigation w/ Ph.D. Student (Basel Abdalla), 2004-2005.

External Proposals: “Field Inspection and Testing of Thermoplastic Pipe Culverts in (Last 6 Years) Pennsylvania.” Proposal submitted to ADS, \$ 27,000, March 2006 (will be funded).

“Problem Statement – Rehabilitation of Large-Diameter Pipe Culverts Under Highways.” Feb. 22, 2006 (status unknown).

“Problem Statement – Field Performance of Highway Thermoplastic Pipe Cross-Drains.” Jan. 2006 (status unknown).

“Problem Statement – Field Installation Methods for Various Pipe Types.” Jan. 2006 (status unknown).

“Proposal – PE Pipeline Project at Columbus Zoo.” Jan. 2006 (status unknown).

“Performance and Quality Control of Corrugated Pipe Manufactured with Recycled Polyethylene Content,” Comprehensive Research Proposal for NCHRP Project No. 4-32. \$248,567, Co-writers Thomas S. Walsh (Polymer Scientist) & Kevin White (Consultant Engineer, Ex-DOT Official), April 7, 2005 (Declined; but we may be paid to perform a portion of the proposed work).

“Shear Strength of Embankment Soils in Ohio,” Full Research Proposal Submitted to Ohio Department of Transportation, \$300,000, Co-writer Lindsay S. Bryson, Sept. 2004 (Fully Funded).

“Verification of ODOT’s Load Rating Analysis Program for Culvert,” Full Research Proposal Submitted to Ohio Department of Transportation, \$235,212, Co-writer Eric Steinberg, Sept. 2004 (Declined).

“Monitoring of Bridge Abutment Walls @ S.R. 33 Over E. State St. – Athens,” Research Proposal Submitted to Ohio Department of Transportation, \$19,854, Nov. 2004 (Fully Funded).

“Field Load Test of Leaching Chamber Products.” Field Test Proposal Submitted to Peninsula Plastics Co., \$22,500, May 2004 (Fully Funded).

“Field Load Test of Reinforced Fitting Section of Corrugated Steel Pipe.” Field Test Proposal Submitted to Lane Enterprises, Inc., \$33,892, July 2003 (Declined).

“Risk Assessment and Update of Inspection Procedures for Culvert,” Full Research Proposal Submitted to Ohio Department of Transportation, \$343,129, Co-writers Gayle Mitchell, Shad Sargand, Jan. 2002 (Fully Funded).

“Further Use of Spread Footing Foundations,” Full Research Proposal Submitted to Ohio Department of Transportation, \$143,328, Co-writer Shad Sargand, Sept. 2000 (Fully Funded).

“Material Properties for Implementation of Mechanistic-Empirical (M-E) Pavement Design Procedures,” Full Research Proposal Submitted to Ohio Department of Transportation, \$142,570, July 2001 (Fully Funded).

Internal Proposals: Stocker Faculty Enrichment (SFE) Proposals for Various Professional Travels (1996 – 2005).

House-Bill Fund Proposals for CE Dept (2000 – 2006).

OU Research Challenge (RC) Proposal, “Laboratory Visualization of Cone Penetration Process.” \$6,500, Jan. 2004 (Denied).

Memberships: American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE), Geo-Institute (under ASCE), International Geosynthetics Society (IGS), American Concrete Institute (ACI).

Graduate Students: Graduated 5 M.S. students & 1 Ph.D. student.

Courses Taught: CE220 (statics); CE222 (strength of materials); CE223 (strength of materials laboratory); CE370 (geotechnical engineering); CE371 (soils laboratory); CE574 (advanced soils laboratory), CE653 (environmental geotechnology I); and CE853 (environmental geotechnology II).

Committees:

National Level –	ASCE Shallow Foundations Committee. Reviewer for ASCE J. of Transp. Engineering.
University Level –	CRSCA (2001 - 2004).
College Level –	Scholarships & Recruitment Committee Stocker Fund Planning & Advisory Committee Integrated Ph.D. Steering Committee Stocker Faculty Enrichment Fund (SFEF) Graduate Chair Committee
Dept. Level –	Scholarship & Awards Committee (Chair) Promotion & Tenure (P & T) Committee Annual Review (ARAC) Committee Geotechnical Curriculum ABET 2000 Search Committee for Eng. Mechanics Position Laboratory & Equipment Committee

Others:

Informed during 2005 that some major cities in Canada may be using the vertical deflection formula developed by Masada (2000).

Assisted Prof. Taijiro Nonaka (1st Visiting Professor from Chubu University), Summer 2003.

Collaborated in Research with Prof. Yanni of Damascus University (Syria) on publishing a journal paper, 2002.

ISSAM S. KHOURY

Ohio University · 116 Stocker Center · Athens, Ohio 45701 · (740) 593-0010

E-Mail: khoury@ohio.edu

WORK EXPERIENCE

Ohio University Athens, Ohio
September 1994 - Present

- Research Engineer, Ohio Research Institute for Transportation and the Environment
Responsibilities include supervision, management and direction of Highway and other research projects for the Ohio Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration, and other state and private agencies involving, construction, instrumentation and analysis of these projects. Responsibilities also include interaction with state agencies, private organizations and contractors to improve and enhance the long term performance of highway pavements.

Ohio University Athens, Ohio
August 1993 - August 1994

- Staff Research Engineer, Center for Geotechnical and Environmental Research
Responsibilities included preparation of technical proposals, and development of technical software for data collection and analysis

Ohio University Athens, Ohio
August 1990 - August 1993

- Executed two highway joint performance research projects for the department of Civil Engineering in conjunction with the Ohio Department of Transportation. Included instrumentation, field installation and analysis of different types of dowel bars used for load transfer in concrete pavement.
- Assisted in the instrumentation and testing of plastic pipe for ODOT, Advanced Drainage Systems Inc., and Waste Management Inc.

EDUCATION

Ohio University Athens, Ohio
1990 - 1993

- Master of Science Degree in Civil Engineering
Thesis title: "Load Transfer Mechanism in Rigid Pavement"

**The Ohio State University
Graduate School**, Columbus,
Ohio
1989 - 1990

- Studied: Advanced Structural Analysis, Prestressed and Postensioned Concrete, Finite Element Method.

The Ohio State University
Columbus, Ohio
1985 - 1989

- Bachelor of Science Degree in Civil Engineering
Areas of concentration include: Structural Analysis, Steel Beam Design, Reinforced Concrete slab design, Construction Estimating and Pricing, Construction Methods, Performance and Quality Control

SPECIAL QUALIFICATION

Certifications

- Registered Professional Engineer, State of Ohio
- Certified by the state in handling hazardous waste
- Certified in the operation of Cone Penetrometer Truck
- Fluent in English, Arabic and French

Languages

Computer Skills

- Versed in the operation of all Windows, UNIX and Macintosh Operating Systems.

-
- Software Expertise includes multiple programming languages, all Office suites, AutoCAD and other professional software.
 - Create and Maintain Web Site and server for ORITE.

RECENT PUBLICATIONS

- Evaluation of Pavement Joint Performance, Job No. 14474(0) Final Report for the Ohio Department of Transportation and the Federal Highway Administration.
- Development of an Instrumentation Plan for the Ohio SHRP Test Pavement (DEL-23-17.48), Job No. 14573(0).
- Coordination of Load Response Instrumentation of SHRP Pavements- Ohio University, Report No. FHWA/ODOT-99/099.
- Effectiveness of Base Type on the Performance of PCC Pavement on ERI/LOR 2, Interim Report, Job No. 14652(0) for the Ohio Department of Transportation and the Federal Highway Administration.
- Instrumenting Ohio Test Pavement, Transportation Research Record 1596, published for the annual Transportation Research Board meeting in Washington D.C.
- Sensor Installation in Rigid Pavement, Society of Experimental Mechanics, Experimental Techniques. Paper selected as an outstanding paper published and awarded the D.R. Harting Award.

PROFESSIONAL AFFILIATIONS

- Member of Chi Epsilon, Civil Engineering Honor Society
- Member of local and national ASCE Chapters

References Available Upon Request

William F. Edwards
Research Engineer
Ohio University

Education:

Bachelor of Civil Engineering, Ohio State University, 1962
Master of Civil Engineering, Ohio State University, 1970

Experience:

1971 – 1997 Ohio Department of Transportation
1988-1997 Administrator of the Office of Research and Development
1997 to present – Retired from ODOT and currently serve as Research Engineer at Ohio University, manage the Accelerated Pavement Load Facility (APLF) in Lancaster

Affiliations

Member, TRB Committee A2B09 “Committee on Full Scale/Accelerated Pavement Testing”
Member, NCHRP Panel 10-66 “Predicting In-Service Performance of Flexible Pavements from Accelerated Pavement Testing”

Registration

Professional engineer in the State of Ohio

Nancy L. Covington

Position Sought

Communications Coordinator for ORITE and the Civil Engineering Department at Ohio University

Related Accomplishments

- Coordinated creation of a brochure promoting conferences hosted by the National Science Teachers Association
 - Researched content and obtained photographs
 - Wrote promotional copy
 - Worked with a graphic artist and production staff on brochure layout
 - Solicited printer bids and managed brochure publication
- Wrote user guides for Oracle database applications supporting Office of Naval Research (ONR) business processes
 - Researched and documented business processes to support staff training, system analysis, and user documentation
 - Wrote content, illustrated guides with screen shots, and formatted guides for printing
 - Designed, developed, and maintained web versions of documentation
- Developed online help for a web-based application enabling electronic proposal submission to ONR
- Maintained a release information database
- Wrote and edited for publication summaries of conferences held by the National Science Foundation and National Research Council
 - Using presentation materials and conference proceedings, helped two presenters write papers for publication
- Edited technical papers, technical proposals, requirements documents, newsletters, handbooks, web page content, evaluations of grant proposals, a school curriculum outline, and product test plans
- Wrote and edited summaries of NASA Space Station Freedom configuration control board proceedings

Experience

- 1999-2005** **Technical Writer and Documentation Specialist, RS Information Systems, Inc.**
at the Office of Naval Research, Arlington, VA
- 1997-1998** **Assistant (volunteer), Legal Services of North-Central Alabama,**
Huntsville, AL Dec. 1997 -Mar. 1998
- 1995-1999** **Technical Writer/Editor, Creative Options, Washington, DC**
Clients include the following:
- RS Information Systems, Inc. Jan-Feb 1999
 - Armed Forces Journal, International Nov. & Dec. 1998
 - Aspen Systems Jul.-Aug. 1998
 - KSA Group Jun. 1998
 - MCI May-Aug. 1997
 - EDS May-Nov. 1996

- Computer Sciences Corporation May-Sep. 1995
- 1994-1998** **Writer/Editor, Advantage Temporary Services, Inc.**, Falls Church, VA
 Clients include the following:
- CACI Sep. 1998
 - Houston Associates Inc. Jul. & Sep. 1998
 - Computers and Concepts Associates Dec. 1996-Mar. 1997
 - National Science Teachers Association Sep. 1994-Jan. 1995
- 1993-1999** **Freelance Technical Writer/Editor**, Arlington, VA
 Clients include the following:
- National Science Foundation (as subcontractor to another consultant)
 - National Research Council of the National Academies (as subcontractor)
 - Document Management Industries Association
- 1989-1993** **Technical Writer/Editor, Space Station Freedom Configuration Management Documentation, Barrios Technology, Inc.**, Reston, VA
- 1988-1989** **Freelance Research Consultant, Space Station History Project and Smithsonian Museum of American History, Photographic History**, Washington, DC

Software

- Expert knowledge of Microsoft Word XP and 2000
- Microsoft Excel XP and 2000, PowerPoint XP and 2000, and Visio 2000
- Macromedia Dreamweaver MX v. 7.0 and Ultradev 4
- eHelp RoboHelp HTML 2002
- HTML 4.01 (basic coding using any editor tool, e.g., Microsoft Notepad, Macromedia HomeSite)
- Adobe Photoshop 7.0 and MS Photo Editor (basic knowledge)
- Adobe Acrobat 6.0 Professional
- Open Text Livelink 9.2.0 (document management system)

Education

Duke University, Durham, NC
 A.B., cum laude, History. Dean's List

Brown University, Providence, RI
 Courses toward a Master of Arts in Teaching. *Merit Scholarship*

Northern Virginia Community College
 Courses in French and Mathematics

University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA
 Archaeology Field School