TRANSPORTATION POOLED FUND PROGRAM QUARTERLY PROGRESS REPORT

Date: December 31, 2014					
Lead Agency (FHWA or State DOT):	_ India	ına DOT			
INSTRUCTIONS: Project Managers and/or research project invequarter during which the projects are active. I each task that is defined in the proposal; a pethe current status, including accomplishments during this period.	Please provide rcentage comp	e a project schedule stat pletion of each task; a co	us of the research activities tied to oncise discussion (2 or 3 sentences) of		
Transportation Pooled Fund Program Project # (i.e. SPR-2(XXX), SPR-3(XXX) or TPF-5(XXX)		Transportation Pooled Fund Program - Report Period:			
		☐ Quarter 1 (January 1 – March 31)			
<u>TPF 5-253</u>		☐ Quarter 2 (April 1 – June 30)			
		☐ Quarter 3 (July 1 –	- September 30)		
		X Quarter 4 (October 1 – December 31)			
Project Title: Evaluation of Member Level Redundancy in	n Built-up Ste	el Members			
Name of Project Manager(s): Tommy E. Nantung	Phone Number: (765) 463-1521 ext. 248		E-Mail tnantung@indot.in.gov		
Lead Agency Project ID:	Other Project ID (i.e., contract #):		Project Start Date: 9/1/2011		
Original Project End Date: 8/31/2014	Current Project End Date: 7/31/2016		Number of Extensions: None		
Project schedule status:					
\square On schedule X On revised sched	ule	☐ Ahead of sched	dule		
Overall Project Statistics:					
Total Project Budget	Total Cost to Date for Project		Percentage of Work Completed to Date		
\$700,000	\$450,521		70%		
Quarterly Project Statistics:					
Total Project Expenses	Total Project Expenses Total Amo		Total Percentage of		

9.3%

100%

					_	
TPF Program	Standard	Ouartarly	Danarting	Format -	_0/2N11	(rovicad)

\$65,167

Project description:

The objective of this research project is to quantify the redundancy possessed by built-up members. For example, a riveted built-up member will not typically "fail" if one of the components fractures. However, there is very little experimental data which is available to quantify the remaining fatigue life or strength of a member in which one of the components has failed. Furthermore, if built-up members are located in bridges classified as fracture critical, when significant member redundancy can be shown the bridge may not need to be classified as FC. However, doing so would release these members from the more rigorous arms-length inspection currently required. As a result, should a component fail, it may go undetected for an extended interval. Thus, a portion of the project is devoted to setting rational inspection intervals for these members. Lastly, the advantages of using built-up members fabricated with HPS components fastened using HS bolts in new construction will also be explored.

Progress this quarter (includes meetings, work plan status, contract status, significant progress, etc.):

- Successfully fractured bottom cover plate of Specimen 36-4
- Completed fatigue test of Specimen 36-4 at stress range of 8.9 ksi in the 'failed' state (with bottom cover plate fractured). Specimen reached runout value of 12,000,000 cycles with no cracks found in any other components (both flange angles, upper cover plate, and web plate).
- Performed quasi-static testing of Specimen 46-5 for out-of-plane bending with an asymmetrical net cross section.
- Preparation of Specimen 30-1 for fracture test using thicker cover plate.
- Continued work on FE analysis. FE models using built-up riveted plates have been created and are being compared with experimental data.
- Initial FE models for parametric study are being developed.
- Initiated fabrication of tensile testing frame.

Anticipated work next quarter:

- Finish fabrication of Specimen 30-1 with 1" cover plate to test larger energy release during fracture event.
- Continue FE model development and validation with experimental results.
- Continue FE parametric study.
- Receive tensile testing frame and begin erection.
- Receive repaired MTS actuator (failed seals) for West test setup.

Significant results:

During the past quarter, the major steps forward included:

- 1. Two additional specimens were tested.
- 2. Submission of tensile testing frame design for fabrication.
- 3. Continued FE analysis.

Circumstance affecting project or budget. (Please describe any challenges encountered or anticipated that might affect the completion of the project within the time, scope and fiscal constraints set forth in the agreement, with recommended solutions to those problems).

Potential Implementation:

Working with T-18 to develop specification language for implementation of results into MBE for riveted members subjected to flexure