TRANSPORTATION POOLED FUND PROGRAM QUARTERLY PROGRESS REPORT

Lead Agency (FHWA or State DOT):	<u>FHWA</u>				
INSTRUCTIONS: Project Managers and/or research project inveguarter during which the projects are active. He each task that is defined in the proposal; a pet the current status, including accomplishments during this period.	Please provide rcentage comp	a project schedule stat pletion of each task; a co	us of the research activities tied to oncise discussion (2 or 3 sentences) of		
Transportation Pooled Fund Program Proje	ect #	Transportation Poole	ed Fund Program - Report Period:		
		□Quarter 1 (January	1 – March 31) 2013		
TDE.5(164)		☐Quarter 2 (April 1 – June 30) 2013			
TPF-5(164)		√Quarter 3 (July 1 – September 30) 2013			
		□Quarter 4 (October 1 – December 31) 2013			
Project Title: Fish Passage in Large Culverts with Low Flow	/S				
Name of Project Manager(s): Kornel Kerenyi	Phone Number: (202) 493-3142		E-Mail kornel.kerenyi@fhwa.dot.gov		
Lead Agency Project ID:	Other Project ID (i.e., contract #):		Project Start Date:		
Original Project End Date:	Current Project End Date:		Number of Extensions:		
Project schedule status:					
$$ On schedule \square On revised schedule	☐ Ahead of schedule ☐ Behind schedule				
Overall Project Statistics:					
Total Project Budget	Total Cost to Date for Project		Percentage of Work Completed to Date		
Quarterly Project Statistics:					
Total Project Expenses	Total Amount of Funds		Total Percentage of		
and Percentage This Quarter	Expende	d This Quarter	Time Used to Date		

Project Description:

A primary objective of this aspect of the fish passage study is to determine the local velocities and flow distributions in corrugated metal pipes and pipe arches. This information is proposed for use to supplement the guidance in the publication FHWA- NHI 01-020 Hydraulic Design of Highway Culverts, Hydraulic Design Series No. 5. Conventional open-channel culvert hydraulics provides the tools and software needed to compute the average velocity of flow at any culvert cross-section for higher flows, given the culvert shape, roughness, slope and boundary conditions. In order to more accurately evaluate the ability of fish to traverse corrugated metal culverts, it is desirable to look at the changes in the local average velocity of the flow adjacent to the culvert wall under low flow conditions. Other studies have documented the tendency of fish to seek out a swimming location with the lowest velocity of flow. The location of lowest velocity can generally be found immediately adjacent to the culvert wall.

The specific objectives of this task order are to develop local average velocity design charts for various hydraulic conditions in support of the "Fish Passage in large Culverts for low Flows" study, which will be incorporated into the FHWA publication HEC-26 "Culvert Design for Aquatic Organism Passage".

Progress this Quarter (includes meetings, work plan status, contract status, significant progress, etc.):

• Refined roughness simulation scheme was developed and carried out. The permeability of the gravel is considered with proper layout of the roughness elements.





Figure 1 Roughness simulation

 After thorough discussion, a CFD testing scheme was determined. A matrix of various flow and embedment conditions was produced. CFD simulations were then carries out accordingly.

Table 1 Test result

Туре	Run ID	V (m/s)	Depth	D ₅₀	Composite n (CFD)	Composite n (HEC26)
No	C8F00V1D1	0.305	0.20	0	0.072	0.034
Embedment						
	C8F00V1D2	0.305	0.39	0	0.038	0.034
	C8F00V1D3	0.305	0.61	0	0.035	0.034
	C8F00V2D1	0.914	0.20	0	0.052	0.034
	C8F00V2D2	0.914	0.39	0	0.042	0.034
	C8F00V2D3	0.914	0.61	0	0.035	0.034
	C8F00V3D1	0.457	0.20	0	0.053	0.034
	C8F00V3D2	0.457	0.39	0	0.038	0.034
	C8F00V3D3	0.457	0.61	0	0.034	0.034
	C8F00V4D1	0.610	0.20	0	0.050	0.034
	C8F00V4D2	0.610	0.39	0	0.039	0.034
	C8F00V4D3	0.610	0.61	0	0.035	0.034
Full-pipe, No	C8F00V1	0.30	2.44	0	0.033	0.034
Embedment						

15% Diameter	C8F15V1D1P1	0.30	0.20	0.022	0.033	0.034
Embedment						
	C8F15V1D2P1	0.30	0.39	0.022	0.031	0.032
	C8F15V1D3P1	0.30	0.61	0.022	0.028	0.032
	C8F15V1D1P2	0.30	0.20	0.022	0.026	0.029
	C8F15V1D2P2	0.30	0.39	0.010	0.026	0.029
	C8F15V1D3P2	0.30	0.61	0.010	0.024	0.029
	C8F15V1D1P3	0.30	0.20	0.010	0.038	0.038
	C8F15V1D2P3	0.30	0.39	0.034	0.039	0.035
	C8F15V1D3P3	0.30	0.61	0.034	0.039	0.034
30% Diameter	C8F30V1D1P1	0.30	0.20	0.034	0.035	0.034
Embedment						
	C8F30V1D2P1	0.30	0.39	0.022	0.027	0.032
	C8F30V1D3P1	0.30	0.61	0.022	0.026	0.031
	C8F30V1D1P2	0.30	0.20	0.022	0.035	0.028
	C8F30V1D2P2	0.30	0.39	0.010	0.021	0.028
	C8F30V1D3P2	0.30	0.61	0.010	0.026	0.029
	C8F30V1D1P3	0.30	0.20	0.010	0.033	0.038
	C8F30V1D2P3	0.30	0.39	0.034	0.028	0.035
	C8F30V1D3P3	0.30	0.61	0.034	0.028	0.034
Composite n	C8F15V1D1P3	0.30	0.20	0.034	0.038	0.038
with Velocity						
	C8F15V2D1P3	0.91	0.20	0.034	0.038	0.038
	C8F15V3D1P3	0.46	0.20	0.034	0.038	0.038
	C8F15V4D1P3	0.61	0.20	0.034	0.038	0.038

Roughness of AOP with ledges for crawling are also modeled.

Table 2 Roughness with ledges for crawling

Embedment	Run ID	D ₅₀	τ _w (Pa)	V (m/s)	n
15% Pipe	C8F15E1V1P4T1	0.058	0.906	0.305	0.029
Diameter					
Embedment					
	C8F15E1V1P4T2	0.000	0.791	0.305	0.027
	C8F15E1V1P4T3	0.058	0.972	0.305	0.030
	C8F15E1V1P4T4	0.058	0.847	0.305	0.028
	C8F15E2V1P4	0.058	0.914	0.305	0.029
	C8F15E3V1D1P4	0.058	17.584	0.305	0.093
	C8F15E3V1D2P4	0.058	8.310	0.305	0.067
	C8F15E3V1D3P4	0.058	4.852	0.305	0.053

Anticipated work next quarter:

- Amend previous report with new data.
- Circulate the report again for potential further comments.

Significant Results:

Necessary data for formulating Manning's roughness coefficient.

Circumstance affecting project or budget. (Please describe any challenges encountered or anticipated that might affect the completion of the project within the time, scope and fiscal constraints set forth in the agreement, along with recommended solutions to those problems).

Potential Implementation:

Additional design aids that may be incorporated into FHWA HEC-26 "Culvert Design for Aquatic Organism Passage".