## TRANSPORTATION POOLED FUND PROGRAM QUARTERLY PROGRESS REPORT

Lead Agency (FHWA or State DOT): _	IOWA D	ОТ	
INSTRUCTIONS: Project Managers and/or research project inve- quarter during which the projects are active. F each task that is defined in the proposal; a per the current status, including accomplishments during this period.	Please provide centage compl	a project schedule statu letion of each task; a co	is of the research activities tied to ncise discussion (2 or 3 sentences) of
Transportation Pooled Fund Program Project # <i>TPF-5(367)</i>		Transportation Pooled Fund Program - Report Period: x Quarter 1 (January 1 – March 31, 2021) Quarter 2 (April 1 – June 30, 2021) Quarter 3 (July 1 – September 30, 2021) Quarter 4 (October 4 – December 31, 2021)	
Project Title:			
Dynamic Evaluation and Design of Prefabrio			
Project Manager: Ahmad Abu-Hawash	<b>Phone:</b> 239-1393	E-ma	Ⅱ: abu-hawash@dot.iowa.gov
Brian Worrel	239-1393		orrel@dot.iowa.gov
Project Investigator: Sri Sritharan	<b>Phone:</b> 294-5238	<b>E-ma</b> sri@ia	nil: astate.edu
Lead Agency Project ID:	Other Project Addendum 6	ct ID (i.e., contract #):	Project Start Date: 6/15/17
Original Project End Date: 9/30/18	Project End 5/31/21	Date:	Number of Extensions: Pooled fund project – yearly budgets
X On schedule	le 🗆 /	Ahead of schedule	☐ Behind schedule
Overall Project Statistics:			
Total Project Budget	Total Cos	t to Date for Project	Total Percentage of Work Completed
\$75,000		\$59,850	82%
Quarterly Project Statistics:			
Total Project Expenses	Total Am	ount of Funds	Percentage of Work Completed

1%

\$0

**Project Description:** Iowa State University researchers have developed precast concrete barriers that can be rapidly implemented. This initial research was funded by the Accelerated Bridge Construction-University Transportation Center (ABC-UTC) housed at Florida International University, who leads the ABC-UTC university consortium. The research project considered two different barriers to deck connection details that were designed and tested under quasi static loads to understand the load distribution and evaluate the connection performance. The first connection utilizing inclined reinforcing bars promotes durability and reparability but its initial cost is higher than the second alternative. The second connection that utilizes U-shaped reinforcing bars for connecting the precast barriers to the bridge deck is durable and cost effective, but replacement cost will be higher than the first alternative.

The scope of work outlined below in task form builds upon the results of the ABC-UTC research project noted above (to be noted for this proposed Pool Fund Plan as Phase I). It is noteworthy that there have been prior presentations/discussions with the AASHTO Subcommittee on Bridges and Structures (SCOBS T-04) and with the Transportation Research Board Subcommittee on ABC (the parent committee is AFF00) regarding the proposed work, and both groups support the need for the work and have endorsed the general scope of work outlined below.

Task 1:	Review of ABC-UTC Project (Phase I) and Finalize Details for Two Precast Barrier
	Concepts for Dynamic Evaluation and Development of Design Methodology

- Task 2: Conduct Numerical Modeling and LS-DYNA Simulation using Phase-I data
- Task 3: Perform Impact Load Investigation on Two Prototype Designs
- Task 4: Refine of Designs based on outcomes of from Task 3
- Task 5a: Perform Full-Scale Crash Tests on a Concrete Barrier-Deck Subassembly for Loads Corresponding to TL-4 and TL-5
- Task 6: Calibrate Numerical Models
- Task 7: Complete Parametric Study and Design Optimization
- Task 8: Development Design, Construction and Implementation Guidelines
- Task 9: Conduct Life-Cycle Performance and Cost Analysis

## Progress this Quarter (includes meetings, work plan status, contract status, significant progress, etc.):

The testing team conducted a series of analyses based on the feedback received from the Project Advisory Panel during the last meeting and presented it to the project PI. Since the testing targets a larger load representing 10000S, it has become clear that the tie-down rods must be of 75 ksi and that the bars be located at a reduced spacing than previously thought. With this plan, the testing team is planning the crash test and the details will be presented to the Advisory panel during the next meeting. Based on the feedback received thus far, the test will be performed on a single slope barrier through the revised analyses indicates that the near-vertical may experience larger forces.

## Anticipated work next quarter:

Develop a plan for the crash test in compliance with the test facility protocol and finalize the experimental details. Construction of the test unit is also be expected to be scheduled.

## Significant Results:

A series of results performed on a single slope barrier confirming the need to use 75ksi tie-down bars at 24 in. spacing instead of 30 in. spacing to limit the damage during a crash test involving a 10000S vehicle.