

TRANSPORTATION POOLED FUND PROGRAM QUARTERLY PROGRESS REPORT

Date: December 31, 2017

Lead Agency (FHWA or State DOT): Indiana DOT

INSTRUCTIONS:

Project Managers and/or research project investigators should complete a quarterly progress report for each calendar quarter during which the projects are active. Please provide a project schedule status of the research activities tied to each task that is defined in the proposal; a percentage completion of each task; a concise discussion (2 or 3 sentences) of the current status, including accomplishments and problems encountered, if any. List all tasks, even if no work was done during this period.

Transportation Pooled Fund Program Project # <i>(i.e. SPR-2(XXX), SPR-3(XXX) or TPF-5(XXX))</i> <u>TPF 5-253</u>	Transportation Pooled Fund Program - Report Period: <input type="checkbox"/> Quarter 1 (January 1 – March 31) <input type="checkbox"/> Quarter 2 (April 1 – June 30) <input type="checkbox"/> Quarter 3 (July 1 – September 30) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Quarter 4 (October 1 – December 31)	
Project Title: Evaluation of Member Level Redundancy in Built-up Steel Members		
Name of Project Manager(s): Tommy E. Nantung	Phone Number: (765) 463-1521 ext. 248	E-Mail tnantung@indot.in.gov
Lead Agency Project ID:	Other Project ID (i.e., contract #):	Project Start Date: 9/1/2011
Original Project End Date: 8/31/2014	Current Project End Date: 7/31/2016	Number of Extensions: One

Project schedule status:

On schedule
 On revised schedule
 Ahead of schedule
 Behind schedule

Overall Project Statistics:

Total Project Budget	Total Cost to Date for Project	Percentage of Work Completed to Date
\$725,000*	\$693,656	97%

Quarterly Project Statistics:

Total Project Expenses and Percentage This Quarter	Total Amount of Funds Expended This Quarter	Total Percentage of Time Used to Date
\$16,398	2.3 %	100%

Reflects budget increase due to partner states fulfilling commitments

Project description:

The objective of this research project is to quantify the redundancy possessed by built-up members. For example, a riveted built-up member will not typically “fail” if one of the components fractures. However, there is very little experimental data which is available to quantify the remaining fatigue life or strength of a member in which one of the components has failed. Furthermore, if built-up members are located in bridges classified as fracture critical, when significant member redundancy can be shown the bridge may not need to be classified as FC. However, doing so would release these members from the more rigorous arms-length inspection currently required. As a result, should a component fail, it may go undetected for an extended interval. Thus, a portion of the project is devoted to setting rational inspection intervals for these members. Lastly, the advantages of using built-up members fabricated with HPS components fastened using HS bolts in new construction will also be explored.

Progress this quarter (includes meetings, work plan status, contract status, significant progress, etc.):

- AASHTO T18 has voted (at October mid-year meeting) to move the Proposed Guide Specifications forward for the 2018 SCOBS meeting in Burlington VT.
- Continued with FEA parametric studies for axially loaded members
- Continued development of ballot-ready Guide Specification for consideration for 2018 SCOBS meeting
- Continued on development of step-by-step examples to provide guidance on how to use the proposed Guide Specifications.

Anticipated work next quarter:

- Continue working on parametric studies associated with axial members.
- Complete testing of lower chords from the Winona Truss.
- Complete development of Proposed Guide Specifications.

Significant results:

During the past quarter, the major steps forward included:

1. Gained additional support from AASHTO T-18 to prepare ballot item related to specifications for evaluating internal redundancy in built up members.
2. Design and testing of the third axially loaded specimen.

Circumstance affecting project or budget. (Please describe any challenges encountered or anticipated that might affect the completion of the project within the time, scope and fiscal constraints set forth in the agreement, with recommended solutions to those problems).

Potential Implementation:

Working with T-18, T-14, and FHWA to develop specification language for implementation of results into MBE for riveted members subjected to flexure. Draft AASHTO-ready specification language has been prepared and the RT will continue to work with AASHTO to move the research into practice.