#### Pooled Fund Study Project TPF-5(054) SDDOT Project SD2002 – 18 Development of Maintenance Decision Support System Phase IV SECOND QUARTERLY PROGRESS REPORT April - June, 2006

#### Overview

The second quarter of Phase IV mainly focused on activities related to the conclusion of the Demonstration Field Test for Year 2 (DFT-2), including analysis of events from the DFT-2, and early preparations for the Field Deployment Transition (FDT) scheduled to take place in the winter of 2006-07.

In addition, tasks relating to the addition of New Hampshire as a full study participant in the FDT were begun. Initial interviews and familiarization sessions were originally scheduled for May, but historic flooding caused these meetings to be postponed and rescheduled for early September. In order to begin preparations ahead of these meetings, user surveys have been sent to program participants, and Meridian currently awaits their return.

The remainder of this report will address the major tasks of this quarter, following the outline of the Phase IV Work Plan.

# Implement version 2.0 of the PFS MDSS in state agency offices in individual and multi-state test regions as determined by the Technical Panel and evaluate its performance during 2005-06 winter operational maintenance activities (Task 1)

Full operational and technical support for the DFT-2 continued through April 15, after which the infrastructure remained in place for the software to continue operating as needed using a lower level of support. Post-season debriefings have been conducted with each test participant, and surveys have been completed by each. These results are being compiled and summarized, and such summary will appear in the DFT-2 technical report. A draft of this report is currently being prepared, and will be available for review during the third quarter.

### Identify required additional research necessary to continue the enhancement of MDSS within an operational environment (Task 2)

During the DFT-2, over a dozen intensive field case studies were conducted across the PFS-MDSS domain by both Meridian staff and DOT observers. A large volume of data has been collected from these cases, including MDSS forecast data, photographic and subjective observations, camera imagery, as well as NWS and RWIS observations. A

limited number of cases also included chemical samples collected by DOT observers. A summary of these cases will appear in the DFT-2 technical report.

Meridian is currently conducting studies on these cases, with the goal being improvement of the MDSS modeling process, as well as identification of observational and technology gaps which need to be filled for more thorough studied and better operational performance. These findings, including the identification and explanation of the current gaps, will be summarized in a second technical report, a draft version of which will be delivered in the third quarter.

## Prepare for the Field Deployment Transition (FDT) to be conducted during the winter of 2006-07 (Task 3)

The process has begun to make changes to the MDSS software, and document the software, in preparation for transition to a deployment phase. This transition is scheduled to occur during the 2006-07 season. The focus of development during this transition will be toward a stable release version intended for general release to a large group of operational users outside of the test project. A third technical report will be generated in quarter three outlining the software changes related to this transition and the detailed plan for the FDT.

### Perform scientific validation of observed weather variables and comparison with input variables to the PFS MDSS (Task 4)

Much of this task is conducted in parallel with that in Task 2. That is, the observational data involved in the field studies will be used to make conclusions about the performance of the MDSS. The results of these studies will be included in the technical report referenced in Task 2, along with an analysis of the current gap in observed weather which needs to be filled to improve performance.

## Perform an assessment of the validity, acceptance, utilization and operational requirements of MDSS within State DOT winter maintenance practices (Task 5)

As part of the case studies involving Meridian Staff, MDSS users were observed in realtime, and an analysis was made as to their acceptance and utilization of the system, as well as to the validity and the operational requirements of the system. These findings were certified through the post-season interviews, resulting in a complete picture of the current state of MDSS from a user-perspective. These data are generally summarized in the DFT-2 report, and findings that show areas where improvement is needed will be noted in the development plan for the FDT.

### Develop a strategy to transition the MDSS PFS to a broader state DOT audience and full deployment (Task 6)

As the MDSS begins to approach maturity, and state and local agencies experience increased awareness of the benefits of MDSS through word-of-mouth by current users and extensive promotion by FHWA, the demand for an operational version of MDSS is growing rapidly. Meridian has noted requests for MDSS services, either in demonstration mode or limited operational mode, in several Requests for Proposals. As such, a business model needed to be developed, including marketing, licensing, and pricing issues. Such a business model requires balancing the needs of both Meridian and the agencies participating in the PFS to protect the best interests of both.

After much discussion at previous Technical Panel meetings, and with the encouragement of the panel, Meridian has developed a business plan that it feels accounts for all these needs in the best interests of all stakeholders. Such a plan includes aggressive marketing of the PFS/Meridian MDSS solution as the standard Maintenance Decision Support System. It includes fair and standard licensing fees for those not involved in the PFS, and includes reasonable pricing for implementation of the system that can be applied to agencies of varying sizes, such as cities, counties, states, or regional consortiums.