

**TRANSPORTATION POOLED FUND PROGRAM
QUARTERLY PROGRESS REPORT**

Lead Agency (FHWA or State DOT): **FHWA Office of Technical Services Resource Center P&M TST**

INSTRUCTIONS:

Project Managers and/or research project investigators should complete a quarterly progress report for each calendar quarter during which the projects are active. Please provide a project schedule status of the research activities tied to each task that is defined in the proposal; a percentage completion of each task; a concise discussion (2 or 3 sentences) of the current status, including accomplishments and problems encountered, if any. List all tasks, even if no work was done during this period.

Transportation Pooled Fund Program Project # <i>(i.e., SPR-2(XXX), SPR-3(XXX) or TPF-5(XXX))</i> <p style="text-align: center;">TPF 5(063)</p>		Transportation Pooled Fund Program - Report Period: <input type="checkbox"/> Quarter 1 (January 1 – March 31) <input type="checkbox"/> Quarter 2 (April 1 – June 30) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Quarter 3 (July 1 – September 30) <input type="checkbox"/> Quarter 4 (October 1 – December 31)	
Project Title: “Improving the Quality of Pavement Profiler Measurement”			
Name of Project Manager(s): Robert L. Orthmeyer		Phone Number: (708) 283-3533	E-Mail Robert.orthmeyer@dot.gov
Lead Agency Project ID: FHWA OTS RC P&M TST		Other Project ID (i.e., contract #): DTFH61-10-D-00013 DTFH6311P00082 DTFH6312P00049	Project Start Date: May 2003
Original Project End Date: September 2008		Current Project End Date: September 2014	Number of Extensions: Two

Project schedule status:

On schedule
 On revised schedule
 Ahead of schedule
 Behind schedule

Overall Project Statistics:

Total Project Budget	Total Cost to Date for Project	Percentage of Work Completed to Date
\$2,832,000	\$1,643,222	85%

Quarterly Project Statistics:

Total Project Expenses and Percentage This Quarter	Total Amount of Funds Expended This Quarter	Total Percentage of Time Used to Date
0	\$35,497.54	88%

Project Description:

Participating Agencies: California, Colorado, Connecticut, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Mississippi, Nevada, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Pennsylvania, **Rhode Island**, South Dakota, Texas and Wisconsin. FHWA offices include: Federal Lands, LTPP, the Office of Technical Services Resource Center and the Office of Pavement Technology (HIPT).

1. Guiding Principles

The goal of the IPQ Pooled-Fund Study (IPQ Study) is to assemble states and the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) to (1) identify data integrity and quality issues with inertial profilers; (2) suggest approaches to addressing identified problems; (3) initiate and monitor projects intended to address identified problems; (4) disseminate results; and (5) assist in solution deployment.

2. Scope

The IPQ Pooled-Fund Study is intended to serve as a forum for the participants to identify and address operational issues that are common among various inertial profilers. The Study will focus on quality of data issues that arise from the use and operation of inertial profilers. Within these broad topic areas, the following are offered as examples issues that might be addressed within the intended scope:

- Implementation of American Association of State and Highway Transportation Officials (AASHTO) Provision Protocols for Inertial Profilers.
- Inertial profiler certification procedures.
- Establishing a reference profile.
- Certification course(s).
- Operator procedures and training i.e. NHI Course 131100 "Pavement Smoothness: Factors Affecting Inertial Profiler Measurements Used For Construction Quality Control".
- Components: i.e. Accelerometers.
- Software i.e. FHWA ProVAL – "Profile Viewer and Analyzer Software".
- System performance monitoring, evaluation, and reporting.
- Contracting and procurement practices and issues.
- The use of inertial profilers for construction quality control and quality assurance as per Title 23 Code of Federal Regulations Section 637.205.
- Bridging Filters.

The following is a list of TAC approved priorities as of September 2012:

1. Reference Profile Device (development of)
 - a. Benchmark Testing – first round completed with a second round scheduled for 2013.
 - b. Reference Device – first round completed with a second round scheduled for 2013.
2. Critical Profile Accuracy Requirements (definition) – Completed report is on the TPF 5(063) website.
3. Construction Acceptance and Correction Software (ProVAL: www.roadprofile.com) – Ongoing
4. Regional Validation Sites – Currently being undertaken by the TAC.
5. Evaluating Upper Limits of Single Accelerometer and Single Height Sensor –Phase II has been completed.
6. Emerging Technology That Enhances Profile Measurement
 - a. Automated Faulting Measurement – completed.
 - b. Low Speed and Urban IRI Measurement – contract has been awarded.
 - c. Ride quality index at different speeds – being undertaken by NCHRP.
7. Support for Road Profiler User's Group (RPUG).

Progress this Quarter (includes meetings, work plan status, contract status, significant progress, etc.):

The TAC met face-to-face in San Antonio, TX as part of the RPUG workshop with 20 SHA's able to attend along with several guests and FHWA participants. After reviewing the report cards from the potential reference device evaluations that were conducted in May, the study group concluded that we needed to continue our efforts at accomplishing Priority Number 1 – Build a Reference Device. A tentative continuation was proposed for three more years with the group meeting in the next quarter via a webinar to discuss a budget.

Priority Three: Another set of enhancements has been proposed for the software. An update to the ProVAL software to develop version 3.5 with enhancements to provide additional mapping capabilities was submitted to the contractor.

ProVAL software version 3.4 is available at the www.roadprofile.com website. Transtec has included the Optimum Weigh-in-motion Locator (OWL) module into ProVAL version 3.2 along with Automated Faulting Module (AFM) assisted by Florida DOT and Mississippi DOT.

Anticipated work next quarter:

A webinar will be conducted to discuss the study continuation and a review of a proposed budget.

Priority One: Evaluation of the data collected at MnROAD will continue with final report in October.

Priority Three: Task Order will be awarded for additional ProVAL enhancements related to mapping.

Priority Four: Regional Calibration/Verification Sites - this study was awarded to SME, Inc. and will be kicked off in October. This study is based on the efforts of the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC).

Priority Six: Review of FHWA Federal Lands study on measurement of ride quality for low volume and urban roads is due this quarter.

NCHRP 10-93 will kick off in October.

Significant Results: Accomplishments to Date:

Priority One: Benchmark testing tool to evaluate potential profiler reference devices; Evaluations have been completed and report cards are available.

Final report will be available on the web site. Although only one device is closest to qualifying reference device - the ICC SurPRO 3500. A SECOND ROUND OF EVALUATIONS HAS BEEN CONDUCTED in MAY 2013.

Priority Two: Critical Profile Accuracy Requirements study and report (see website for CPAR report); <http://www.pooledfund.org/Details/Study/280>

Priority Three: ProVAL software and support (www.roadprofile.com) that includes grinding simulation. New version 3.4 was released November 15, 2012.

Priority Five: First phase of understanding the limitations of a single accelerometer. Second phase final report has been completed and is awaiting a tech brief.

Priority Six: Automated Faulting Module was completed by December 15, 2010 and included in ProVAL software.

Priority Six B & C: NCHRP Study 10-93 has been funded – the SOW was developed by this study TAC. A contractor was selected and will be signed by the next quarter.

An award has been made to UMTRI for a study on how to measure ride at low speeds and in urban areas.

Circumstance affecting project or budget. (Please describe any challenges encountered or anticipated that might affect the completion of the project within the time, scope and fiscal constraints set forth in the agreement, along with recommended solutions to those problems).

Several delays have been encountered within FHWA processes involving contract awards.

States have delayed their commitment of funds to the study. This might be due to the new processes and forms that are involved and communication with their funding resources.

Potential Implementation:

1. Provide a pavement profiler reference device that assists Agencies with profiler certification and validation that all inertial profilers are collecting correct pavement profiles that can be used for ride quality indices.
2. Provide assistance with regional calibration/validation centers that would provide uniform quality data collection by inertial profilers. This would enhance confidence in nationwide reporting of ride quality for programs such as pavement management systems and FHWA Highway Performance Monitoring System (HPMS) and MAP-21 requirements.
3. Providing a standardized engineering tool - the Profile Viewer and Analysis (ProVAL) software - that removes the "black box" concept of understanding pavement profiles collected by inertial profilers. Users can import profiles from various file formats and save them in the Pavement Profile standard file type. Entire analysis projects can be saved, which preserves user information and analysis inputs. After analyses have been performed, the user can print a report of the original profiles and the results of any analyses performed. ProVAL has been adapted by many agencies around the world. www.roadprofile.com

Types of analyses that ProVAL can perform:

- Profile Editing (to manipulate profile data in many aspects including cropping and filtering);
 - Standard Ride Statistics, such as International Roughness Index (IRI), Half-car Roughness Index (HRI), Mean Roughness Index (MRI), and Ride Number (RN);
 - Fixed-Interval Ride Statistics (to report roughness indexes at a fixed interval);
 - Continuous Ride Statistics (to report roughness continuously with a sliding interval);
 - Power Spectral Density (PSD) (to view the wavelength or frequency content of profiles);
 - Profilograph Simulation (to simulate Profilograph traces, report Profilograph Indices, etc.);
 - Rolling Straightedge Simulation (to simulate Rolling Straightedge traces);
 - Cross Correlation (a powerful tool to synchronize profiles and to determine their repeatability);
 - Profiler Certification (a tool to produce repeatability tests and accuracy tests for profiler certification programs);
 - ASTM E 950 Precision and Bias (for classification of profilers based on the ASTM E-90 Spec); and
 - Smoothness Assurance Module (SAM) (to provide ride quality reports and improve smoothness from pavement grinding simulation).
4. Provide technical guidance on validity of using inertial profilers when using a single axis accelerometer.