TRANSPORTATION POOLED FUND PROGRAM QUARTERLY PROGRESS REPORT

Lead Agency (FHWA or State DOT): FHWA Office of Technical Services Resource Center P&M TST

INSTRUCTIONS:

Project Managers and/or research project investigators should complete a quarterly progress report for each calendar quarter during which the projects are active. Please provide a project schedule status of the research activities tied to each task that is defined in the proposal; a percentage completion of each task; a concise discussion (2 or 3 sentences) of the current status, including accomplishments and problems encountered, if any. List all tasks, even if no work was done during this period.

Transportation Pooled Fund Program Project # (i.e, SPR-2(XXX), SPR-3(XXX) or TPF-5(XXX)		Transportation Pooled Fund Program - Report Period:			
		□Quarter 1 (January 1 – March 31)			
TPF 5(063)		X Quarter 2 (April 1 – June 30)			
		\Box Quarter 3 (July 1 – 3	September 30)		
		□Quarter 4 (October 1 – December 31)			
Project Title: "Improving the Quality of Pavement Profiler Measurement"					
Name of Project Manager(s):	Phone Number:		E-Mail		
Robert L. Orthmeyer	(708) 283-3533		Robert.orthmeyer@dot.gov		
Lead Agency Project ID:	Other Project ID (i.e., contract #):		Project Start Date:		
FHWA OTS RC P&M TST	DTFH61-10-D-00013		May 2003		
Original Project End Date:	Current Project End Date:		Number of Extensions:		
September 2008	September 2	2014	Тwo		

Project schedule status:

□ On schedule

□ Ahead of schedule

□ Behind schedule

Overall Project Statistics:

Total Project Budget	Total Cost to Date for Project	Percentage of Work Completed to Date
\$2,832,000	\$1,587,300	75%

Quarterly Project Statistics:

Total Project Expenses	Total Amount of Funds	Total Percentage of
and Percentage This Quarter	Expended This Quarter	Time Used to Date
Currently Not Available	Currently Not Available	75%

X On revised schedule

Project Description:

Participating Agencies: California, Colorado, Connecticut, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Mississippi, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Pennsylvania, South Dakota, Texas and Wisconsin. FHWA offices include: Federal Lands, LTPP, the Office of Technical Services Resource Center and the Office of Pavement Technology (HIPT).

1. Guiding Principles

The goal of the IPQ Pooled-Fund Study (IPQ Study) is to assemble states and the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) to (1) identify data integrity and quality issues with inertial profilers; (2) suggest approaches to addressing identified problems; (3) initiate and monitor projects intended to address identified problems; (4) disseminate results; and (5) assist in solution deployment.

2. Scope

The IPQ Pooled-Fund Study is intended to serve as a forum for the participants to identify and address operational issues that are common among various inertial profilers. The Study will focus on quality of data issues that arise from the use and operation of inertial profilers. Within these broad topic areas, the following are offered as examples issues that might be addressed within the intended scope:

• Implementation of American Association of State and Highway Transportation Officials (AASHTO) Provision Protocols for Inertial Profilers.

- Inertial profiler certification procedures.
- Establishing a reference profile.
- Certification course(s).

• Operator procedures and training i.e. NHI Course 131100 "Pavement Smoothness: Factors Affecting Inertial Profiler Measurements Used For Construction Quality Control".

- Components: i.e. Accelerometers.
- Software i.e. FHWA ProVAL "Profile Viewer and Analyzer Software".
- · System performance monitoring, evaluation, and reporting.
- Contracting and procurement practices and issues.
- The use of inertial profilers for construction quality control and quality assurance as per Title 23 Code of Federal
- Regulations Section 637.205.
- Bridging Filters.

The following is a list of TAC approved priorities as of September 2011:

- 1. Reference Profile Device (development of)
- a. Benchmark Testing completed awaiting final report.
- b. Reference Device underway awaiting final report cards.
- 2. Critical Profile Accuracy Requirements (definition) Completed
- 3. Construction Acceptance and Correction Software (ProVAL: www.roadprofile.com) Ongoing
- 4. Regional Validation Sites On Hold for completion of Priority One.

5. Evaluating Upper Limits of Single Accelerometer and Single Height Sensor – Contractor is on board and Phase II has begun. (to be completed by April 30, 2011)

- 6. Emerging Technology That Enhances Profile Measurement
- a. Automated Faulting Measurement (under contract as part of ProVAL module).
- b. Urban IRI Measurement
- c. Ride quality index at different speeds
- 7. Support for Road Profiler User's Group

Progress this Quarter (includes meetings, work plan status, contract status, significant progress, etc.):

A statement of work (SOW) was developed for Priority Six - Validity of IRI at various speeds and was submitted to NCHRP process for part of the effort. The SOW was **approved by NCHRP** and will become a project in FY13. A separate SOW was developed by FHWA and the Federal Lands Highway Department to address measurement issues with low speed and urban roads. **An award** was made to the University of Michigan Transportation Research Institute to complete the study by August 2014

TPF Program Standard Quarterly Reporting Format – 7/2011

Priority One – Reference Device(s): A SOW has been developed and delivered to contracting office to conduct another round of evaluations of potential reference devices. **An award** was made by FHWA through an Indefinite Delivery Indefinite Quantity (IDIQ) contract to SME, Inc. with a subcontract with UMTRI to assist with the Benchmark Cart. It is anticipated that the next round of evaluations will occur during the September/October 2012 time frame.

Priority Three: Another set of enhancements has been proposed for the software that includes improvements sought by MS and TX. **An award** has been made to The Transtec Group, Inc. to develop version 3.4 with enhancements to address issues presented by FL & MS. ProVAL software version 3.3 is available at the www.roadprofile.com website. Transtec has the included the Optimum Weigh-in-motion Locator (OWL) module into ProVAL version 3.2 along with Automated Faulting Module (AFM) assisted by Florida DOT and Mississippi DOT.

Priority Five - Evaluating Upper Limits of Single Accelerometer and Single Height Sensor: The project has begun with Dr.'s Nicolas Gagarine and James Mekemson of Starodub, Inc. providing the research and report. The phase I report has been provided to FHWA. The second phase will quantify the aspects identified in the first phase report, provide a recommended alternative for uniform data collection at all times and was completed by October 30, 2011. The panel would like to see a Tech Brief developed for the study, a sensitivity analysis page and an upgrade to the software to allow the initial and final IRI calculation to be displayed.

Anticipated work next quarter:

Priority One: Finalize work on SOW for another round of evaluations for potential reference devices.

Priority Four: Regional Calibration/Verification Centers: A subcommittee was formed within the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) to provide guidance on how to proceed with this effort. A webinar will be held to develop process and direction for the group. Dave Huft from SDDOT developed and conducted a survey of SHA's related to need and use of a regional validation/certification center. The results will be presented at our face to face meeting in September.

Priority Five: Release of final report and study on the Limitations of a Single Axis Accelerometer.

Priority Six: Review of NCHRP Problem statement and update on status.

Face to face meeting in Minneapolis the last week in September.

Significant Results:

Accomplishments to Date:

Priority One: Benchmark testing tool to evaluate potential profiler reference devices; Evaluations have been completed and report cards are available.

Final report is available on the web site. Although only one device is closest to qualifying as a reference device - the ICC SurPRO 3500. SECOND ROUND OF EVALUATIONS HAS BEEN SCHEDULED FOR THE FALL OF 2012.

Priority Two: Critical Profile Accuracy Requirements study and report (see website for CPAR report);

Priority Three: ProVAL software and support (www.roadprofile.com) that includes grinding simulation. New version 3.3 was released on December 30th.

Priority Five: First phase of understanding the limitations of a single accelerometer. Second phase final report has been completed and is awaiting a tech brief.

Priority Six: Automated Faulting Module was completed by December 15, 2010 and included in ProVAL software.

Priority Six B & C: NCHRP Study 10-93 has been funded with a panel meeting to occur later In 2012.

An award has been made to UMTRI for a study on how to measure ride at low speeds And in urban areas.

Circumstance affecting project or budget. (Please describe any challenges encountered or anticipated that might affect the completion of the project within the time, scope and fiscal constraints set forth in the agreement, with recommended solutions to those problems).

Several delays have been encountered within FHWA processes involving contract awards.

States have delayed their commitment of funds to the study. This might be due to the new processes and forms that are involved and communication with their funding resources.

Potential Implementation:

- 1. Provide a pavement profiler reference device that assists Agencies with profiler certification and validation that all inertial profilers are collecting correct pavement profiles that can be used for ride quality indices.
- 2. Provide assistance with regional calibration/validation centers that would provide uniform quality data collection by inertial profilers. This would enhance confidence in nationwide reporting of ride quality for programs such as pavement management systems and FHWA Highway Performance Monitoring System (HPMS).
- 3. Providing a standardized engineering tool the Profile Viewer and Analysis (ProVAL) software that removes the "black box" concept of understanding pavement profiles collected by inertial profilers. Users can import profiles from various file formats and save them in the Pavement Profile standard file type. Entire analysis projects can be saved, which preserves user information and analysis inputs. After analyses have been performed, the user can print a report of the original profiles and the results of any analyses performed. ProVAL has been adapted by many agencies around the world.

Types of analyses that ProVAL can perform: Profile Editing (to manipulate profile data in many aspects including cropping and filtering); Standard Ride Statistics, such as International Roughness Index (IRI), Half-car Roughness Index (HRI), Mean Roughness Index (MRI), and Ride Number (RN); Fixed-Interval Ride Statistics (to report roughness indexes at a fixed interval); Continuous Ride Statistics (to report roughness continuously with a sliding interval); Power Spectral Density (PSD) (to view the wavelength or frequency content of profiles); Profilograph Simulation (to simulate Profilograph traces, report Profilograph Indices, etc.); Rolling Straightedge Simulation (to simulate Rolling Straightedge traces); Cross Correlation (a powerful tool to synchronize profiles and to determine their repeatability); Profiler Certification (a tool to produce repeatability tests and accuracy tests for profiler certification programs); ASTM E 950 Precision and Bias (for classification of profilers based on the ASTM E-90 Spec); and Smoothness Assurance Module (SAM) (to provide ride quality reports and improve smoothness from pavement grinding simulation).

4. Provide technical guidance on validity of using inertial profilers when using a single axis accelerometer.