

**QUARTERLY REPORT 1/1/2005 - 3/31/2005**  
**CENTER FOR TRANSPORTATION STUDIES**

---

**Project Title:** Toward A Multi-State Consensus on Rural Intersection Decision Support

**CTS Project #** 2004039

**Contract #** 81655

**Work Order #** 106

**Authorization Date:** 1/2/2004

**Funding Source:**

Mn/DOT

**Administrative Liaison:** Jim Klessig

**Technical Liaison:** Ray Starr

**Principal Investigator:** Max Donath

**Department:** Mechanical Engineering

**Phone Number** 612/625-2304

**E-Mail Address:** [donath@umn.edu](mailto:donath@umn.edu)

**Task Update**

**1 Project Management**

Project management involves coordinating state activities (between states participating in this pooled fund study and with the national IDS program), scheduling the driver interface workshop, and disseminating research results to participating states. Travel coordination and management for the kickoff, biannual project meeting, and design workshops will be handled by Mn/DOT.

**Deliverables:** Coordination of research and design workshop activities, results dissemination, and periodic project reporting. Pooled fund states will be kept informed of developments and results through task summary reports

**Task Budget** \$36,193.00

**Task Due Date (calculated):** 1/2/2007

**Date Delivered (reported by PI):**

**Task Approved:** No **Date Approved (CTS received task approval) :**

**Progress:** Held a project video conference on 18 January at the FHWA main office in St. Paul. Howard Preseton of CH2M Hill presented results of crash analyses performed for WI, and briefly discussed the North Carolina visit. WI data shows similar crash characteristics as MN, an interesting result.

Discussions with states led to the conclusion that most states are unlikely to permanently instrument an intersection to collect data to support long term analyses of driver behavior. Partner states are more interested in instrumenting and intersection and testing a fully operational IDS system. This turn of events has forced us to re-evaluate the program plan. To address the states' concerns, we have begun to look at the development of a portable system which can be deployed temporarily at intersections identified during the crash analysis phase each partner state. This portable system would allow us to characterize driver behavior in a number of locations to determine if regional differences exist in the acceptance of gaps at rural intersections. If differences do exist, they will be used to develop a driver interface which offers satisfactory performance in all regions throughout the US.

The portable surveillance system design will be proposed to partner states at the 20 April partners meeting in Rochester, MN.

**2 State Crash Analysis**

Crash analysis consists of two key components: the development of a methodology by which intersection crashes can be reviewed and the development of statistical models which relate the characteristics of a rural stop-controlled intersection to that intersection's crash experience. With respect to the former, relevant crash data was used to determine which crash configurations and intersection types lead to high frequency and severity of crashes. Intersections having crash rates higher than the critical rate were identified as potential candidates for intersection research. Further analysis led to the selection of a candidate experimental intersection. This work is complete in Minnesota; the report documenting this analysis is presently in press. The statistical models relating the characteristics of a rural stop-controlled intersection to that intersection's crash experience will be used to identify intersections which are atypically dangerous or safe. To also identify the characteristics associated with atypically high or low crash experiences, and ultimately to estimate the potential safety impacts of the proposed intersection decision support system. This work is still in progress. For member states analyses focused on identifying critical rural intersections using the critical crash rate and severity measure methodology will be performed by the Minnesota team. The Minnesota team will request specific crash information from the crash database in each state. The Minnesota team will then provide to each state a list of intersections with crash rates and severities above the critical level as well as a recommendation for the experimental intersection. In the even that some states lack particular data in their crash reporting/recording systems, modifications to the analysis developed for the national IDS project will be made to best compute similar statistics

**Deliverables:** Reports summarizing the rural intersection crash problem in each member state, a list of rural intersections with crash rates above the critical level, and a recommendation for an intersection to be instrumented and studied further. Techniques and methodologies developed for the national IDS project will be used to analyze state crash databases

**Task Budget** \$150,000.00

**Task Due Date (calculated):** 2/2/2005

## QUARTERLY REPORT 1/1/2005 - 3/31/2005

### CENTER FOR TRANSPORTATION STUDIES

---

Date Delivered (reported by PI):

Task Approved: No Date Approved (CTS received task approval) :

Progress: This work continues by Howard Preston and Richard Storm of CH2M Hill. The WI report has been finalized, and sent to WI. The North Carolina draft report has been completed, and is undergoing revisions. North Carolina shows crash characteristics similar to those in WI and MN.

MI data has been provided, and analysis continues. The MI crash database is considerably different than those in MN and WI, and some additional analysis techniques have been developed to address those differences.

Work will continue with other states as data is made available, and field trips can be arranged.

#### 3 Intersection Design Workshops

A key element of the rural IDS system is the driver-infrastructure interface, which will convey relevant intersection state data to the driver attempting to enter or cross the traffic stream. The goal of the IDS program is to develop a nationally deployable system. Design input from member states will be sought. Two interactions with the representatives from each member state are planned. The first interaction will be a design brief describing the proposed driver infrastructure interface(s). This design brief will be provided to each of the participating states; a review/critique of the proposal will be requested. Feedback provided by participants will be used to determine which interface(s) will be replicated in the HumanFIRST driving simulator. Once the interface design set has been defined, a workshop will be held for representatives of the participating states. In this workshop, participants will have the opportunity to experience the interface in the University of Minnesota HumanFIRST driving simulator. Participants again will have the opportunity to critique the interface, and provide design recommendations based on their experience. The final interface design will take into account the feedback produced by the design workshop. Once the design is "finalized," it will be tested under the national IDS contract in the HumanFIRST driving simulator to determine driver response and acceptance.

Deliverables: A prototype design drawing and specification for a rural IDS driver-infrastructure interface that will satisfy national constraints with respect to deployment, maintenance, and public and Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD) acceptance points of view.

Task Budget \$19,781.00

Task Due Date (calculated): 10/2/2004

Date Delivered (reported by PI):

Task Approved: No Date Approved (CTS received task approval) :

Progress: Nic Ward of the HumanFIRST program provided the state partners an update of the results of the simulator study at the 18 January 2005 videoconference. Another update is scheduled for the 20 April meeting to be held in Rochester, MN.

#### 4 State Intersections

Facilitate the construction of a data collection system for installation at experimental intersections in those states who wish to collect data regarding the behavior of its citizens at rural intersections and who wish to participate in the anticipated FHWA sponsored Field Operational Test of this IDS program. The instrumentation of these intersections will be a joint process between Minnesota and the participating states. The Minnesota team will provide intersection design guidance and assistance in bringing the intersection online. Each state will be responsible for the purchase of the surveillance equipment to be installed at each intersection, and the construction of the infrastructure needed to support the surveillance equipment. Instrumentation of test intersections in states other than Minnesota serves a number of purposes. First, data collected from multiple states can be used to determine whether regional differences in what gaps motorists accept and how they proceed through an intersection. Results here will indicate whether a single DII design will function throughout the US, or if the system will have to be modified to accommodate regional differences. Second, by having a network of rural instrumented intersections, states are well positioned to participate in an operational test of these rural intersection decision support systems. Inclusion of these state intersections will make the operational test truly a national endeavor, and the key first step to a nationally deployable system. This task consists of two phases: intersection design, and intersection build. SUBTASK 4.1: INTERSECTION DESIGN. The Minnesota team will travel to each state which chooses to instrument an intersection. The team will create a high accuracy geospatial database of the experimental intersection. A request will also be made to the state DOT to provide design, as-built, planimetric, digital terrain models (DTM), and photogrammetric data if such data is available. Once the data

Deliverables: Subtask 4.1 deliverable: A design document from which a test intersection data collection system can be built, and a parts list for the experimental intersection so necessary equipment may be purchased and subsequently installed. Subtask 4.2 deliverable: Technical support for installation and testing of the data collection system in each state.

Task Budget \$107,978.00

Task Due Date (calculated): 7/2/2005

Date Delivered (reported by PI):

Task Approved: No Date Approved (CTS received task approval) :

## *QUARTERLY REPORT 1/1/2005 - 3/31/2005*

### *CENTER FOR TRANSPORTATION STUDIES*

---

**Progress:** Depending on the outcome of the meeting on 20 April 2005, this task may change considerably. Because of the reluctance of the states to commit to deploying a permanent surveillance system at an intersection in their state, the development of a portable system which can be temporarily deployed in each state will be proposed on 20 April 2005. If states agree, the workplan will be amended to reflect this significant change.

#### **5 Intersection Data Collection**

Data from each of the state test intersections will be collected via a phone line from each intersection daily for subsequent analysis. To facilitate this data collection activity, a data server and data back up system must be procured and application specific software written. Provisions can be made to provide states with data from their experimental intersection. Once the system is designed, tested, and validated, data will be collected daily, archived, and backed up to assure data integrity. Data will be collected from the time an intersection is brought on-line until the project ends. On occasion as part of the data collection process, limited demographic data for the drivers making intersection entry decisions will likely be required. Each member state will be asked to collect this demographic data. The data collected will include age, gender, and time.

**Deliverables:** An operational remote data collection system with archival and data back-up capabilities. Data can be provided to each state if requested.

**Task Budget** \$45,837.00

**Task Due Date (calculated):** 9/2/2006

**Date Delivered (reported by PI):**

**Task Approved:** No **Date Approved (CTS received task approval) :**

**Progress:** This task has yet to commence. If states agree to the development and deployment of a portable system, data collected by that system will be analysed by U of MN personnel. The 20 April 2005 meeting will dictate the time at which this task will commence.

#### **5 Intersection Data Analysis**

Specific to this project will be the opportunity to determine whether statistically relevant regional differences exist in the gaps drivers accept and the trajectories taken to enter the mainline traffic stream. If differences are found to exist, quantification of these differences can be used to determine the degree to which the baseline rural IDS system needs to be modified to accommodate these differences. The data to be collected (and subsequently analyzed) is extensive. In addition to sensing the traffic approaching the intersection, traffic leaving the intersection will be sensed as well. By providing surveillance in this direction, collisions and near-misses will be captured, and will provide a baseline against which the IDS system can be evaluated when it is deployed. Vehicle identification systems located at each test intersection will provide macroscopic descriptions of vehicles entering the traffic streams; passenger vehicles will be distinguished from trucks, farm equipment, etc. If the resolution of the vehicle identification system is sufficient, it may be possible to distinguish large passenger vehicles from smaller vehicles, SUVs, pick-up trucks, etc. (Vehicle classification systems are under study as part of the Minnesota IDS study.) Sensors aimed at the crossroads will also record the trajectory of each vehicle as it leaves the minor road and enters the traffic stream. This information, combined with the vehicle classification data, will provide thorough behavioral models of gap acceptance and driver trajectories as a function of vehicle type.

**Deliverables:** A national database describing the behavior of drivers at rural expressway intersections. Analysis of the data collected at the state intersections will be used to determine whether statistically relevant regional differences exist regarding how drivers accept gaps and enter the traffic stream. If differences do exist, they will be quantified to determine which, if any, modifications to the DII and the algorithms which trigger it need to be modified to accommodate these regional differences. A report summarizing the results of the data analysis, and quantification of regional differences in driver behavior will also be provided.

**Task Budget** \$70,713.00

**Task Due Date (calculated):** 1/2/2007

**Date Delivered (reported by PI):**

**Task Approved:** No **Date Approved (CTS received task approval) :**

**Progress:** Not yet begun.

***Future Plans:*** Move forward with the design of the portable system if partner states agree upon this approach.

***Problems Encountered/Actions Taken:*** At the 18 January 2005 meeting, partner states indicated a hesitancy to commit to deploying a permanent rural intersection surveillance system. In response to this finding, we have begun to look into the design of a single portable system which can collect driver behavior data at select rural intersections. Initial findings and results will be presented at the 20 April meeting, and if met with a positive response, work towards this end will continue.