# TRANSPORTATION POOLED FUND PROGRAM QUARTERLY PROGRESS REPORT

Lead Agency (FHWA or State	DOT):	Kansas DOT			
INSTRUCTIONS: Lead Agency contacts should comp Please provide a project schedule s completion of each task; a concise encountered, if any. List all tasks, o	tatus of the discussion (	e research activities tie (2 or 3 sentences) of th	d to each task that is one current status, inclu	defined in th	e proposal; a percentage
Transportation Pooled Fund Program Project #			Transportation Pooled Fund Program - Report Period:		
TPF-5(503)			☐ Quarter 1 (January 1 – March 31)		
			☐ Quarter 2 (April 1 – June 30)		
			☑ Quarter 3 (July 1 – September 30)		
			☐ Quarter 4 (October 1 – December 31)		
Lead Agency Contact: Dan Wadley		Lead Agency Phone Number: 785-291-2718		Lead Agency E-Mail Dan.Wadley@ks.gov	
<b>Lead Agency Project ID:</b> Click or tap here to enter text.		Other Project ID (i.e., contract #): Click or tap here to enter text.		Project Start Date: 7/1/2023	
Original Project Start Date: Click or tap to enter a date.		Original Project End Date: 6/30/2025		If Extension has been requested, updated project End Date: 11/30/2025	
Project schedule status:					
☐ On schedule	⊠On	revised schedule		edule	
Overall Project Statistics:					
Total Project Budget		Total Funds Expended This Quarter		Percentage of Work Completed to Date	
\$240,000		\$27,162		97%	

## **Project Description:**

Rigid inclusions are grouted or cemented columns used to improve loose or soft soils. They have been increasingly used in practice in the United States, mostly for embankment, retaining walls, and box culvert support in transportation applications. Several types of equipment and methods are available in the practice to install rigid inclusions with different trade names. Installation of rigid inclusions may cause full or partial displacement of their surrounding soils that disturb soils, neighboring rigid inclusions, and/or existing structures, depending on the type of equipment and method used, installation procedure, and type of soil. Rigid inclusions are often installed under a load transfer platform to support embankment or structure loads. The methodology and equipment-driven installation has been closely guarded and much is proprietary (commercial competitive advantage), which has left the DOTs dependent on and obligated to the contractor. No well-accepted design methods and construction specifications are available to assess and consider installation effects on their surrounding soils, neighboring rigid inclusions, and nearby existing structures, down drag forces in rigid inclusions under embankment or structure loads, and stability of embankments with side slopes supported by rigid inclusions. Research, including the state of the practice (Phase I) and full-scale field tests (Phase II), is needed to quantify rigid inclusion installation effects, develop design methods considering their effects on load transfer analysis, axial load capacity, and displacement calculations for vertical loads and evaluating the stability of rigid inclusion-supported embankments, and develop construction specifications for minimizing installation effects and improving long-term performance.

The main objectives of the Phase I study are to assess the state of the practice of rigid inclusions used for embankment and structure support, analyze existing data and design methods available in the literature or agencies, identify knowledge gaps and missing data and procedures, and develop a plan for full-scale field tests to be carried out in the Phase II study.

Tasks for this study include:

- 1) Literature Review and Assessment of Current Practices
- 2) Evaluating design methodologies
- 3) Developing a Full-scale Field Test Program

## **Progress this Quarter**

## (includes meetings, work plan status, contract status, significant progress, etc.):

The research team completed a draft report and distributed it for reviews by the steering committee members, consultants, and professional editor. The research team has also reached out to several state DOTs gauging their interest in continuing or joining to support the Phase II project.

Task 1: Literature Review and Assessment of Current Practices The literature review work has been completed.

100% COMPLETE

Task 2: Evaluating Design Methodologies

The research team has completed evaluating design methodologies.

100% COMPLETE

Task 3: Developing a Full-scale Field Test Program

The research team has developed a draft plan for the Phase II study including a required budget and sought for comments and suggestions from the steering committee. The research team will working with the University of Kansas Research Center about the proposed budget.

100% COMPLETE

Research Report

The draft report has been completed and reviewed. The research team will finalize the report after addressing all the review comments.

95% COMPLETE

## **Anticipated work next quarter:**

The research team will finalize and submit the report after addressing review comments and suggestions, continue reaching out to more state DOTs to seek additional support for the Phase II study, and prepare documents to solicitate funding for the Phase II study.

## Significant Results:

The literature review shows that different types of rigid inclusions have been used in the practice. Rigid inclusions (RIs) are typically designed as a system, which includes rigid inclusion elements and a load transfer platform. Much research has been done on load transfer mechanisms (soil arching and tensioned membrane) and critical heights above rigid inclusions to prevent differential settlement. A large number of methods including analytical and numerical methods are available to design load transfer platforms above rigid inclusions but these methods often yield significantly different results. Several studies examined the accuracy and differences of these design methods. However, limited research has been done on installation effects and slope stability of embankments supported by rigid inclusions. Recent projects have used a small area replacement ratio (less than 5%) for rigid inclusion elements. Rigid inclusion elements subjected to lateral loads and need for steel reinforcement have become a concern for some projects. How to consider lateral loads in design still requires further research.

According to the survey, the majority of the respondents indicate that (1) embankments are the most common application of RIs, (2) drilled displacement columns are the most common type of RIs, (3) reducing settlement is the main objective of RIs, (4) clay is the soil type where RIs are commonly used, (5) design-build is the most common contracting methods for RIs in projects, and (6) the conditions for using steel reinforcement in RIs are: slope stability, seismic load, and horizontal loads.

The numerical analysis shows that both unit cell and global models could reasonably model embankments over soft soils, rigid inclusions, and rigid inclusions with geosynthetic reinforcement. The commonly-used design methods in the literature gave a wide range of predictions of the performance of rigid inclusions under embankments. All these four commonly-used design methods evaluated in this study are overall conservative. The FHWA strain compatibility method gave better prediction of load efficacies while the Netherlands method (CUR226) gave better prediction of differential settlement and reinforcement tension in the load transfer platform. Both the British method and the stress reduction method overestimated the factor of safety as compared with the numerical method.

Installation sequence of rigid inclusions affects the displacements of pre-installed rigid inclusions, which may affect their integrity and quality. State DOTs have limited and inconsistent specifications for installation of rigid inclusions for projects, especially lack of guidelines for instrumentation and monitoring.

The proposed plan for the Phase II study is to gather full-scale test data for RI serviceability and failure limits, to improve analytical methods for load and deformation calculations, to evaluate installation-induced soil displacements and potential damages to adjacent RIs, and soil property changes, to evaluate load-displacement behavior of single RI vs. group RIs under wall/embankment, to compare behavior of RIs under walls vs. slopes, to evaluate lateral load capacities of RIs with and w/o steel rebars, and to improve/develop an analytical method for slope stability with RIs.

Circumstance affecting project or budget. (Please describe any challenges encountered or anticipated that might affect the completion of the project within the time, scope and fiscal constraints set forth in the agreement, along with recommended solutions to those problems).

The research team has completed all the proposed tasks except for the final report and completed a draft report for the Phase I study. The research team is finalizing the final report and will submit it before the end of the revised schedule. There is no issue with the budget.

## **Potential Implementation:**

The potential implementation from the Phase I study will be recommended in the final report.