TRANSPORTATION POOLED FUND PROGRAM

QUARTERLY PROGRESS REPORT - Q2/2024

Lead Agency: Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT)

Transportation Pooled Fund Prog	ram Project	Quarterly Report Period				
https://www.pooledfund.org/Det		☐ Quarter 1: Jan-Mar ☑ Quarter 2: Apr-Jun ☐ Quarter 3: Jul-Sep ☐ Quarter 4: Oct-Dec				
Lead Agency PM	Lead Agency F	PM Phone	Lead Agency Email			
Mustafa Mohamedali	360-704-6307		MohameM@wsdot.wa.gov			
Lead Agency Technical Lead	Lead Agency Tech Lead Phone		Lead Agency Email			
Mohamed Nimeri	360- 338-5765		NimeriM@wsdot.wa.gov			
Principal Investigator (PI)	PI Phone		PI Email			
Gonzalo Rada PhD, PE (WSP) Kevin Senn (NCE)	512-496-4465 775-329-4955		gonzalo.rada@wsp.com ksenn@ncenet.com			
Lead Agency Project ID	Other Project ID (e.g. contract #)		Program Start Date			
Y12819	WSP 6420230016		Sep 2, 2022			
Contract Start Date (Original)	Contract End Date (Original)		Revised Contract End Date			
Aug 17, 2023	Mar 31, 2026					

Program Schedule Status

\boxtimes	On original schedule	☐ On revised schedule	☐ Ahead of schedule	☐ Behind schedule

Overall Program Statistics

Commitments obligations to to date \$		% Obligated to date	Contracted to date \$	Expended to date \$	Expended this quarter \$	
380,000	300,830	79%	160,000	76,375	43,308	

Project Description

The objective of this pooled fund study is to create a mechanism to allow for rapidly completing forensic evaluations of LTPP sections before going out of service. Test sections that are no longer active, but which have remained unchanged (i.e., no maintenance or rehabilitation has been applied), may also be considered for forensic evaluation. Possible reasons for carrying out the forensic evaluations include: • Determining reasons for poor pavement performance/premature failures •

Understanding exceptional pavement performance and/or longevity • Validating pavement performance prediction (predicted vs actual) • Collecting data to support development and/or calibration of pavement performance prediction models • Closing-out or conducting final investigations of experimental test sections. Ultimately, the primary reason for carrying out the evaluations will be to determine if the data contained in the LTPP database adequately explains the performance of the test sections and why they performed as they did. If the existing information is insufficient, then identifying and collecting additional information to inform the performance will be strongly considered.

Progress this quarter (includes meetings, work plan status, contract status, significant progress, etc.)

The work performed in each of the tasks specified by the project's scope of work (SOW) is summarized below. The attached table (Table 1) provides further information on the Task 2 and Task 3 activities, while the attached figure (Figure 1) shows the location of the LTPP test sections selected for forensic investigation.

<u>Task 1. Project Management</u>: • Prepared for and participated in the June 18, 2024 Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) meeting • Prepared for in-person LTPP agency meeting scheduled for July 2, 2024 in WSDOT's offices; will provided update of TPF-5(500) activities to date • Prepared and submitted April, May and June 2024 invoices • Prepared and submitted quarterly progress report for the January 1 to March 31, 2024 period • Continued to perform subcontractor management activities • Continued to coordinate project activities with FHWA LTPP Team and its Data Collection Services Contractor (DCSC) • Conducted other required project management activities.

<u>Task 2. Test Section Nominations</u>: • Continued to work on identifying LTPP test sections for possible forensic evaluation – to date, 29 LTPP test sections at 8 locations in 7 states have been identified • Continued to prepare and submit test section nomination forms for WSDOT approval for conduct of forensic evaluations – to date, nominations for 7 locations covering 19 LTPP test sections have been approved. Continued to work with the FHWA LTPP Team and its DCSC to identify other candidate test sections.

<u>Task 3a. Desktop Studies</u>: • Completed forensic desktop evaluations for 14 LTPP test sections in AZ, PA, TX, and WA – draft technical memoranda were prepared and submitted to WSDOT for review and approval, including follow-up actions with respective agencies and FHWA LTPP Team • Commenced work on forensic desktop evaluations for 5 LTPP test sections in KS and MT – completion of these evaluations is anticipated in the upcoming reporting period.

Task 3b. Follow-Up Forensic Investigations: • Scheduled in-person agency meeting with WSDOT on July 2, 2024 to review both desktop studies completed in Washington; follow-up actions and adjustments to the desktop study memoranda are anticipated based on meeting outcomes • Began working on planning and coordination of similar meetings with AZ, KS, and TX as well as with the FHWA LTPP Team to review draft desktop forensic evaluation memoranda • As appropriate, follow-up forensic investigation plans will be formulated based on outcomes from referenced meetings.

Task 4. Final Report: • No work is anticipated under this task until the final months of the project.

Anticipated work next quarter

The anticipated work to be performed in each of the tasks specified by the project's SOW is summarized below:

Task 1. Project Management: • Complete preparation for in-person LTPP agency meeting scheduled for July 2, 2024 in WSDOT's offices and provide update of TPF-5(500) activities to date • Begin planning activities and potentially hold the next Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) meeting in September 2024 • Prepare and submit monthly invoices • Prepare and submit quarterly progress report for the April 1 to June 30, 2024 period • Continue to perform subcontractor management activities • Continue to coordinate project activities with FHWA LTPP Team and its DCSC • Conduct other required project management activities • Begin to discuss obligation of additional funds to the contract; close to 50% of available funds have been spent to date and it is anticipated 65 to 70% of those funds will have been spent by the end of the upcoming reporting period.

<u>Task 2. Test Section Nominations</u>: • Continue to work on identifying LTPP test sections for possible forensic evaluation • Continue to prepare and submit test section nomination forms for WSDOT approval for conduct of forensic evaluations.

<u>Task 3a. Desktop Studies</u>: • Complete desktop evaluations for 5 LTPP test sections in KS and MT • Begin working on forensic evaluation for LTPP test sections in Missouri • Begin working on desktop evaluations for other test section nominations approved by WSDOT.

<u>Task 3b. Follow-Up Forensic Investigations</u>: • Participate in-person agency meeting with WSDOT on July 2, 2024 to review both desktop studies completed in Washington; follow-up actions and adjustments to the desktop study memoranda are anticipated based on meeting outcomes • Continue preparations for and participate in similar meetings with AZ, KS, and TX as well as with the FHWA LTPP Team to review draft desktop forensic evaluation memoranda • As appropriate, formulate follow-up forensic investigation plans based on outcomes from referenced meetings.

Task 4. Final Report: • No work is anticipated under this task until the final months of the project.

Significant results

Although work on the project did not start in earnest until December 4, 2023, important results and findings are already being realized. Based on the forensic evaluation desktop study for the three WA test sections, an issue requiring correction of the LTPP InfoPave tool was identified. More specifically, the plots of rutting versus time for the three test sections in question were showing incorrect trends, even though data associated with these plots were determined to be correct. The project team prepared and submitted LTPP Data Analysis and Operations Feedback Report (DAOFR) to the FHWA LTPP Team for corrective action; correction will be incorporated in the August 2024 LTPP InfoPave release.

Circumstance affecting project or budget. (Please describe any challenges encountered or anticipated that might affect the completion of the project within the time, scope and fiscal constraints set forth in the agreement, along with recommended solutions to those problems)

There are no technical challenges to report at present (and none are anticipated), which may affect completion of the project. As indicated in previous progress reports, however, enough funding is

available for a robust start, but future progress of work will depend on committed funds being obligated to enable the work to continue without a break.

Potential Implementation

The primary outcome of the test section forensic evaluations is memoranda documenting the major findings, conclusions, and recommendations, both for each investigation and for the overall project. As with the Stage 1 effort (TPF-5(332)), numerous important findings have been made as a direct result of the forensic evaluations, which will directly affect, and therefore improve, the LTPP database and will advance knowledge in the pavement community. And many more are anticipated for the remainder of the project.

Table 1. Summary of Task 2 and Task 3 Activities to Date

Study #	# of Sites	# of Sections	State(s)	LTPP ID	Experiment Type ¹	Pavement Type ²	Purpose of Investigation	Nomination Submittal	Nomination Approval	Study Start	Initial Memo Submittal	Memo Acceptance
1	1	1	PA	42-1597	GPS-6S	AC	Excellent Performance; Other	12/6/2023	12/11/2023	12/11/2023	Cancelled	Cancelled
2	1	6	AZ	04-0213 04-0214 04-0215 04-0217 04-0262 04-0268	SPS-2	ЈРСР	Performance Comparison	12/6/2023	12/11/2023	12/11/2023	2/26/2024	
3	2	2	PA WA	42-1597 53-1007	GPS-6S	AC	Performance Comparison	1/22/2024	2/1/2024	2/1/2024	2/13/2024	11
4	1	1	MT	30-7075	GPS-6S	AC	Excellent Performance, Other	2/4/2024	5/22/2024	6/10/2024		
5	1	3	TX	48-AA01 48-AA02 48-AA03	SPS-10	AC	Performance Comparison	2/4/2024	5/22/2024	4/30/2024	5/21/2024	
6	1	4	KS	20-0202 20-0203 20-0206 20-0210	SPS-2	ЛРСР	Performance Comparison; Other	2/15/2024	5/22/2024	5/28/2024		
7	1	3	WA	53-AA01 53-AA02 53-AA03	SPS-10	AC	Performance Comparison	3/5/2024	5/22/2024	3/12/2024	4/14/2024	
8	1	10	MS	29-AA01 29-AA02 29-AA03 29-AA61 29-AA62 29-AA63 29-AA64 29-AA65 29-AA66 29-AA67	SPS-10	AC	Performance Comparison	4/24/2024				

¹ Experiment Type

GPS-6S = AC Overlay of Milled PCC Pavement Using Conventional or Modified Asphalt Experiment SPS-2 = Strategic Study of Structural Factors for Rigid Pavements Experiment

SPS-10 = Warm Mix Asphalt Overlay of Asphalt Pavement Study

² Pavement Type

AC = Asphalt Concrete

JPCP = Jointed Plan Concrete Pavement




Figure 1. Geographical Location of TPF-5(500) LTPP Test Sections